

INTERACTIVE EFFECTS OF ELEVATED CO₂ CONCENTRATION AND NITROGEN FERTILIZER APPLICATION ON NITROGEN DISTRIBUTION IN A COTTON–SOIL SYSTEM

LYU, N.^{1,2} – SHI, L.¹ – SUN, L.² – LIU, F.³ – CHEN, Y.^{1*a} – YIN, F.^{1*b}

¹*Institute of Field Water Conservancy, Soil and Fertilizer Research, Xinjiang Academy of Agricultural and Reclamation Science, Shihezi 832000, Xinjiang, China
(phone: +86-993-668-3300; fax: +86-993-255-3118)*

²*College of Economics and Management, Shihezi University, Shihezi 832003, Xinjiang, China*

³*College of Management and Engineering, Anhui Polytechnic University, Wuhu 241000, Anhui, China*

**Corresponding authors*

^a *e-mail: nkycy8216@163.com; phone: +86-993-668-3731, +86-130-3132-5270; fax: +86-993-255-3118*

^b *e-mail: nkyyfh@sohu.com; phone: +86-993-668-3699, +86-135-6773-8221; fax: +86-993-255-3118*

(Received 9th Jan 2020; accepted 6th May 2020)

Abstract. This study aimed to investigate the beneficial effects of elevated CO₂ levels and nitrogen fertilization on the nitrogen distribution in a cotton-soil system in China, using CO₂ obtained as an industrial byproduct. A semi-open-top artificial climate chamber was used to investigate the effects of ambient CO₂ concentration (360 µmol·mol⁻¹, Xinjiang) and elevated CO₂ concentrations (540 and 720 µmol·mol⁻¹) and application of nitrogen (N) fertilizer (0, 150, 300, and 450 kg·hm⁻²) on cotton growth and available N distribution in the cotton–soil system. The results showed that the cotton biomass was positively influenced by the increase in CO₂ concentration and N application. The total N content in the cotton significantly increased with N application, and this increase was more significant with CO₂ 540 treatment compared with CO₂ 720 treatment. The N accumulation in buds and bolls was the highest, followed by leaves and then stems; it was the lowest in the roots. When the CO₂ concentration was elevated to 540 µmol·mol⁻¹, the soil NO₃⁻-N content decreased significantly. The soil NH₄⁺-N content slightly increased with 0 and 150 kg·hm⁻² N application. When the CO₂ concentration was elevated to 720 µmol·mol⁻¹, the soil NO₃⁻-N content still decreased and the soil NH₄⁺-N content increased. Overall, when the CO₂ concentration was elevated, the application of 300 kg·hm⁻² N fertilizer significantly increased the cotton biomass and the total N content. It also promoted the absorption of soil N, especially that of NO₃⁻-N. The findings provided practical guides for N application in the context of elevated CO₂ concentration in cotton fields.

Keywords: *elevated CO₂ concentration, nitrogen fertilizer application, cotton growth, biomass, plant total N content, soil NO₃⁻-N, soil NH₄⁺-N*

Introduction

The massive emission of industrial CO₂ has become promoting global warming (Guo et al., 2013). It is expected that the atmospheric CO₂ concentration will approach 1100 µmol·mol⁻¹ (ppm) by the end of the 21st century (IPCC, 2014). Doubling of the concentration of CO₂ in the atmosphere affects not only climate, but also the agroecological environment (Ainsworth et al., 2005; Kimbal et al., 2016). Current climate projections indicate that the atmosphere on the earth's surface fluctuating more

frequently, and extreme weather such as hyperthermia, drought and extreme cold will appear more frequently (NOAA-ESRL, 2018). The industrial emission CO₂ storage technology is becoming more and more mature, but the rational reuse method and the related utilization quantity are still in the bottle-neck period. The reuse of industrial emission CO₂ has been the focus of climate and environment research groups (Liu et al., 2018).

CO₂ is an important raw material for plant photosynthesis. It has been proven that a certain amount of CO₂ supplied could increase the biomass and economic yield of C₃ and C₄ plants (Morgan et al., 2001; Leakey et al., 2009). N is an important component of plant proteins and chlorophyll. Thus, the N addition has both direct and indirect effects on photosynthesis, respiration, and other metabolism pathways, affecting the distribution of N nutrients in plant-soil system. Studies have found that the photosynthetic capacity and plant biomass significantly increase under elevated CO₂ and N additions (Fang et al., 2000; Xu et al., 2003; Leakey et al., 2011; José et al., 2016); this in turn promotes the absorption and metabolism of N in plants (Bloom et al., 2002; Mitchell et al., 2018). Research by Pitelka (1994) showed that an elevated CO₂ concentration was conducive to the accumulation of carbohydrates in plant tissues, thus reducing the N content of plants. Recently Other studies (Talbot et al., 2000; Kimball et al., 2001; Dong et al., 2002; Lyu et al., 2015; Cai et al., 2016; Broughton et al., 2017a) have showed that C/N values in cotton, wheat, corn, and rice increased to different degrees under doubled CO₂ concentration, with a decrease in N content in the roots of leguminous plants, but an increase in the N content of the aboveground parts (Yang, 2002; Fitzgerald et al., 2005). It might be that legumes utilized rhizobia to fix N from the air to supplement their N needs to support their rapid growth under high CO₂ concentration. Xu et al. (2004) reported that CO₂ doubling reduced the N content of *Caragana korshinskii* and *Hedysarum laeve* leaves by 10.4% and 5.06%, respectively. However, in different ecosystems, the distribution and absorption of N in different parts of the plant under high CO₂ concentration is related to the photosynthetic pathways of the plant itself, the supply of exogenous N nutrients, the nutrient status of the soil itself, and the amount of N absorbed (Oberbauer et al., 1986; Grunzweig et al., 2001; Charles et al., 2019). Therefore, following increases in CO₂ concentration, exogenous N nutrition could compensate for increased plant growth and metabolism (Stitt et al., 1999; Johnson, 2000; Yang, 2002; Zhang, 2002). It is known that a shortage of N limits the production of CO₂ (Larigauderie et al., 1998; Joel et al., 2001). Under low N conditions, the photosynthetic rates under high CO₂ concentration is lower than under normal CO₂ concentration. Further research revealed that increasing the availability and simultaneous supply of N source can prevent this photosynthetic adaptation (Guo et al., 2006; Mitchell, 2018).

There has been a significant focus of research on the response and adaptation of plants in different ecosystems under elevated CO₂ concentration and different N application and the research objects mainly focus on horticultural plants, rice, wheat and forest. Cotton is a typical C₃ plant, and empirical and modeling studies (Morgan et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2017; Broughton et al., 2017b; Li et al., 2020) have shown that possible increase in sensitivity of the physiology and plant productivity to enhancing atmospheric CO₂ concentration. These researches focused on the effect of CO₂ enrichment on cotton growth while the interaction mechanism of CO₂ and N coupling on cotton ecosystem is not clear. Xinjiang is a major agricultural province and is the biggest commercial cotton production base in China. Cotton plays an irreplaceable role

in agricultural production and economy in China and Xinjiang. The latest statistical data (data source: National Climatic Data Center in China) and the current studies (Gao et al., 2015; Cui et al., 2019) have shown that the ambient CO₂ concentration in background air was generally about ~330-372 ppm, which is far from meeting the photosynthetic demand of cotton, and elevated CO₂ concentration can improve the light saturation point of cotton.

The objective of this study focused on the impact of elevated CO₂ and N fertilizer application in the Xinjiang cotton field ecosystem. The project used a semi-open top artificial climate chamber to investigate the response of cotton plants to increases in CO₂ at different levels of N fertilizer application. The total N content of cotton plant and the distribution of NO₃⁻-N and NH₄⁺-N in rhizosphere soil were determined to reveal the response mechanisms of cotton and the soil to the CO₂ and N interaction. Given that the response mechanism of cotton is used to determine the optimal N application level under elevated CO₂ concentrations in cotton canopy, our results would be beneficial to guide the optimal application of N fertilizers in cotton fields under the high CO₂ concentration. Meanwhile, the findings of this study provided a technical scheme for the rational utilization of industrial CO₂ emission. It also provided a scientific basis for accurately predicting the terrestrial ecosystem response and crops yield potential model in the context of elevated CO₂ concentration, and simultaneously it will be of great significance to the healthy and sustainable development of China's cotton industry.

Materials and experimental methods

Research area

The experiment was carried out in 2016-2018 in a semi-open-top artificial climate chamber at the Xinjiang Academy of Agricultural Sciences in China (N44°18'.288, E85°59.961'). Our previous studies (Yin et al., 2011; Gao et al., 2015; Yin et al., 2016) have found that the CO₂ concentration at surface boundary layer in cotton field was generally about ~330-360 ppm from 10 a.m. to 6 p.m. Xinjiang is a typical continental arid climate, the annual precipitation in this area is ~125.0-207.7 mm, the annual evaporation is 1946 mm, the annual average temperature is ~7.5-8.2 °C, the annual sunshine hours are 2526-2874 h, with the sunshine hours in the growing season being ~1900-2000 h, and the total radiation of light per year is next to that of Qinghai-Tibet Plateau. The frost-free period is about ~160 days, and the accumulated temperature of ≥ 10 °C is ~3570-3729 °C. The basic physical and chemical properties of the soil used in the study are shown in *Table 1*. Cotton was planted on April 15 and harvested on September 30.

Table 1. Physical and chemical properties of the soil used in the study

Soil type	Soil texture	Soil layer (cm)	Organic matter (g·kg ⁻¹)	Alkaline hydrolysis nitrogen (mg·kg ⁻¹)	Available P (g·kg ⁻¹)	Available K (g·kg ⁻¹)	pH
Gray desert soil	Medium loam	0-20	6.94	41	21	99	8.3
		20-40	5.73	33	14	103	8.1

Test materials

The test crop was cotton and the variety was Xinluzao 33, the density was 22.5 million plant per ha; the fertilizer used was urea (CO(NH₂)₂), with a measured N content of 46%; CO₂ was sourced from a gas cylinder supplied by the Shihezi Tiangang acetylene plant.

Test device

The experimental set up a semi-closed open top artificial climate chamber, surrounded by a light-transmissive plastic film (blue film in a greenhouse), with a film height of 1.5 m (Fig. 1A). The outside of the gas chamber was connected to a CO₂ gas cylinder and CO₂ was administered via drip irrigation belt that ran inside the chamber (Fig. 1B). When the cotton entered the flowering period, which was the most vigorous stage of cotton growing, CO₂ was released from 12:00 to 15:00 (the illumination is strongest in Xinjiang) daily via the drip irrigation belt. The gas input was controlled by a CO₂ decompression flow valve, and the internal concentration was measured in real time through a portable infrared CO₂ concentration detector (AT-B-CO₂, Beijing Antai Jihua Technology Co., Ltd.) with S-type distribution.



Figure 1. (A) Semi-closed open top artificial climate chamber. (B) CO₂ gas cylinder and pressure reducing valve

Experimental design

The test was carried out in a split zone design. Three CO₂ concentration levels were used: 360 $\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ (CK, Xinjiang background level), 540 $\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ (1.5 times higher than the background level) and 720 $\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ (two times higher than the background level) (referred to as CO₂ 360, CO₂ 540 and CO₂ 720, respectively). Four levels of application N (0, 150, 300, and 450 kg·hm⁻²; referred to as N₀, N₁₅₀, N₃₀₀, and N₄₅₀, respectively) were used for each CO₂ concentration based on the results of previous studies and local fertilization levels. The moderate water and fertilizer conditions in the northern cotton area of Xinjiang required 300 kg of pure nitrogen to determine the N concentration gradient for this study (Yin et al., 2010,

2011). The CO₂ concentration was the main treatment, and the N fertilizer was the secondary treatment. There were 12 treatments, each repeated three times, over a total of 36 plots. Each the plot area was 42 m² (2.8 m × 15 m). The main treatment plots were separated by median intervals and whereas the secondary treatment plots were next to each other.

N fertilizer was applied in the form of analytical grade urea, and the phosphorus and potassium fertilizers were applied as KH₂PO₄ (K₂O ≥ 33.9%, P₂O₅ ≥ 51.5%). The fertilization measures were based on the local field requirements: thus, 30% N fertilizer was applied initially, followed by 40% at the first time of watering and 30% at the second time of watering.

The phosphate fertilizer (P₂O₅) dosage was 125 kg·hm⁻², potassium fertilizer (K₂O) dosage was 54 kg·hm⁻², and both were used as base fertilizers. The N fertilizer was applied according to the proportion of base application 30%, application 40% for the first irrigation and application 30% at the second water drip, respectively. The times of irrigation was nine in the whole growth period. Treatments with increased CO₂ concentrations began at the start of the cotton flowering period (July 18), under the conditions of optimal light intensity (from ~12:00 h to 15:00 h). The drip irrigation capillary system was used to inject CO₂ gas into the chamber until the desired CO₂ concentration was reached. N fertilizer was applied simultaneously with the water droplets to achieve the simultaneous application of carbon and N. The other field management measures (e.g., *verticillium* wilt control, topping and so on) were the same as used generally in cotton fields.

Test indicators and analysis methods

On 18 August (after 30 days of CO₂ gas addition), three points were selected from each treatment plot according to Z pattern and the size of each point was 2.8 m × 2 m. Ten healthy cotton plants were selected from each point and divided into their aboveground and belowground tissues, which were then heated in an oven at 105 °C for 30 min and then dried at 80 °C for 24 h. The dry weight was then determined. After smashing and sieving, 10 mg of the plant sample was measured by using the standard Kjeldahl method. In each cotton plant sampling point, it was used that tubular auger (the length of auger is 1 m, bit depth is 20 cm and diameter is 3 cm) to collect fresh soil at the depth of ~0–20 cm and ~20–40 cm soil layer; 1 mol·L⁻¹ KCl 50 mL was added to 10 g of the fresh soil sample and then shaken for 30 min. The suspension was then filtered through filter paper, and the nitrate nitrogen (NO₃⁻-N) and ammonium nitrogen (NH₄⁺-N) in the soil were using a Continuous Flow Analytical System (CFA). Soil moisture was determined by the drying method.

SPSS 19.0 was used to analyze the data using ANOVA and P value test significance tests. The functional relationship between CO₂ concentration and N application level was constructed by using Sigmaplot 12.5 and Excel 2007 to analyze the gradient changes in total N and soil NH₄⁺-N and NO₃⁻-N content. The data in chart were the average of 2016–2018 year.

Results and analysis

Effects of elevated CO₂ concentration and N fertilizer application on cotton biomass

In this study, dry matter weight was adopted to analyse the changes in cotton biomass. ANOVA showed that the biomass of cotton organs and the whole plant was significantly affected by CO₂ concentration, N application and the interaction of CO₂ and N (Table 2). In general, the biomass of buds and bolls, stems, and the whole plant was increased significantly

with CO₂ concentration elevation, whereas the biomass of leaves and roots in CO₂ 540 concentration was higher than that in CO₂ 720 concentration.

Gao and Guo (2003) reported that elevated CO₂ concentrations could also promote the biomass increase in desert plant, and the roots, stems, and leaves responded differently to elevated CO₂ concentrations. Some studies indicated that the initially promotion effect high concentration CO₂ on plant would gradually disappear as time going by (Chen, 2005). At the same CO₂ concentration, the biomass of different cotton organs increased with N fertilizer application increasing, with more significant increases occurring at the higher N application levels, which was consistent with the results of some researchers (Zhang et al., 1999; Stitt et al., 1999). Overall, at the flowering and boll-forming stages, the biomass of buds and bolls was the highest, followed by leaves, and lowest in stems and roots, indicating the transport of nutrients from the roots to reproductive organs.

The results also showed that the effect of elevated CO₂ concentrations on cotton biomass was closely related to the supply of mineral nutrients. When atmospheric CO₂ concentrations elevated from 350 µmol·mol⁻¹ to 700 µmol·mol⁻¹, the crop yield and biomass could increase by 24–25% (Kimball, 2016), but this response would be lower in the case of water and nutrients deficiency (Oechel, 1994). Therefore, when considering the growth-promoting effects of elevated CO₂ concentrations on plant growth, it is necessary to ensure the appropriate timing of fertilizer application (Roser et al., 1999; Yang et al., 2007; Coskun et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2017).

Table 2. Changes in the biomass of cotton in response to elevated atmospheric CO₂ and N application (g)

CO ₂ concentration (µmol·mol ⁻¹)	N fertilizer application (mg·kg ⁻¹)	Cotton organ				
		Leaf	Stem	Bud and boll	Root	Total plant
CO ₂ 360	N ₀	11.64±0.09 d	7.23±0.09 d	16.77±0.07 cd	4.07±0.16 c	39.68±0.23 d
	N ₁₅₀	13.66±0.46 c	8.22±0.10 c	17.77±0.11 c	4.83±0.06 b	44.48±0.39 c
	N ₃₀₀	14.29±0.15 b	9.10±0.09 b	18.85±0.05 b	5.09±0.07 ab	47.33±0.24 ab
	N ₄₅₀	16.36±0.08 a	10.23±0.07 a	20.11±0.12 a	5.70±0.05 a	51.34±0.25 a
	Average	13.99±1.87	8.69±0.16	18.38±1.47	4.92±0.65	45.96±4.98
CO ₂ 540	N ₀	12.27±0.15 d	8.41±0.15 d	16.86±0.07 d	4.94±0.07 d	42.48±0.05 d
	N ₁₅₀	13.78±0.09 c	9.96±0.03 c	18.24±0.31 c	5.38±0.05 c	47.36±0.38 c
	N ₃₀₀	15.91±0.14 b	10.22±0.08 b	20.42±0.57 b	6.19±0.05 ab	52.74±0.51 b
	N ₄₅₀	17.38±0.12 a	11.17±0.08 a	22.11±0.22 a	6.56±0.09 a	57.22±0.43 a
	Average	14.83±2.10	9.94±1.04	19.41±2.49	5.77±0.70	49.95±6.07
CO ₂ 720	N ₀	12.02±0.05 d	9.13±0.04 c	17.15±0.10 d	4.95±0.09 b	43.30±0.06 d
	N ₁₅₀	13.75±0.06 c	10.96±0.06 b	19.98±0.04 c	5.18±0.10 b	49.87±0.14 c
	N ₃₀₀	14.42±0.16 b	11.35±0.09 a	22.22±0.59 b	5.58±0.15 ab	53.57±0.64 b
	N ₄₅₀	16.69±0.17 a	11.75±0.48 a	23.71±0.21 a	5.99±0.05 a	58.14±0.80 a
	Average	14.23±2.17	10.79±1.07	20.77±2.38	5.43±0.42	51.22±6.14
Sources of variation						
CO ₂		**	**	**	*	**
N		**	**	**	*	**
CO ₂ * N		*	*	**	NS	**

Different letters in the same column indicated significant difference among treatments at 0.05 level. ** indicated $P < 0.01$, * indicated $P < 0.05$, NS indicated no significant difference at 0.05 level

Effects of elevated CO₂ concentration and N fertilizer application on the total N content in different organs of cotton

The changes in total N content of leaves are shown in *Figure 2A*. Leaves are important photosynthetic organs for photosynthesis and the major source for carbohydrates. Elevated CO₂ concentrations are hypothesized to be beneficial to photosynthesis and respiration in leaves (Oberbauer et al., 1986; Wang et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2016), which is also likely to affect nutrient absorption by the leaves. Analysis of variance showed that elevated CO₂ concentration, N fertilizer application and the interaction of CO₂ concentration and N fertilizer could significantly increase the total N content in leaves ($P = 0.005$, 0.000 and 0.002 , respectively). In the same CO₂ concentration treatment, the total N content in leaves increased significantly with increasing N fertilizer application ($P = 0.012$). At the same N level, the total N content in leaves in the CO₂ 720 treatment group was higher than that in the CO₂ 540 treatment group, but there was no significant difference. Under high CO₂ concentrations, C₃ plants were able to adapt their photosynthesis under low N conditions (Zhou et al., 2006; Xia et al., 2019). These results indicated that when the CO₂ concentration was elevated to between 540 and 720 $\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$, it promoted the N absorption and utilization by leaves. The effect of elevating CO₂ concentration on the N demand resulting from increased growth was compensated by increasing N fertilizer application. Previous researches also showed that N nutrition delays the senescence of mature leaves and improves the adaptability of plant to adverse conditions (Zhang et al., 2002).

Changes in total N content in buds and bolls are shown in *Figure 2B*. This result showed that elevated CO₂ concentration and N fertilizer application had significant effects on the total N content in buds and bolls ($F = 19.13$ and 29.10 , respectively), and this was also significant in terms of the interaction between CO₂ concentration and N fertilizer ($F = 2.89$). Under ambient CO₂ conditions, the total N content in buds and bolls showed a significant positive correlation with N fertilizer application dosages. When the CO₂ concentration was elevated by 0.5 and 1.0 times, the total N content in buds and bolls significantly increased, and this was more significant in the CO₂ 540 treatment group. Compared with CO₂ 360 treatment, when the CO₂ concentration was elevated to 540 $\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$, the total N content in buds and bolls at N₀, N₁₅₀, N₃₀₀, and N₄₅₀ level increased by 12.57%, 13.41%, 28.42% and 17.50% respectively; when the CO₂ concentration was elevated to 720 $\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$, the total N content in buds and bolls showed had no significant changes at N₀ and N₁₅₀ level, but increased by 14.75% and 12.50% at N₃₀₀ and N₄₅₀ level, respectively. In general, of the different CO₂-N combination treatments, the total N content in buds and bolls was the highest in CO₂ 540-N₃₀₀ treatment group.

Changes in total N content in stems are shown in *Figure 2C*. The total N content in stems increased with the increasing of N fertilizer application in each CO₂ concentration treatment. Compared with ambient CO₂ concentration treatment group, the total N content in stems increased significantly when CO₂ concentration was elevated to 540 $\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ ($P = 0.703$), although there was no significant change when CO₂ concentration was elevated to 720 $\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ ($P = 0.53$). This suggested that when the atmospheric CO₂ concentration was elevated to 540 $\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$, N fertilizer application was more beneficial to promote the N nutrition increasing in stems.

Changes in total N content in roots are shown in *Figure 2D*. There were no significant differences in total N content in roots among the different CO₂ concentration

treatments ($P = 0.051$). In ambient CO₂ concentration treatment, the total N content in roots increased with increasing N fertilizer application dosages ($P = 0.016$). When the CO₂ concentration was elevated to 540 $\mu\text{mol} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ and 720 $\mu\text{mol} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$, the interaction of CO₂ concentration and N fertilizer application had significant effect on N content in roots and the total N content at N₃₀₀ was lower than that at N₁₅₀ level.




Figure 2. Effects of elevated CO₂ and N fertilizer application on the total N distribution in cotton leaf (A), bud and boll (B), stem (C), and root (D). Different lowercase letters in the column indicated significant difference among different N treatments at $P < 0.05$. Different capital letters in the column indicate significant difference among different CO₂ treatments at $p < 0.05$

In general, different combinations of CO₂ concentration and N fertilizer had significant effects on N absorption and utilization of different cotton organs. The total N content in buds and bolls was the highest, followed by leaves, then was in stems, being lowest was in roots. This was probably because the combination of elevated CO₂ concentrations and N fertilizer applications promoted the photosynthesis and growth metabolism of the aboveground tissues of cotton, which then needed to absorb nutrition from belowground tissues to support increase. Thus, N nutrients were transported to the buds, bolls and other vegetative organs of cotton via the roots and stems to meet the nutritional needs of reproductive growth (Hu et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2010; Yin et al., 2011).

The effects of elevated CO₂ concentration and N fertilizer application on total N in the whole cotton plant are shown in Table 3. When the CO₂ concentration was elevated by 0.5 times and 1.0 times, the total N content in whole cotton plant increased

significantly compared with the ambient CO₂ concentration, this increasing effect was more significant in CO₂ 540 treatment. That indicated the CO₂ concentration was elevated to 720 µmol·mol⁻¹ and above would restrict N nutrient utilization by the cotton. Under the same CO₂ concentration treatment, the total N content in the whole plant increased with the N fertilizer application dosages, which was consistent with the general fertilization effect. Meantime, the interaction of elevated CO₂ concentration and N fertilizer application did have a significant effect on the total N content in the whole cotton plant ($P = 0.008$).

This suggests that when the atmospheric CO₂ concentration is elevated to ~540–720 µmol·mol⁻¹, which promotes the N nutrient absorption and accumulation in cotton plant, it would be necessary to increase the application of external N fertilizer to compensate for the nutrient absorption by the plants caused by the increasing CO₂ concentration.

Table 3. The total N content in the whole cotton plant under different CO₂ and N treatments (%)

Treatments	N ₀	N ₁₅₀	N ₃₀₀	N ₄₅₀
CO ₂ 360	4.11±0.20 dC	4.63±0.12 cC	4.82±0.04 bB	5.08±0.21 aC
CO ₂ 540	4.83±0.17 dA	5.08±0.12 cA	5.35±0.40 bA	5.65±0.17 aA
CO ₂ 720	4.56±0.11 dB	4.97±0.25 cAB	5.28±0.06 abA	5.45±0.23 aAB

The different lowercase letters in the same row indicated significant differences among different N treatments at $P < 0.05$. The same capital letters in the same column indicate no significant differences among different CO₂ treatments at $P < 0.05$

Effects of elevated CO₂ concentration and N fertilizer application on root soil NO₃⁻-N content in cotton fields

The response of NO₃⁻-N content to different CO₂ and N fertilizer treatments varied in the ~0–20 cm and ~20–40 cm soil layers, as shown in *Figure 3A* and *B*, respectively. Soil NO₃⁻-N content decreased significantly with increasing CO₂ concentrations and this decrease was more significant at the CO₂ 540 level than that at the CO₂ 720 level. The interaction of elevated CO₂ concentration and N fertilizer application had a significant effect on the NO₃⁻-N content in the ~0–20 cm soil layer and ~20–40 cm soil layer ($P = 0.032$ and 0.000 , respectively).

In ambient CO₂ concentration, the NO₃⁻-N content in ~0–20 cm soil layer significantly increased with increasing N fertilizer application ($P = 0.001$). When the CO₂ concentration was elevated to 540 µmol·mol⁻¹, the soil NO₃⁻-N content showed no significant difference between different N application dosages ($P = 0.420$), although it was lowest at the N₃₀₀ level. When the CO₂ concentration was elevated to 720 µmol·mol⁻¹, the soil NO₃⁻-N content increased with the increase in N fertilizer application ($P = 0.040$).

At the same N fertilizer application level, the soil NO₃⁻-N content in root layer decreased significantly in proportion to the elevated CO₂ concentrations. Compared with the ambient CO₂ concentration, in the CO₂ 540 concentration treatment group, the soil NO₃⁻-N content decreased by 25.90%, 25.05%, 47.77% and 37.38% at N₀, N₁₅₀, N₃₀₀, and N₄₅₀ level, respectively; in the CO₂ 720 concentration treatment group, the soil NO₃⁻-N content decreased by 6.94%, 4.52%, 18.31% and 19.30% at N₀, N₁₅₀, N₃₀₀ and N₄₅₀ level, respectively. The NO₃⁻-N content at the N₃₀₀ and N₄₅₀ levels was

significantly lower than at N₀ and N₁₅₀ level under elevated CO₂ concentrations. In a general, in the interaction treatment groups of CO₂ concentration and N fertilizer, the soil NO₃[−]–N content was lowest in CO₂ 540–N₃₀₀ treatment group. Other studies reported that, at high N application levels, the soil NO₃[−]–N content decreased significantly under elevated CO₂ concentrations and N fertilizer application, mainly due to N application significantly promoted the growth and metabolism of the plants (Mauney et al., 1994; Ma et al., 2004; Liu et al., 2018), increasing NO₃[−]–N absorption by plants from soil, thus affecting the soil N nutrients conditions. In addition, an anaerobic environment was formed by the drip irrigation system, inhibiting soil-nitrifying microbial activity and reducing the soil NO₃[−]–N content. The reduction in the soil NO₃[−]–N content in the CO₂ 540 concentration treatment was greater than that in the CO₂ 720 concentration treatment; this suggests that the level of CO₂ enrichment was too high. If this negatively impacted the ability of cotton to adapt to higher levels of CO₂ then this would inhibit the absorption of soil nutrients by the plants.

The soil NO₃[−]–N content decreased with the soil depth increasing. In the ~20–40 cm soil layer, the changes in NO₃[−]–N content were the same as in the ~0–20 cm soil layer among different CO₂ concentrations and N fertilizer treatments; however, the increasing trends in NO₃[−]–N content were more significant in the ~0–20 cm soil layer, given the interaction effects between the increasing CO₂ concentration and N fertilizer application.

Effects of elevated CO₂ concentration and N fertilizer application on root soil NH₄⁺–N content in cotton fields

The soil NH₄⁺–N content varied significantly under different CO₂ concentration and N fertilizer treatments as shown in *Figure 3C* and *D*. ANOVA analysis showed that elevated CO₂ concentration, N fertilizer application and the interaction of CO₂ and N all had significant effects on NH₄⁺–N content in the ~0–20 cm soil layer ($F = 176.7$, 98.06, and 9.925, respectively) and in the ~20–40 cm soil layer ($F = 191.784$, 65.150, and 6.270, respectively).

In the ~0–20 cm soil layer, in the ambient CO₂ concentration, the NH₄⁺–N content significantly increased with increasing N fertilizer addition ($P = 0.000$), and this was more significant at the N₃₀₀ and N₄₅₀ levels. Compared with the ambient CO₂ concentration, the NH₄⁺–N content showed a slightly increase at the N₀ and N₁₅₀ levels when the CO₂ concentration was elevated to 540 μmol·mol^{−1}, but a decrease at the N₃₀₀ and N₄₅₀ levels (reduced by 16.40% and 17.94%, respectively). This suggests that the interaction of CO₂ concentration and the high N fertilizer application could significant promote the NH₄⁺–N nutrition absorption by cotton plants. This was consistent with the trends also recorded in the total N content in cotton plants (*Table 3*). When the CO₂ concentration was elevated to 720 μmol·mol^{−1}, the soil NH₄⁺–N content was significantly increased with increasing N fertilizer application. This was consistent with previous studies on other plants, such as wheat, *Phoebe bournei* and rice (Bloom et al., 2002; Han et al., 2003; Liu et al., 2018). In rice–wheat rotation farmland ecosystems, following an increase in CO₂ concentration, soil ammonia-oxidizing bacteria decreased, as did the nitrification activity of the dominant strain, whereas the soil NH₄⁺–N mass fraction increased (Lin et al., 2005). This trend was similar to the soil NO₃[−]–N content; overall, the soil NH₄⁺–N content in the CO₂ 540–N₁₅₀ and CO₂ 540–N₃₀₀ treatment groups was lower than that in other CO₂–N treatment groups.

Compared with the ~0–20 cm soil layer, the NH₄⁺–N content in the ~20–40 cm soil increased, but not significantly. The soil NH₄⁺–N content increased with increasing CO₂ concentration in the ~20–40 cm soil layer ($P = 0.000$). When the CO₂ concentration was elevated, the soil NH₄⁺–N content was increased in proportion to the N application dosage.




Figure 3. Effects of elevated CO₂ concentration and nitrogen fertilizer application on NO₃⁻-N content (A, B) and NH₄⁺-N content (C, D) in cotton field soil. Different lowercase letters in the column indicated significant differences among different N treatments at $P < 0.05$. Different capital letters in the column indicate significant differences among different CO₂ treatments at $P < 0.05$.

Discussion

Studies have shown that elevated CO₂ concentration could promote the growth and N absorption of plants (Dijkstra et al., 2010; Xiao et al., 2017). Under the condition of enhancing CO₂ concentration, photosynthetic adaptation of C₃ plants mainly occurs under the condition of low N conditions (Stitt et al., 1999; Wang et al., 2015). Further research demonstrated that improvement in the availability of N sources and synchronous supply capacity can prevent the occurrence of adaptation (Mitchell et al., 2018).

The effect of elevated atmospheric CO₂ concentration on N uptake by plants is related to atmospheric CO₂ concentration, plant species and the form of absorbed N as well as other factors. Studies have demonstrated that cotton, wheat, corn, rice and other crops have various degrees of C/N elevation in the body under the high concentration of CO₂ and the N content of plants decreased (Lee et al., 2013), while, there was no change

in legumes (Dong et al., 2002; Wang et al., 2010). This may be attributed to the fact that legume plants have *rhizobia*, which can fix N element from the air and supplement the requirement of N nutrition for rapid growth of plants under high CO₂ concentration rather than the absence of such functions in non-leguminous plants.

Previous research reported that when the CO₂ concentration was elevated to ~500–700 $\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$, higher N fertilizer application promoted the N nutrition absorption and transformation by plants (Xu, 2012). In this study, there was also significant effect on cotton growth and N absorption when CO₂ concentration enrichment and N fertilizer application. As the atmospheric CO₂ concentration enriched, the biomass and N nutrition accumulation in the cotton increased, whereas the available N content in soil was decreased; this trend was most significant with the high N fertilizer application under a CO₂ 540 concentration. Deiglmayr et al. (2004) had found that soil N availability was reduced by elevated CO₂, the increase in plant growth may only be possible when plants increase their available nitrogen uptake. Analyzing the correlation between the changes in N content in cotton and soil under different CO₂–N combination treatments showed that high N fertilizer application was beneficial to the absorption and utilization of soil N in cotton field, especially NO₃[−]–N. Changes in the N content of soil are related to the forms of soil inorganic N in different regions. For example, the soil N in northern China mainly occurs in the form of NO₃[−]–N; thus, cotton grown in northern regions shows the selective absorption of NO₃[−]–N (Hu et al., 2006; Liu, 2010). In addition, an anaerobic environment is formed by coated cultivation, and hyperthermia and drought environments are caused by CO₂ concentrations enrichment, which will affect the activity of nitrifying microorganisms in farmland soil, which could also reduce soil the NO₃[−]–N content (Chen et al., 2002; Wang et al., 2010).

Conclusions

The results showed that the increase in cotton growth was positively influenced by the increase in N application with increasing CO₂ concentration, which was most significant at CO₂ 540 concentration treatment. During the flowering and boll-forming stages, the biomass was highest in the buds, followed by leaves, stems, and roots. When the CO₂ concentration was elevated, the total N content in the cotton plant was significant increased with the N fertilizer application increasing, and this increase effect was more significant in the CO₂ 540 treatment than that in CO₂ 720 treatment. The total N accumulation content in buds and bolls was the highest, followed by leaves and then stems, being lowest in the roots. The changes in soil NO₃[−]–N and NH₄⁺–N content were also significant with the interaction of CO₂ concentration enrichment and N fertilizer application. When the CO₂ concentration was elevated to 540 $\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$, the soil NO₃[−]–N content decreased significantly, the soil NH₄⁺–N content slightly increased with 0 and 150 kg·hm^{−2} N application. When the CO₂ concentration was elevated to 720 $\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$, the soil NO₃[−]–N content still decreased and the soil NH₄⁺–N content increased.

Overall, when CO₂ concentration was elevated to ~540–720 $\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$, the application of 300 kg·hm^{−2} N fertilizer significantly increased the cotton biomass and the total N content. It also promoted the absorption of soil N, especially of NO₃[−]–N. This suggests that when N fertilizer supplied effectively, the atmospheric CO₂ concentration enrichment could promote the photosynthesis and growth metabolism of cotton, which also increase the absorption and utilization of soil N nutrients by the

plants. Thus, as literature suggests that the N fertilizer application to Xinjiang cotton fields in the future should be increased when atmospheric CO₂ concentration enrichment. However, the impact of CO₂ concentration and N nutrients on cotton growth and available N utilization are governed by complicated physiological and ecological processes. Thus, the interaction of CO₂ concentration and N fertilizer requires further verification, as do the mechanisms involved in the impacts of elevated CO₂ concentration on different forms of N transformation and utilization in cotton–cropping systems.

Acknowledgements. This research was supported by National Natural Science Fund (Grant No. 40973061, the Major Scientific and Technological Projects of XPCC (Grant No. GKB00NKYGJ12NY), and the Special Fund for Agro-scientific Research in the Public Interest of China (Grant No. 20120312). We would like to acknowledge ISE Translation (<http://www.internationalscienceediting.cn/>) for editing this manuscript and improving its quality.

Author contribution. The authors of Ning Lyu, Lei Shi, Lingyan Sun and Fang Liu are co-first authors and contributed equally to this paper. Ning Lyu (Conceptualization, methodology, data investigation, validation and writing-original draft), Lei Shi and Ling-yan Sun (data investigation and analysis, Writing-review and editing), Fang Liu (Charts making and revision, writing-review and editing), Yun Chen and Fei-hu Yin ate co-corresponding authors (Supervision, project administration and funding acquisition.).

REFERENCES

- [1] Ainsworth, E. A., Long, S. (2005): What have we learned from 15 years of free-air CO₂ enrichment (FACE)? A meta-analytic review of the responses of photosynthesis, canopy properties and plant production to rising CO₂. – *New Phytologist* 165: 351-372.
- [2] Bloom, A. J., Smart, D. R., Nguyen, D. T., et al. (2002): Nitrogen assimilation and growth of wheat under elevated carbon dioxide. – *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 99: 1730-1735.
- [3] Bloom, A. J., Burger, M., Rubio-Asensio, J. S., et al. (2010): Carbon dioxide enrichment inhibits nitrate assimilation in wheat and Arabidopsis. – *Science* 328: 899-903.
- [4] Broughton, K. J., Smith, R. A., Duursma, R. A., et al. (2017a): Warming alters the positive impact of elevated CO₂ concentration on cotton growth and physiology during soil water deficit. – *Functional Plant Biology* 44(2): 267-278.
- [5] Broughton, K. J., Bange, M. P., Duursma, R. A., et al. (2017b): The effect of elevated atmospheric CO₂ and increased temperatures on an older and modern cotton cultivar. – *Functional Plant Biology* 44(12): 1207-1218.
- [6] Cai, C., Yin, X., He, S., et al. (2016): Responses of wheat and rice to factorial combinations of ambient and elevated CO₂ and temperature in FACE experiments. – *Global Change Biology* 22: 856-874.
- [7] Charles, W. W., James, T. F. (2019): Coupled effects of atmospheric CO₂ concentration and nutrients on plant-induced soil suction. – *Plant and Soil* 439: 393-404.
- [8] Chen, G. Y., Yong, Z. H., Liao, Y., et al. (2005): Photosynthetic acclimation in rice leaves to free air CO₂ enrichment related to both ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylation limitation and ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate regeneration limitation. – *Plant and Cell Physiology* 46(7): 1036-1044.
- [9] Chen, L. J., Wu, Z. J., Huang, G. H., et al. (2002): Elevated CO₂ effects the activities of soil urease and phosphatase. – *Chinese Journal of Applied Ecology* 13(10): 1356-1357.
- [10] Coskun, D., Britto, D. T., Kronzucker, H. J. (2016): Nutrient constraints on terrestrial carbon fixation: the role of nitrogen. – *Journal of Plant Physiology* 203: 95-109.
- [11] Cui, C., Shan, Y. L., Liu, J. H., et al. (2019): CO₂ emissions and their spatial patterns of Xinjiang cities in China. – *Applied Energy* 252: 1-12.

- [12] Deiglmayr, K., Philippot, L., Hartwig, U. A., et al. (2004): Structure and activity of the nitrate-reducing community in the rhizosphere of *Lolium preenne* and *Trifolium repens* under long-term elevated atmosphere CO₂. – *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* 49(3): 445-454.
- [13] Dijkstra, F. A., Blumenthal, D., Morgan, J. A., et al. (2010): Contrasting effects of elevated CO₂ and warming on nitrogen cycling in a semiarid grassland. – *New Phytologist* 187: 426-437.
- [14] Dlugokencky, E., Tans, P. (2018): NOAA/ESRL. – <https://www.esrl.noaa.gov/gmd/ccgg/trends/> (accessed 10 May 2018).
- [15] Dong, G. C., Wang, Y. L., Yong, H. J., et al. (2002): Effect of free-air CO₂ enrichment (FACE) on nitrogen accumulation and utilization efficiency in rice. – *Chinese Journal of Applied Ecology* 10: 1219-1222.
- [16] Fang, J. Y., Tang, Y. H., Lin, J. D., et al. (2000): *Global Ecology: Climate Change and Ecological Response*. – Higher Education Press, Beijing.
- [17] Fitzgerald, L. B., Stephe, A. P., Torbert, H. A., et al. (2005): Decomposition of soybean grown under elevated concentrations of CO₂ and O₃. – *Global Change Biology* 11: 685-698.
- [18] Gao, S. H., Guo, J. P. (2003): Responses of *Aneurolepidium Chinensis* and *Stipa Baicalensis* to high CO₂ concentration and soil drought. – *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation* 23(6): 12-14.
- [19] Gao, Z. J., Yin, F. H., Liu, Y., et al. (2014): Effect of CO₂ elevation and nitrogen application on photosynthesis, dry matter and yield of cotton. – *Xinjiang Agricultural Sciences* 51(8): 1430-1436.
- [20] Grunzweig, J. M., Korner, C. (2001): Growth water and nitrogen relation in grass model ecosystems of the semi-arid Negev of Israel exposed to CO₂. – *Oecologia* 128: 251-262.
- [21] Guo, H., Liu, X. M., Guo, X. L., et al. (2013): A preliminary analysis on the relationship between CO₂ concentrations and global climate change. – *Journal of Subtropical Resources and Environment* 8(2): 13-19.
- [22] Guo, S. W., Ran, W., Zhou, Y., et al. (2006): Discussion on the change of carbon and nitrogen metabolism in rice under the condition of elevated atmospheric CO₂ concentration. – *Chinese Journal of Rice Science* 20(5): 560-566.
- [23] Han, W. J., Liao, F. Y., He, P. (2003): The photosynthetic response of *phoebe bournei* to doubled CO₂ concentration in air. – *Journal of Central South Forestry University* 23(2): 62-65.
- [24] Hu, M. F., Tian, C. Y., Lyu, Z. Z., et al. (2006): Effects of N rate on cotton yield and nitrate-N concentration in plant tissue and soil. – *Journal of Northwest Sci-tech University of agriculture and forestry* 34(4): 63-67.
- [25] IPCC. Climate Change. (2014): *Mitigation of Climate Change. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. – Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- [26] Joel, G., Chanpin, S., Chinariello, N. R., et al. (2001): Species-specific responses of plant communities to altered carbon and nutrient availability. – *Global Change Biology* 7: 435-450.
- [27] Johnson, D. W., Cheng, W., Ball, J. T. (2000): Effects of CO₂ and N fertilization on decomposition and immobilization in ponderosa pine litter. – *Plant and Soil* 224: 115-122.
- [28] José, S. R. A., Arnold, J. B. (2016): Inorganic nitrogen form: a major player in wheat and *Arabidopsis* responses to elevated CO₂. – *Journal of Experimental Botany Advance Access* 23: 1-15.
- [29] Kimball, B. A. (1983): Carbon dioxide and agricultural yields: an assemblage and analysis of 430 prior observations. – *Agronomy Journal* 75: 779-789.
- [30] Kimball, B. A. (2016): Crop responses to elevated CO₂ and interactions with H₂O, N and temperature. – *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* 31: 36-43.

- [31] Kimball, B. A., Morris, C. F., Pinter, P. J. (2001): Elevated CO₂ concentrations, drought and soil nitrogen effects on wheat grain quality. – *New Phytologist* 2: 295-303.
- [32] Larigauderie, A., Hilbert, D. W., Oechel, W. C. (1988): Effect of CO₂ enrichment and nitrogen availability on resource acquisition and resource allocation in a grass, *Bromus mollis*. – *Oecologia* 77: 554-560.
- [33] Leakey, A. D. B., Ainsworth, E. A., Bernacchi, C. J., et al. (2009): Elevated CO₂ effects on plant carbon, nitrogen, and water relations: six important lessons from FACE. – *Journal of Experimental Botany* 60: 2859-2876.
- [34] Leakey, A. D. B., Ainsworth, E. A., Bernacchi, C. J., et al. (2011): Photosynthesis in a CO₂-rich atmosphere. – *Advances in Photosynthesis and Respiration* 34: 733-768.
- [35] Lee, K. S., Kim, H. Y., Lee, S. M., et al. (2013): Fertilizer N uptake of paddy rice in two soils with different fertility under experimental warming with elevated CO₂. – *Plant and Soil* 369: 563-575.
- [36] Li, X. M., He, X., Smith, R., et al. (2020): Temperature alters the response of hydraulic architecture to CO₂ in cotton plants (*Gossypium hirsutum*). – *Environmental and Experimental Botany* 2: 1-35.
- [37] Lin, X. G., Hu, J. L., Chu, H. Y., et al. (2005): Response of soil ammonia-oxidizing bacteria to enriched atmospheric CO₂. – *Rural Ecology environment* 21(1): 44-46.
- [38] Liu, J., Gloria, A. S., Theresa, O. A., et al. (2018): Effects of elevated atmospheric CO₂ and nitrogen fertilization on nitrogen cycling in experimental riparian wetlands. – *Water Science and Engineering* 11(1): 39-45.
- [39] Liu, Y., Yin, F. H., Gao, Z. J., et al. (2016): Effect of atmospheric CO₂ elevation on cotton growth under mulch drip irrigation in oasis of Xinjiang. – *Jiangsu Agricultural Sciences* 44(11): 108-110.
- [40] Lyu, N., Yin, F. H., Chen, Y., et al. (2015): Effects of elevated atmospheric CO₂ and nitrogen application on nitrogen utilization and soil urease activity. – *Chinese Journal of Applied Ecology* 26(11): 3337-3344.
- [41] Ma, H. L., Wu, Y. H., Zhu, J. G., et al. (2009): Effect of elevated atmospheric CO₂ concentration on soil nitrogen around roots of crops. – *Journal of Agro-environment Science* 28(4): 849-854.
- [42] Mauney, J. R., Kimball, B. A., Pinter, P. J., et al. (1994): Growth and yield of cotton in response to a free-air carbon dioxide enrichment. – *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* 70: 49-67.
- [43] Morgan, J. A., Milchunas, D. G., Lecain, D. R., et al. (2007): Carbon dioxide enrichment alters plant community structure and accelerates shrub growth in the shortgrass steppe. – *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 104(37): 14724-14729.
- [44] Najeeh et al. (2018): Mitchell, A., Leo, M. C., Peter, D. K., et al. (2018): Effects of Elevated Atmospheric CO₂ on Nitrogen (N) Assimilation and Growth of C₃ Vascular Plants will be Similar Regardless of N-Form Assimilated. – Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- [45] NOAA-ESRL. Earth's CO₂ Home Page, CO₂ now [EB/OL]. – <https://www.CO2.earth/> (accessed on 2018-12-23).
- [46] Oberbauer, S. F., Sionit, N., Hastings, S. J., et al. (1986): Effects of CO₂ enrichment and nutrition on growth, photosynthesis, and nutrient concentration of Alaskan tundra plant species. – *Canadian Journal of Botany* 64: 2993-2998.
- [47] Oechel, W. C., Cowles, S., Grulke, N., et al. (1994): Transient nature of CO₂ fertilization in arctic tundra. – *Nature* 371: 500-503.
- [48] Pitelka, L. F. (1994): Ecosystem response to elevated CO₂. – *Trends Ecology Evolution* 9: 204-207.
- [49] Roser, M., Bert, G. D. (1999): The influence of atmospheric CO₂ enrichment on plant-soil nitrogen interactions in a wetland plant community on the Chesapeake Bay. – *Plant and Soil* 210: 93-101.

- [50] Stitt, M., Krapp, A. (1999): The interaction between elevated carbon dioxide and nitrogen nutrition: the physiological and molecular background. – *Plant Cell and Environment* 6: 553-621.
- [51] Talbot, J. B., Gerard, W. W., Paul, J. P., et al. (2000): Acclimation response of spring wheat in a free-air CO₂ enrichment (FACE) atmosphere with variable soil nitrogen regimes. 3. Canopy architecture and gas exchange. – *Photosynthesis Research* 66: 97-108.
- [52] Wang, J., Wang, C., Chen, N., et al. (2015): Response of rice production to elevated CO₂ and its interaction with rising temperature or nitrogen supply: a meta-analysis. – *Climatic Change* 130: 529-543.
- [53] Wang, X. Z., Zhang, H. J., Sun, W., et al. (2010): Effects of elevated atmospheric CO₂ on paddy soil nitrogen content during rice season. – *Chinese Journal of Applied Ecology* 21(8): 2161-2165.
- [54] Xia, Y., Hu, Z. H., Liu, C., et al. (2019): Interactive effects of different levels of elevated CO₂ concentration and nitrogen fertilization on photosynthesis and growth of winter wheat. – *Research of Agricultural Modernization* 40(2): 333-341.
- [55] Xiao, L., Liu, G. B., Li, P., et al. (2017): Effects of nitrogen addition and elevated CO₂ concentration on soil dissolved organic carbon and nitrogen in rhizosphere and non-rhizosphere of *Bothriochloa ischaemum*. – *Chinese Journal of Applied Ecology* 28(1): 64-70.
- [56] Xu, Z. Z., Zhou, G. S. (2003): Advances in the adaptability of terrestrial plants to global changes. – *Progress in Natural Science* 13(2): 113-119.
- [57] Xu, Z. Z., Zhou, G. S., Xiao, C. W., et al. (2004): Responses of two dominated desert shrubs to soil drought under doubled CO₂ condition. – *Acta Ecologica Sinica* 24(10): 2186-2191.
- [58] Yang, J. L. (2002): Relationship between atmospheric CO₂ and plant nitrogen nutrition. – *Soil and Environment* 11(2): 163-166.
- [59] Yang, L. X., Wang, Y. L., Dong, G. C., et al. (2007): The impact of free-air CO₂ enrichment and nitrogen supply on grain quality of rice. – *Field Crops Research* 102: 128-140.
- [60] Yin, F. H., Liu, H. L., Xie, Z. M., et al. (2010): Movement of N, P and K of cotton drip irrigation special fertilizer in soil and the efficiency of fertilizer utilization. – *Geographical Research* 29(2): 235-243.
- [61] Yin, F. H., Gao, Z. J., Xie, Z. M., et al. (2011): Effects of different carbon and nitrogen fertilizer combination treatments on cotton canopy CO₂ concentration, photosynthesis and yield formation under drip irrigation in Xinjiang cotton field. – *Arid Zone Research* 28(4): 724-728.
- [62] Yin, F. H., Chen, Y., Zeng, S. H., et al. (2016): A kind of special carbon water soluble fertilizer for drip irrigation cotton. – *Invention patent* ZL2014103045498.2.
- [63] Zhang, F. C., Kang, S. Z., Ma, Q. L., et al. (1999): The Effects of the atmospheric CO₂ concentration increase on physiological characters and growth of cotton. – *Journal of Basic Science and Engineering* 7(3): 268-272.
- [64] Zhang, S. Z., Yan, W., et al. (2017): Effects of elevated CO₂ concentration and temperature on some physiological characteristics of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) leaves. – *Environmental and Experimental Botany* 133: 108-117.
- [65] Zhang, W. F., Wang, Z. L., Yu, S. L., et al. (2002): Effect of nitrogen on canopy photosynthesis and yield formation in high-yielding cotton of Xinjiang. – *Acta Agronomica Sinica* 28(6): 789-796.