

EFFECT OF SHADING ON THE WATER USE EFFICIENCY OF WINTER WHEAT (*TRITICUM AESTIVUM* L.) IN SEMI-ARID AND SEMI-HUMID REGIONS OF CHINA

SHEN, Y. B. – ZHANG, J. F. – LI, T.*

*State Key Laboratory of Eco-hydraulics in Northwest Arid Region,
Xi'an University of Technology, Xi'an 710048, China
(phone: +86-029-8231-2768)*

**Corresponding author
e-mail: litao@xaut.edu.cn*

(Received 7th Jul 2020; accepted 17th Sep 2020)

Abstract. Solar radiation has a substantial influence on winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) growth and water consumption. To elucidate the effect of solar radiation on the yield and water consumption of winter wheat, two-season pot experiments were conducted at Xi'an University of Technology in China from 2015 to 2017. Four shading treatments and a non-shaded control (CK) treatment were applied using black shading net, including 80% (L80), 60% (L60), 40% (L40), and 20% (L20) of the CK. With the increase in the shading degree, the time of winter wheat growing stage was prolonged, but the dry matter accumulation and water consumption decreased. The maximum of the water consumption and water consumption intensity for the winter wheat occurred at the filling stage, and the water-saving rate was higher than the shading rate from heading to the mature period under the L80 treatment. The water-use efficiency (WUE) under the L80 treatment and CK did not differ significantly. The harvest index for the L80 treatment was higher than that of the CK. To obtain high WUE, wheat must not receive less than 80% of solar radiation.

Keywords: solar radiation, pot experiment, shading net, evapotranspiration, harvest index

Introduction

Solar radiation is the energy source of all things and the most important factor that affects photosynthesis (Kalyanasundaram and Graetzel, 2010). Plant leaf photosynthesis and transpiration are both driven by solar energy. When the radiation reaches the saturation point of the crop, the photosynthetic rate no longer increases with higher radiation, but the transpiration rate does. A total of 98-99% of the water absorbed by the roots is dispersed into the air in the form of water vapor, and only approximately 1% of the water is absorbed for the growth of the plants. Crop water use efficiency is essentially the ratio of the photosynthates to water consumption. Based on the influence of the light intensity on photosynthesis and transpiration, the WUE must change when the solar radiation changes.

During the day, the radiation periodicity changes, and the daily evapotranspiration (ET) of the crops also shows obvious diurnal regularity. From dawn to sunrise, the ET is at the lowest level during the day. After sunrise, with the increase in light intensity, the physiological activities of the crops become more active, and the ET gradually increases before gradually decreasing with the decrease in the radiation intensity (Yu and Wang, 2010). These laws all indicate that solar radiation intensity has an effect on the ET, and a moderate reduction of the radiation intensity is accompanied by a decrease in water consumption. Most of the arid and semiarid areas in China are in the northwest with abundant radiation resources and large amounts of daily radiation but a lack of water

resources. This region has substantial potential to benefit from the control of solar radiation.

There are two primary aspects of the current research on solar radiation control. One is the effect of shading on crop yield and quality. This research is based on the fact that environmental problems, such as air pollution and an increase in aerosol particles, resulting in a significant reduction in solar radiation reaching the Earth's surface (Li et al., 2010; Mu et al., 2010; Haywood et al., 2011), or crop interplanting, resulting in a reduction in the light intensity received by the crops underneath (Gommers et al., 2013; Xie et al., 2017). Focusing on the Yangtze River Basin and the Huang-Huai-Hai region, many studies have been conducted on the effects of the reduction in radiation on crop yield and quality (Mo et al., 2015; Ili et al., 2017). These studies mostly utilize white or black shading nets with different layers and needles to obtain different shading degrees (SDs). Where the SD gradient is lower, the SD range of the light is relatively centralized, and the SD is generally larger. Thus, the time for shading is shorter and more concentrated on certain growing stages of the crops. Most of the measurements involve the reduction in yield and quality. Recent studies have also shown that when the intensity of the natural light is 88% lower, there is an increase in yield due to the prolonged leaf growth (Mu et al., 2010; Xu et al., 2016). These studies focused on the effects of light intensity on crop growth indicators and yield but did not consider crop water consumption. Besides, changes in the growth of the crop indicate their impact on water consumption.

The effect of shading on economic crops is primarily concentrated in orchards. The results show that the shading nets can reduce solar radiation, prevent fruit burns, and reduce damage from hail (Bogo et al., 2012) and birds (Ashraf and Harris, 2013). A reduction in solar radiation also improves orchard microclimate. At high radiation and temperature, shading can reduce the temperature, increase the relative humidity of the air and reduce the wind speed (Lopez et al., 2018; Mupambi et al., 2018). Thus, this shading reduces water consumption (McCaskill et al., 2016). Shading increased the photosynthesis in fruit trees under a water deficit but also decreased the total absorption of light (Girona et al., 2012). The yield and light absorption increased approximately linearly. In the case of excess radiation, the protection and recovery of photosynthesis will consume photosynthates; thus, increasing the accumulation of dry matter. These studies indicated that the protection of fruit trees by a shading network will reduce water consumption and increase the yield of the fruit. However, for field crops, the use of shading to change their water consumption, as measured by the daily water consumption, water consumption in different growing stages, and the trend of WUE, merits further study.

With the increases in aerosols, air pollutants, and population density, dimming, or shading have become major challenges to crop production in many areas of the world (Mu et al., 2010). Experiments with relative heavy shading treatments were applied, the grain yield decreased. Whether evapotranspiration decrease at the same time needs further research. In this study, the winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) planting area accounts for nearly 22% of the total sown area of grain crops (NBS, 2014) was selected as the experimental object. As a C3 specie, wheat is more susceptible to photoinhibition under high solar radiation than C4 plants. The photosynthetic rate is relatively low, and the CO₂ concentrating mechanism operates at higher leaf conductance (Sonoike, 2011; Tikkanen et al., 2014; Guidi et al., 2019). Radiation control experiments were conducted to clarify the effects of the long-term control of radiation on wheat

photosynthetic growth, the trend of wheat evapotranspiration under different shading degrees, the key period of water consumption, and the influence of the law of shading on the wheat yield and water use efficiency.

Materials and methods

Experimental site

Two-season pot experiments were conducted from October 2015 to June 2017 at the experimental site at the Xi'an University of Technology, Xi'an, Shaanxi Province, China (108°93' E, 34°23'N, 416 m H). The soil type was a loam containing 10.0 g kg⁻¹ organic matter, 1.30 g kg⁻¹ total nitrogen, 22.3 mg kg⁻¹ available phosphate, and 110.51 mg kg⁻¹ available potassium. The soil bulk density was 1.41 g cm⁻³ with a pH of 8.03. The climate data during the experimental period were shown in Figure 1.




Figure 1. Solar radiation intensity (SRI, a, d), temperature (T, b, e), relative humidity (RH, c, f) in the atmosphere during the shading period in 2015-2016 and 2016-2017

Experimental design and field management

One cultivar of winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) currently used in local production, 'Xinong 979', was chosen for the pot experiments. The top of the wheat canopy was shaded using black polyethylene screens with different needle numbers and layers from the wintering (December 20th 2015, December 18th 2016) to the maturity periods (May 28th 2015, May 24th 2016). The shading net was 20 cm above the wheat canopy. Five treatments were set up: (1) full radiation, CK; (2) 80% of full radiation with 1 layer 2 needles black polyethylene screen, L80; (3) 60% of full radiation with 1 layer 3 needles black polyethylene screen, L60; (4) 40% of full radiation with 1 layer 2 needles and 1 layer 3 needles black polyethylene screen, L40; and (5) 20% of full radiation with 1 layer 6 needles black polyethylene screen, L20. Five treatments were

established with four replications, 20 treatments in total. The shading experimental culture layout was shown in *Figure 2*.




Figure 2. Image of shading experimental culture. CK refers to the no shading treatment (control), L80, L60, L40, and L20, refer to 80%, 60%, 40%, and 20% of the incident solar radiation, respectively

The size of each pot was 0.4 m in diameter and 0.6 m in height. The pots were placed under a rotary rain shelter, which could change the rotation angle to keep radiation indirectly, erected in the experimental site. Each pot was uniformly packed with 90 kg soil at a bulk density of 1.41 g cm^{-3} . Fifty wheat seeds were broadcast sown in each pot on October 18, 2015, and October 16, 2016, and four weeks after sowing, thinning was done to obtain the desired density of 40 seedlings per pot. The pot experiments were well irrigated with the soil water content (SWC) ranging from 65% to 95% of field capacity (FC). When the SWC of some treatments was near 65% of FC, all the treatments were irrigated to 95% of FC. The SWC was maintained by weighing the pot and its contents. N, P_2O_5 and K_2O were applied as base fertilizers at 220, 150 and 150 kg ha^{-1} , respectively. A total of 75 kg ha^{-1} N was applied as a top dressing in the wintering period. Fungicides were sprayed to against powdery mildew.

Measurements and methods of the microclimate in the pot experiments

Microclimate in the pot experiments

The temperature and relative humidity (RH) of the canopy under the shading screens were measured using a thermohygrometer (WS-1, Tianjin Fengyang Co. Ltd., China). Photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) was measured using a Li-6400 system (Licor, USA). All the data were monitored every 60 min from 8:00 to 20:00 for 30 days after anthesis. The data measured were shown in *Table 1*. The daily meteorological data of the atmosphere, including temperature, RH and total radiation, were recorded every 5 min using a portable automatic weather station (Watchdog 2900ET, Spectrum Technologies Inc., USA).

Table 1. Changes in the temperature, relative humidity and photosynthetically active radiation in the canopy under the shading conditions

Treatment		8:00	9:00	10:00	11:00	12:00	13:00	14:00	15:00	16:00	17:00	18:00	19:00	20:00
Temperature (°C)	CK	14.9	16.2	18.4	22.7	22.1	24.6	26.1	25.4	24.8	22.5	21.5	19.5	18.5
	L80	13.9	14.6	15.5	19.8	21.5	22.4	24.0	25.3	25	23.2	20.7	19.4	18.5
	L60	14.2	14.4	15.4	18.7	20.6	21.7	23.4	23.5	24.3	22.5	20.6	19.4	18.3
	L40	14.1	14.4	15.5	18.5	20.7	21.5	23.4	24.1	24.2	22.1	20.4	19.6	18.5
	L20	14.3	14.5	15.3	18.2	20.6	21.6	23.3	24.2	24.1	21.5	20.3	19.4	18.4
Relative humidity (%)	CK	60.8	55.4	53.4	46.5	46.3	42.4	37.8	35.5	33.4	34.7	38.3	40.1	44.9
	L80	62.1	54.7	53.6	49.4	46.3	41.5	39.5	36.4	33.4	36.6	40.5	41	50.8
	L60	61.2	52.6	54.3	51.5	47.7	43.4	39.7	37.8	35.5	36.7	41.8	41.4	50.4
	L40	60.8	55.3	57.6	54.3	48.5	44.5	39.1	37.5	36.8	39.5	40.7	41.8	50.2
	L20	61.4	51.2	53.4	49.7	48.8	45.6	40	38.6	36.7	39.4	41.5	41.9	51
Photosynthetically active radiation (μmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹)	CK	425	701	818	1017	1121	1205	1189	1128	903	417	65	7	0
	L20	325	556	649	813	902	961	945	894	700	315	49	5	0
	L40	246	400	478	604	678	718	699	675	530	240	35	4	0
	L60	164	279	321	400	450	474	460	435	350	150	21	2	0
	L80	71	126	152	200	226	246	258	238	145	60	8	2	0

CK refers to the ‘no shading’ treatment (control), L80, L60, L40, and L20, refer to 80%, 60%, 40%, and 20% of the incident solar radiation, respectively. The data were the average values on March 18th in 2016

Winter wheat growing stages

Winter wheat growing stages were defined base on the Irrigation Experiment Standard (SL13-2015) of China. Winter wheat growth stage was defined as ten percent of pot winter wheat got in one growth stage.

Grain yield components

At the maturity stage of winter wheat, the spike numbers and grain yield (GY) were measured of each pot. Also, 20 representative plants were selected consecutively in each pot, and the kernel numbers per spike and 1000-grain weight were examined in the experiment. All plant parts (leaves, spikes, and stems) were oven dried at 60 °C for 72 h to calculate dry matter accumulation (DMA). Harvest index (HI) was calculated as the ratio of GY to DMA. Measurement was performed according to the Irrigation Experiment Standard (SL13-2015) of China.

Evapotranspiration and water use efficiency

The experimental pots were weighed every day at 8:00 am using digital scales (TCS-CC, Fuzhou Kedi Electronic Technology Co., Ltd., China) to calculate the water consumption. The water consumption over time was calculated using a water balance equation that incorporates the difference in the weight of the pots with their plants and soil and the mass of water added to them. The ET was calculated as

$$ET = \frac{\Delta W + W_a}{S} \quad (\text{Eq.1})$$

where ΔW is the pot weight difference, kg; W_a is the mass of added water, kg; and S is the area of the pot, m². The daily mean evapotranspiration of each growing stage (ET_{dm})

was calculated as divide the evapotranspiration in each growing stage (ET_{gs}) by days of each growing stage. The experimental pots were weighed every 2 h on typical sunny days February 25th, March 22nd, April 7th, April 28th, May 15th 2016 for each growing stage to obtain the typical day ET. The wheat WUE was determined as follows:

$$WUE = \frac{GY}{WET} \quad (\text{Eq.2})$$

where GY is grain yield of winter wheat, kg ha^{-1} ; WET is the whole growth period evapotranspiration of each pot, mm. WET was considered the equivalent of the total amount of irrigation plus the soil water in pots before sowing minus the residual after final harvest.

Statistical analysis

All the data were subjected to a one-way analysis of variance, and Duncan's Range Test was used to determine the significance of the differences between the treatments using SPSS statistical software (SPSS 20.0) (SPSS, Inc., USA).

Results

The microclimate of the pot experiment

Figure 1 shows the changes in solar radiation intensity (Fig. 1a and d), temperature (Fig. 1b and e), and relative humidity (Fig. 1c and f) in the atmosphere during the shading period. The solar radiation intensity (SRI, Fig. 1a and d) and temperature (Fig. 1b and e) increased roughly from January to May. The accumulated SRI from January to March in 2016 was higher than that in 2017, but that was lower from April to May. The total SRI with a value of 91.32 MJ m^{-2} in 2016 was higher than that in 2017 during the shading period. SRI under shading nets was shown as PAR, as shown in Table 1. In the period of high radiation intensity 10:00-16:00 light control met the design requirements, the rest of the day due to the solar radiation angle was too small, shading rate was higher.

Table 1 displays the change in temperature and RH in the canopy under the shadings condition of March 18, 2016. The canopy temperature decreased as the shading degree increased, compared with the CK. The temperature at 14:00 decreased by 2°C , 3°C , 3°C , and 3°C under the L80, L60, L40 and L20 treatment, respectively. The RH in the canopy increased with the reduction in solar radiation under the shading treatments. Compared with the CK, the RH increased by 1.0%, 3.0% 4.0% and 5.0% at 14:00 pm for the L80, L60, L40 and L20 treatments, respectively.

Crop growing duration

The days of the whole growth period increased from 215 to 225 with an increase in the intensity of shading (Table 2).

Except for the booting stage, shading had a significant effect on crop growing duration, while a significant difference ($p < 0.05$) was observed from sowing to the tillering stage to flowering. However, there was no significant interaction ($p > 0.05$) of shading and year during growth stages. Compared with the CK, the days of the jointing stage were prolonged by 0, 2, 4, and 5 days in 2016 and 1, 2, 3, and 4 days in 2017 for

the L80, L60, L40, and L20 treatments, respectively. The wintering period in 2016 was longer than that in 2017, while the joining stage was shorter than in 2017.

Table 2. Days (day) of different wheat-growing stages under different treatments

Years	Treatment	Sowing to tillering stage	Wintering period	Green stage	Joining stage	Booting stage	Heading to flowering	Filling stage	Mature period	Whole growth period
2015-2016	CK	63 a†	50 b	12 b	28 b	11 a	22 a	16 a	12 b	215b
	L80	63 a	51 ab	13 ab	28 b	12 a	20 b	17 a	13 ab	218 ab
	L60	63 a	52 a	13 a	30 ab	12 a	20 b	17 a	14 ab	221 ab
	L40	63 a	52 a	13 a	32 a	11 a	20 b	17 a	14 a	224 ab
	L20	63 a	52 a	13 a	33 a	11 a	20 b	17 a	14 a	225 a
2016-2017	CK	64 a	41 c	13 a	32 c	14 a	20 a	16 a	14 a	213 c
	L80	64 a	42 b	14 a	33 bc	15 a	19 ab	16 a	14 a	216 b
	L60	64 a	42 b	14 a	34 bc	15 a	19 ab	17 a	14 a	218 ab
	L40	64 a	43 a	14 a	35 ab	15 a	19 ab	17 a	14 a	220 a
	L20	64 a	43 a	14 a	36 a	15 a	18 b	18 a	14 a	221 a
L		0	30.22***	9.688***	19.15***	1.00	11.17***	5.9**	3.56*	11.4***
Y		10.71**	3808.8***	36.13***	67.60***	142.3***	52.0***	0.08	1.13	2.36
L×Y		0	1.83	0.19	0.85	0.21	1.67	0.92	3.31*	0.34

†Data followed by the same letter in a column indicate a nonsignificant difference among the treatments according to the LSD test ($P = 0.05$)

CK refers to the 'no shading' treatment (control), L80, L60, L40 and L20, refer to 80%, 60%, 40% and 20% of the incident solar radiation, respectively. L, light control; Y, year. *, significance at the .05 level; **, significance at the .01 level; ***, significance at the .001 level

Evapotranspiration and daily mean evapotranspiration of the winter wheat

The evapotranspiration at each growing stage (ET_{gs}) was affected ($p < 0.05$) by the shading from the winter period to the mature period. ET_{gs} were affected ($p < 0.01$) by the year except for the green stage, jointing stage, and filling stage (Table 3). And ET_{gs} under different shading treatments were affected ($p < 0.01$) by the growing stages (Table 4).

Table 3. Analysis of variance on evapotranspiration and daily mean evapotranspiration of each growing stage as affected by light control and years

	Factors	Seeding stage	Tillering stage	Winter period	Green stage	Joining stage	Booting stage	Heading to flowering	Filling stage	Mature period
ET_{gs}	L	2.22	1.41	10.83*	29.75**	79.50***	8.59*	35.48**	28.78**	152.21***
	Y	184.06***	344.11***	81.05***	0.30	0.83	39.82**	471.39***	5.46	233.20***
ET_{dm}	L	0.86	1.51	9.43*	71.32***	807.61***	9.63*	74.56***	35.02**	6.04
	Y	112.97***	344.21***	0.08	15.08*	197.24***	7.95*	1264.57***	5.23	0.55

ET_{gs} , evapotranspiration of each growing stage; ET_{dm} , daily mean evapotranspiration of each growing stage; L, light control; Y, year. *, significance at the .05 level; **, significance at the .01 level; ***, significance at the .001 level

The ET_{gs} from 2015 to 2016 showed that the filling stage > heading to flowering > jointing stage > booting stage > wintering period > mature stage under CK and L80 treatments, filling stage > heading to flowering > booting stage > jointing stage > mature stage > wintering period under L60, L40, and L20 treatments, (Fig. 3, Table 4). It was similar from 2016 to 2017, except that the ET_{gs} in the booting stage was higher than that in the heading to the flowering stage (Table 4). The water consumption of the winter wheat was primarily concentrated in the four growing stages, including the

jointing, booting, heading to flowering and filling stages, accounting for 78.42% of the whole growth period, and the highest rate of water consumption was in the filling period, accounting for 27.34%.

Table 4. Evapotranspiration and daily mean evapotranspiration of each growing stage (ET_{gs} , ET_{dm} , mm) of different shading treatments under different growing stages

Factors		ET_{gs}					ET_{dm}				
Y	G	CK	L80	L60	L40	L20	CK	L80	L60	L40	L20
2015-2016	Seeding stage	10.71h†	11.01f	12.12 g	12.17 h	12.89 h	0.46h	0.47f	0.52g	0.52g	0.56g
	Tillering stage	16.64g	17.25e	18.28f	17.79g	18.70g	0.47h	0.49f	0.52g	0.50g	0.53g
	Wintering period	33.51e	32.70d	32.04e	30.09f	29.35f	0.67g	0.64f	0.61g	0.57g	0.56g
	Green stage	16.15g	14.18ef	13.12g	11.52h	11.09h	1.34f	1.09e	1.00f	0.88f	0.85f
	Jointing stage	93.24c	79.42c	58.40d	54.13d	57.45d	3.33d	2.63d	1.94e	1.69e	1.74e
	Booting stage	81.18d	75.24c	70.19c	62.96c	59.92c	7.38b	6.27b	5.84b	5.72b	5.44b
	Heading to flowering	150.98b	121.83b	106.42b	106.26b	100.88b	6.86c	6.09b	5.32c	5.31c	5.04c
	Filling stage	180.97a	139.51a	129.95a	119.13a	116.83a	11.3a	8.20a	7.64a	7.00a	6.87a
	Mature period	29.57f	32.15e	33.94e	48.60e	52.78e	2.46e	2.67c	2.42d	4.05d	4.79d
2016-2017	Seeding stage	15.94h	16.21h	16.21f	16.16g	16.56g	0.72f	0.7e	0.7d	0.7f	0.72f
	Tillering stage	23.89g	23.88g	23.88e	23.96f	24.13e	0.68f	0.68e	0.68d	0.68f	0.69f
	Winteringperiod	29.34f	28.26f	25.12e	23.36f	22.12f	0.72f	0.67e	0.6d	0.54f	0.51f
	Green stage	17.04h	14.82h	12.44g	10.69h	9.98d	1.31e	1.06e	0.89cd	0.76f	0.71f
	Jointing stage	95.98c	81.79c	54.9c	51.13d	50.2d	2.8d	2.48d	1.61cd	1.46e	1.39e
	Booting stage	127.01b	109.57b	106.57b	88.63b	83.73b	9.07b	7.3b	7.1a	5.91b	5.58b
	Heading to flowering	83.99d	64.28d	53.53c	49.28e	48.67d	4.2c	3.38c	2.82b	2.59d	2.7d
	Filling stage	209.16a	160.01a	139.74a	120.44a	118.68a	13.07a	9.41a	8.22a	7.08a	6.98a
	Mature period	41.85e	45.56e	42.88d	61.01c	63.97c	2.82d	2.85cd	2.52bc	3.59c	3.55c
G		***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Y		***	***	0.677	***	***	0.001**	0.924	0.496	***	***
G×Y		***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***

†Data followed by the same letter in a column indicate a nonsignificant difference among the treatments according to the LSD test ($P = 0.05$)

CK refers to the 'no shading' treatment (control), L80, L60, L40 and L20, refer to 80%, 60%, 40% and 20% of the incident solar radiation, respectively. ET_{gs} , evapotranspiration of each growing stage; ET_{dm} , daily mean evapotranspiration of each growing stage; G, growing stage; Y, year. *, significance at the .05 level; **, significance at the .01 level; ***, significance at the .001 level




Figure 3. Evapotranspiration of each growing stage (ET_{gs}) for the shading treatments. CK refers to the 'no shading' treatment (control), L80, L60, L40 and L20, refer to 80%, 60%, 40% and 20% of the incident solar radiation, respectively. Data are means of four replicates. Vertical bars indicate standard error. The same letters within each growing stage in the same year are not significantly different at $P < 0.05$

The ET_{gs} decreased gradually as the degree of shading increased in each treatment from the wintering period to the filling stage. There were significant differences in water consumption among the treatments in jointing, booting, heading to the flowering, and filling stages. The ET_{gs} had significant differences among the CK, L80, and L60 treatments, while there were no significant differences among the L60, L40, and L20 treatments. Compared with the CK, the ET_{gs} of the L80, L60, L40, and L20 treatments from the jointing to the filling stages decreased by 16.09%, 27.15%, 32.04%, and 33.30%, respectively. When compared with the CK, the maximum decrease in the ET_{gs} for the L80, L60, L40, and L20 treatments was 22.91%, 28.19%, 34.17%, and 35.44% during the filling period, respectively. However, in the mature stage, the ET of the winter wheat increased gradually with the increase in the intensity of shading.

The daily mean evapotranspiration of each growing stage (ET_{dm}) was significantly affected by shading treatments ($p < 0.05$) from the winter period to the filling stage. ET_{dm} was affected ($p < 0.05$) by the year except for the winter period, filling stage, and mature period (Table 3). And ET_{dm} under different shading treatments were affected ($p < 0.01$) by the growing stages (Table 4). The ET_{dm} in each growing stage showed that the filling stage > booting stage > heading to flowering > mature stage > jointing stage in two years experiments (Fig. 4; Table 4). The ET_{dm} was larger from the booting to the filling stages than that in the other growing stages. The average ET_{dm} of all the treatments from the booting stage to the filling stage was 2.12 times greater than that of the whole growing stages. The maximum of the ET_{dm} in the filling period was 12.51 mm, while the average ET_{dm} of all the treatments was 2.59 times that of the whole growth period.




Figure 4. Daily mean evapotranspiration of each growing stage (ET_{dm}) for the shading treatments. Data are means of four replicates. CK refers to the ‘no shading’ treatment (control), L80, L60, L40 and L20, refer to 80%, 60%, 40% and 20% of the incident solar radiation, respectively. Vertical bars indicate standard error. The same letters within each growing stage in the same year are not significantly different at $P < 0.05$

Except for the mature period, the ET_{dm} decreased with the increase in the shading intensity with significant differences among the different treatments that were the same as the ET_{dm} during the same growing stage. The ET_{dm} for the L80, L60, L40 and L20 treatments decreased by 19.80%, 23.31%, 27.69%, and 30.64%, respectively, from the

booting to the filling stages and decreased by 27.45%, 32.42%, 38.04%, and 39.24% in the filling stage compared with the CK, respectively.

Typical sunny day evapotranspiration of the winter wheat at different growing stages

The ET of a typical sunny day (ET_{ts}) was divided into the ET of the daytime (from 8:00 to 20:00, ET_d) and nighttime (from 20:00 to 8:00 the next day, ET_n). Figure 5 shows the natural SRI and 2 h ET (ET_{2h}) of each treatment on a typical sunny day in each growth period from 2015 to 2016. During the daytime, the ET_{2h} changed roughly in a parabolic trend, first increasing and then decreasing, and the change in the trend was the same as that of the solar radiation.




Figure 5. Evapotranspiration of 2 h (ET_{2h}) of the winter wheat and solar radiation intensity (SRI) from 8:00 to 20:00 on typical sunny days in different growing stages (jointing stage, a, booting stage, b, heading to flowering, c, filling stage, d, mature stage, e). CK refers to the 'no shading' treatment (control), L80, L60, L40 and L20, refer to 80%, 60%, 40% and 20% of the incident solar radiation, respectively. Data are means of four replicates. The data were measured on February 25th, March 22nd, April 7th, April 28th and May 15th, 2016

Except for the mature stage (Fig. 5e), the ET_{2h} in each growing stage increased gradually in parallel with the increase in the SRI (Fig. 5). The growing stage radiation intensity increased gradually over time, peaking at approximately 13:00. The ET_{2h} peak in the jointing and booting stages was between 12:00 and 14:00, which was the same as the maximum radiation, while in the heading to the flowering and filling growing stages, the peak was between 14:00 and 16:00. The ET_{2h} rapidly increased after 10:00 and rapidly decreased after 16:00.

The ET_d , ET_n , and ET_{ts} of the wheat on typical sunny days in different growing stages are shown in Figure 6. The ET_{ts} from the booting to the filling stages (Fig. 6b, c and d) was larger than that in the other growing stages (Fig. 6a and e), which was

consistent with that of the ET_d . The ET_d of the wheat showed that the filling stage > heading to flowering stage > booting stage > jointing stage > mature stage. The proportion of the ET_n to ET_{ts} at the jointing and booting stages (Fig. 6a and b) was approximately 10%, and it increased from 12% to 19% at the heading to the flowering and filling stages (Fig. 6c and d), respectively. The proportion of the ET_d to ET_{ts} was more than 80% in all the growing stages, which indicated that the ET_d was the primary part of the ET_{ts} . Except the mature stage, the ET_n from the jointing to the filling stages of the L80, L60, L40, and L20 treatments decreased by 10.37%, 15.33%, 24.06%, and 23.92%, respectively, compared with the CK, which was significantly smaller than that of the daytime.




Figure 6. Typical sunny day evapotranspiration (ET_{ts}), evapotranspiration of day (ET_d) and night (ET_n) of winter wheat on typical sunny days of each growing stage (jointing stage, a, booting stage, b, heading to flowering, c, filling stage, d, mature stage, e). The data were measured on February 25th, March 22nd, April 7th, April 28th and May 15th, 2016. Data are means of four replicates. CK refers to the 'no shading' treatment (control), L80, L60, L40 and L20, refer to 80%, 60%, 40% and 20% of the incident solar radiation, respectively. Vertical bars indicate standard error. The same letters within each growing stage are not significantly different at $P < 0.05$

From the jointing to the filling stages, the ET_{ts} decreased with the increase in the shading degree. The difference of the ET_{ts} among the CK, L20, and L40 treatments was significant, while that between the L60 and L80 treatments was not significant at the booting and filling stages. At the mature period (Fig. 6e), the ET_{ts} increased with the increase in the shading degree.

Effects of shading on the grain yield and WUE

The grain yield (GY) was affected ($p < 0.001$) by both shading and year. And, there was significant interaction ($p < 0.001$) of shading and year. Grain yield components kernel number per spike (KNPS), spike number, 1000-grain weight were affected ($p < 0.001$) by both shading and year (Table 5).

Table 5. Effect of Shading on the kernel number per stem (KNPS), spike number (spike no.), 1000-grain weight, grain yield (GY), dry matter accumulation (DMA), whole evapotranspiration (WET), water use efficiency (WUE) and harvest index (HI) of the winter wheat in 2015-2016 and 2016-2017

Years	Treatments	KNPS	Spike no. (10^4 ha^{-1})	1000-grain weight (g)	GY (kg ha^{-1})	DMA (g stem^{-1})	HI (%)	WET (mm)	WUE ($\text{kg ha}^{-1}\text{mm}^{-1}$)
2015- 2016	CK	42.73a†	610.33a	43.93a	11454.3a	3.13a	42.17a	613.01a	18.69a
	L80	40.88b	554.19b	42.300b	9583.85b	2.63b	44.38a	518.26b	18.49a
	L60	26.44c	481.67c	34.40e	4761.52c	1.90c	35.13b	479.60c	9.94b
	L40	25.24d	373.92d	39.26d	3705.54d	1.58cd	35.05b	462.74d	8.01c
	L20	24.87d	292.35e	40.31c	2955.17e	1.46d	33.69b	459.91e	6.43d
2016- 2017	CK	49.56a	591.16a	45.78a	13412.2a	3.96a	46.50a	644.20 a	20.83a
	L80	46.22b	536.87b	44.55b	11052.7b	3.67b	48.75a	544.37 b	20.30a
	L60	30.21c	466.68c	38.97d	5495.57c	2.52c	38.75b	475.28c	11.57b
	L40	29.14d	360.69d	39.45c	4146.11d	2.10d	37.75bc	444.67d	9.32c
	L20	27.33d	285.63e	38.65d	3016.16e	1.89e	35.00c	438.02d	6.89d
	L	2538***	3029.0***	611.1***	7367***	348.53***	52.4***	607.9***	2274.45***
	Y	665.9***	51.32***	64.01***	460.1***	306.45***	23.21***	0.92	163.22***
	L×Y	18.6***	0.69	46.44***	63.00***	7.72***	0.69	16.56***	6.16***

†Data followed by the same letter in a column indicate a nonsignificant difference among the treatments according to the LSD test ($P = 0.05$)

CK refers to the 'no shading' treatment (control), L80, L60, L40 and L20, refer to 80%, 60%, 40% and 20% of the incident solar radiation, respectively. Data are means of four replicates. L, light control; Y, year. *, significance at the .05 level; **, significance at the .01 level; ***, significance at the .001 level

There were significant differences in the wheat GY, KNPS, spike number and 1000-grain weight among the treatments, and the yield decreased gradually with the increase in the shading degree. Compared with the CK, the yields of the L80, L60, L40, and L20 treatments decreased by 16.96%, 58.73%, 68.37% and 75.86%, respectively. The yield decreased by more than 50% when the shading degree exceeded 40%. The KNPS and spike number decreased with the increase in the shading degree. The 1000-grain weight decreased first and then increased with the increase in the shading degree, and the minimum value was observed in the L60 treatment.

Dry matter accumulation (DMA) and harvest index (HI) were affected ($p < 0.001$) by both light control and year. The DMA decreased gradually with the increase in the shading degree. There were significant differences for the DMA among the CK, L80 and L60 treatments but no significant difference between the L40 and L20 treatments from 2015 to 2016. With the increase in the shading degree, the HI had increased first and then decreased (Table 5). The largest HI was 44.38% in L80 treatment.

The whole growth period ET (WET) and water use efficiency (WUE) were affected ($P < 0.001$) by light control (Table 5). The WET and WUE were significant interactions ($p < 0.001$) of light control and year. However, WET was not affected ($P > 0.05$) by year. The WET decreased gradually with the increase in the shading degree. Except for the L40 and L20 treatments in 2017, there were significant differences among the other

treatments. With the increase in the shading degree, the WET of the L80, L60, L40 and L20 treatments decreased by 15.48%, 24.00%, 27.74% and 28.49%, respectively, relative to the CK, and the reduction in the WET between the treatments was not obvious when the light was shaded to less than 40% of the full radiation.

The WUE decreased gradually with the increase in the shading degree, and the difference of the WUE was not significant between the CK and L20 treatment, but the WUE differed significantly among the other treatments. The WUE with shading over 40% of the full radiation decreased greatly. Compared with the CK treatment, the WUE of the L80, L60, L40 and L20 treatments decreased by 1.80%, 45.64%, 56.20%, and 66.26%, respectively.

Figure 7 shows the fitting curve and equation of the yield, WET and water use efficiency with the natural light transmission degree (NLTD). The GY, WET and WUE all had a close-fitting relationship with the NLTD. The relationship between the yield and NLTD is the “S” curve (Fig. 7a). When the NLTD was less than 60%, there was a relatively flat period. The yield increased rapidly when the NLTD was greater than 0.6 and slowed when the transmittance was more than 0.8.




Figure 7. Regression analyses of the grain yield (GY, a), whole evapotranspiration (WET, b) and water use efficiency (WUE, c) with the transmittance of ambient light on the wheat canopy.
**Significance at the 1% ($P = 0.01$) level

The relationship between the WET and NLTD was fitted using an exponential function (Fig. 7b). The growth rate of the WET increased in parallel with the increase in the NLTD. The WET increased slowly with the NLTD of 0.6 and increased approximately linearly with an NLTD of more than 0.8. The relationship between the WUE and NLTD was fitted by the “S” curve (Fig. 7c). When the NLTD was less than 0.6, the WUE increased very slowly, and then the WUE increased rapidly in parallel with the NLTD from 0.6 to 0.8. Finally, when the NLTD was more than 0.8, the WUE also increased very slowly.

Discussion

Experiment pots near to each other (25 cm) reduced the shading effect on temperature and RH (Table 1). Compared with the CK, the average temperature decreased by 1, 1.6, 1.6, and 1.7 °C under the L80, L60, L40 and L20 treatment,

respectively. While, the average RH increased by 1.3, 1.9, 2.6 and 2.9% respectively. Therefore, solar radiation became the main factor in determining winter wheat growth and water consumption.

Shading decreased light intensity. Winter wheat photosynthesis time was prolonged to get enough solar radiation to complete winter wheat vegetative and reproductive growth (Yu and Wang, 2010). Phenological stages delayed and growth period prolonged by shading. The difference in phenological stages between treatments gradually increased and got stable after the booting period. Since the radiation amount before the booting period was relatively small, the shading had a greater influence on crop growth. And the later phenological stages radiation amount increased to accumulate enough crop substances (Fig. 1a and d). With the growth of wheat, the temperature and the radiation increased gradually (Fig. 1a, b, d and e). The increase in temperature and radiation causes an increase in crop water consumption (Miralles et al., 2011; Sorrentino et al., 1997). Compared with CK treatment, days of mature period under shading treatments condition delayed to get a higher temperature and more energy, it caused ET to increase. As, ET_{gs} , ET_{dm} , ET_{ts} increased with shading degree increased in Figures 3, 4, 5e, and 6e. The extension of growth time was prolonged and the shading treatment was mainly prolonged at the early stages of winter wheat (Table 2). To reduce days prolonged by shading, shading should after the booting stage.

With the growth of wheat, solar radiation and temperature was gradually increasing. The ET_{gs} , ET_{dm} , ET_{ts} and WET (except for the mature period) gradually decreased as the shading degree increased (Figs. 3, 4, 5, and 6.), and the difference was significant. The larger ET_{gs} , ET_{dm} and ET_{ts} were concentrated from the booting to the filling stages. The ET_{gs} indicated water consumption intensity. Under supplemental irrigation showed winter wheat water demand law. Booting stage to filling stage is also a critical period of water requirement for wheat (Zhang et al., 2011; Zheng et al., 2014; Zhou et al., 2018). Irrigating during this period can increase the weight of 1000 kernels, crop yield and water use efficiency (Dandan et al., 2013; Zhou et al., 2018). Shading from booting to the filling stages can reduce more ET, while short time shading after booting also has less effect on the growth period.

Winter wheat ET of different growing stages reflects the distribution of water consumption, and ET in typical sunny weather reflects the water consumption potential. Shading degree affects the efficiency of ET in winter wheat, which better reflects the water-saving efficiency of shading (Table 6). Water-saving rates higher than shading rates were observed in treatment L80 from the heading stage to the filling stage in the growing period, and from the booting stage to the filling stage under typical sunny weather conditions. The reduction of radiation during rainy days diminished the shade water-saving efficiency. The increase in shading degrees under other treatments also led to a decrease in water-saving efficiency.

Solar radiation has a greater impact on wheat ET. The ET increased rapidly at daybreak and decreased rapidly at sunset (Fig. 5). ET_d accounted for more than 80% of the ET_{ts} (Fig. 6), while ET_n in each growth period had little change. Because light is a signal for stomatal movement in the absence of water stress, and stomatal regulation is the most important way for plants to adjust their transpiration rate (Yu and Wang, 2010). In day time 6 h of ET accounted for more than 70% of the ET_d . The peak photosynthetic rate of the wheat was from 10:00 am to 12:00 pm (Mu et al., 2009). To improve the water use efficiency, 11:00 to 17:00 can be selected as the daytime light control period by shading.

Table 6. Shading effect on winter wheat water reduced rate (%) of growing period and typical sunny day under the different treatments

	Treatment	Wintering period	Green stage	Jointing stage	Booting stage	Heading to flowering	Filling stage	Mature period
Growing period	L80	3.05	12.63	14.80	10.53	21.39	23.20	-8.79
	L60	9.38	22.90	40.08	14.81	32.89	30.69	-13.62
	L40	15.31	32.98	44.34	26.33	35.47	38.29	-55.06
	L20	18.53	36.40	43.04	30.13	37.62	39.35	-65.66
Typical sunny day	L80	3.95	14.64	16.28	20.41	23.98	22.34	8.41
	L60	10.16	24.09	32.11	32.00	34.14	31.09	-11.92
	L40	17.11	36.30	40.99	44.67	41.36	43.02	-47.03
	L20	15.89	39.92	71.47	47.95	43.18	47.77	-40.00

With an increase in the shading degree, the GY indices gradually decreased, while the 1000-grain weight of the L40 and L20 treatments increased. Compared with the L60 treatment, the decrease in the kernel number per spike was primarily caused by the amount of decrease of the small grains for the L40 and L20 treatments. The yield of the L80 treatment decreased significantly compared with the CK, which is different from the results reported by Mu et al. (2009). This difference may be due to sufficient irrigation in this experiment since there was a larger GY for the CK treatment (Mu et al., 2010). The yield gradually increased with a decrease in the shading degree (Fig. 7a), and the yield change rate was different in each treatment.

Shading significantly reduced the GY of L80 treatment compare to CK treatment, but the WUE was not obvious, and there was little difference. The reduction in water consumption weakened the reduction in GY. The increase in HI also promoted the increase in WUE of L80 treatment. The increase in the harvest index of the L80 treatment indicated that the shading could promote the conversion of photosynthates to yield (Zhang et al., 2016). The ET decreased significantly, but the decrease in the WUE was not significant when the shading degree increased. According to Xu et al. (2016), when the shading degree was 12%, the yield increased, indicating that a higher WUE could be achieved under slight shading. In the areas with water shortages and greater light intensities, the crop yield will decrease under inadequate irrigation, and the WUE will be further increased under shading. In terms of the comprehensive yield, ET and WUE, the shading degree should be between 0 and 0.2.

The existing research on the effect of light on crops, crop yield, or the water consumption of fruit trees is based on a certain shading degree of the local natural solar radiation. The target SRI is not quantitative. With quantitative SRI, excessive radiation can be shaded, and rainy-day radiation will be increased to obtain a high yield of grain. However, crop species, growing stages, and geographical locations differ. The saturated light intensity in the different growing stages can be used as a reference for quantitative analysis.

Conclusions

The shading delayed the growth period of the wheat, and 80% of the full radiation increased the transformation of the photosynthates to the yield of grain. With the increase in the shading degree, the ET of the wheat gradually decreased. The ET was concentrated from the jointing to the filling stages. The daily ET was greater from the

booting to the filling stages with the peak time from 12:00 to 14:00. When the solar radiation was less than 80%, the GY decreased significantly with a similar WUE. To ensure a consistent yield of grain and improve the effect of shading on WUE, shading time and degree should be reduced. Shading time should be from the booting stage to the filling stage, and shading degrees should not more than 20% of natural solar radiation.

Acknowledgments. The project was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 51609197), CAS “Light of West China” Program (No. XAB2016AW06), Programme of Introducing Talents of Discipline to Universities (No. 104-451115012), and Scientific Research Program Funded by Shaanxi Provincial Education Department (No. 16JS084).

REFERENCES

- [1] Ashraf, M., Harris, P. J. C. (2013): Photosynthesis under stressful environments: an overview. – *Photosynthetica* 51(2): 163-190.
- [2] Bogó, A., Trezzi, C., Rufato, L. (2012): The effect of hail protection nets on Glomerella leaf spot in ‘royal Gala’ apple. – *Crop Protection* 31(1): 40-44.
- [3] Dandan, Z., Jiayin, S., Kun, L., Quanru, L., Quanqi, L. (2013): Effects of irrigation and wide-precision planting on water use, radiation interception, and grain yield of winter wheat in the North China Plain. – *Agricultural Water Management* 118: 87-92.
- [4] Girona, J., Behboudian, M. H., Mata, M., Del Campo, J., Marsal, J. (2012): Effect of hail nets on the microclimate, irrigation requirements, tree growth, and fruit yield of peach orchards in Catalonia (Spain). – *Journal of Horticultural Science and Biotechnology* 87(6): 545-550.
- [5] Gommers, C. M. M., Visser, E. J. W., St Onge, K. R., Voesenek, L. A. C. J., Pierik, R. (2013): Shade tolerance: when growing tall is not an option. – *Trends in Plant Science* 18(2): 65-71.
- [6] Guidi, L., Lo Piccolo, E., Landi, M. (2019): Chlorophyll fluorescence, photoinhibition and abiotic stress: does it make any difference the fact to be a C3 or C4 species? – *Frontiers in Plant Science* 10(February): 1-11.
- [7] Haywood, J. M., Bellouin, N., Jones, A., Boucher, O., Wild, M., Shine, K. P. (2011): The roles of aerosol, water vapor and cloud in future global dimming/brightening. – *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres* 116(D20).
- [8] Ili, S. Z., Milenkovi, L., Dimitrijevi, A., Stanojevi, L., Cvetkovi, D., Kevre, Ž., Fallik, E., Mastilovi, J. (2017): Light modification by color nets improve quality of lettuce from summer production. – *Scientia Horticulturae* 226: 389-397.
- [9] Kalyanasundaram, K., Graetzel, M. (2010): Artificial photosynthesis: biomimetic approaches to solar energy conversion and storage. – *Current Opinion in Biotechnology* 21(3): 298-310.
- [10] Li, H., Jiang, D., Wollenweber, B., Dai, T., Cao, W. (2010): Effects of shading on morphology, physiology and grain yield of winter wheat. – *European Journal of Agronomy* 33(4): 267-275.
- [11] Lopez, G., Boini, A., Manfrini, L., Torres-ruiz, J. M., Pierpaoli, E., Zibordi, M., Losciale, P. (2018): Effect of shading and water stress on light interception, physiology and yield of apple trees. – *Agricultural Water Management* 210(August): 140-148.
- [12] McCaskill, M. R., McClymont, L., Goodwin, I., Green, S., Partington, D. L. (2016): How hail netting reduces apple fruit surface temperature: a microclimate and modelling study. – *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* 226: 148-160.

- [13] Miralles, J., Martínez-Sánchez, J. J., Franco, J. A., Bañón, S. (2011): *Rhamnus alaternus* growth under four simulated shade environments: morphological, anatomical and physiological responses. – *Scientia Horticulturae* 127(4): 562-570.
- [14] Mo, Z., Li, W., Pan, S., Fitzgerald, T. L., Xiao, F., Tang, Y., Wang, Y., Duan, M., Tian, H., Tang, X. (2015): Shading during the grain filling period increases 2-acetyl-1-pyrroline content in fragrant rice. – *Rice* 8(1): 9.
- [15] Mu, H. R., Jiang, D., Dai, T. B., Zhang, C. H., Jing, Q., Cao, W. X. (2009): Effects of shading on wheat grain starch quality and redistribution of pre-anthesis stored nonstructural carbohydrates. – *Ying Yong Sheng Tai Xue Bao = The Journal of Applied Ecology* 20(4): 805-810.
- [16] Mu, H., Jiang, D., Wollenweber, B., Dai, T., Jing, Q., Cao, W. (2010): Long-term Low Radiation Decreases Leaf Photosynthesis, Photochemical Efficiency and Grain Yield in Winter Wheat. – *Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science* 196(1): 38-47.
- [17] Mupambi, G., Anthony, B. M., Layne, D. R., Musacchi, S., Serra, S., Schmidt, T., Kalcsits, L. A. (2018): The influence of protective netting on tree physiology and fruit quality of apple: a review. – *Scientia Horticulturae* 236: 60-72.
- [18] NBS (2014): China Statistical Yearbook [Online]. – National Bureau of Statistics of China, Beijing.
- [19] Sonoike, K. (2011): Photoinhibition of photosystem I. – *Physiologia Plantarum* 142(1): 56-64.
- [20] Sorrentino, G., Cerio, L., Alvino, A. (1997): Effect of shading and air temperature on leaf photosynthesis, fluorescence and growth in lily plants. – *Scientia Horticulturae* 69(3-4): 259-273.
- [21] Tikkanen, M., Mekala, N. R., Aro, E. M. (2014): Photosystem II photoinhibition-repair cycle protects Photosystem I from irreversible damage. – *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta - Bioenergetics* 1837(1): 210-215.
- [22] Xie, Y., Zhang, H., Zhu, Y., Zhao, L., Yang, J., Cha, F., Liu, C., Wang, C., Guo, T. (2017): Grain yield and water use of winter wheat as affected by water and sulfur supply in the North China Plain. – *Journal of Integrative Agriculture* 16(3): 614-625.
- [23] Xu, C., Tao, H., Wang, P., Wang, Z. (2016): Slight shading after anthesis increases photosynthetic productivity and grain yield of winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) due to the delaying of leaf senescence. – *Journal of Integrative Agriculture* 15(1): 63-75.
- [24] Yu, G., Wang, Q. (2010): *Ecophysiology of Plant Photosynthesis, Transpiration, and Water Use*. – Science Press, Beijing.
- [25] Zhang, Y., Shen, Y., Sun, H., Gates, J. B. (2011): Evapotranspiration and its partitioning in an irrigated winter wheat field: a combined isotopic and micrometeorologic approach. – *Journal of Hydrology* 408(3-4): 203-211.
- [26] Zhang, Y. S., Feng, W., Zhang, H. Y., Qi, S. L., Heng, Y. R., Guo, B. B., Li, X., Wang, Y. H., Guo, T. C. (2016): Effects of shading and nitrogen rate on photosynthetic characteristics of flag leaves and yield of winter wheat. – *Chinese Journal of Eco-Agriculture* 9: 1177-1184.
- [27] Zheng, C., Yu, Z., Yu, S. H. I., Cui, S., Dong, W., Zhang, Y., Zhao, J. (2014): Effects of tillage practices on water consumption, water use efficiency and grain yield in wheat field. – *Journal of Integrative Agriculture* 13(11): 2378-2388.
- [28] Zhou, L. L., Liao, S. H., Wang, Z. M., Wang, P., Zhang, Y. H., Yan, H. J., Gao, Z., Shen, S., Liang, X. G., Wang, J. H., Zhou, S. L. (2018): A simulation of winter wheat crop responses to irrigation management using CERES-Wheat model in the North China Plain. – *Journal of Integrative Agriculture* 17(5): 1181-1193.