

ON RICCI CURVATURE OF C -TOTALLY REAL SUBMANIFOLDS IN SASAKIAN SPACE FORMS

LIU XIMIN

ABSTRACT. Let M^n be a Riemannian n -manifold. Denote by $S(p)$ and $\overline{\text{Ric}}(p)$ the Ricci tensor and the maximum Ricci curvature on M^n , respectively. In this paper we prove that every C -totally real submanifolds of a Sasakian space form $\bar{M}^{2m+1}(c)$ satisfies $S \leq (\frac{(n-1)(c+3)}{4} + \frac{n^2}{4}H^2)g$, where H^2 and g are the square mean curvature function and metric tensor on M^n , respectively. The equality holds identically if and only if either M^n is totally geodesic submanifold or $n = 2$ and M^n is totally umbilical submanifold. Also we show that if a C -totally real submanifold M^n of $\bar{M}^{2m+1}(c)$ satisfies $\overline{\text{Ric}} = \frac{(n-1)(c+3)}{4} + \frac{n^2}{4}H^2$ identically, then it is minimal.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let M^n be a Riemannian n -manifold isometrically immersed in a Riemannian m -manifold $\bar{M}^m(c)$ of constant sectional curvature c . Denote by g , R and h the metric tensor, Riemannian curvature tensor and the second fundamental form of M^n , respectively. Then the mean curvature vector H of M^n is given by $H = \frac{1}{n} \text{trace } h$. The Ricci tensor S and the scalar curvature ρ at a point $p \in M^n$ are given by $S(X, Y) = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle R(e_i, X)Y, e_i \rangle$ and $\rho = \sum_{i=1}^n S(e_i, e_i)$, respectively, where $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis of the tangent space $T_p M^n$. A submanifold M^n is called totally umbilical if $h(X, Y) = g(X, Y)H$ for X, Y tangent to M^n .

The equation of Gauss for the submanifold M^n is given by

$$(1) \quad g(R(X, Y)Z, W) = c(g(X, W)g(Y, Z) - g(X, Z)g(Y, W)) \\ + g(h(X, W), h(Y, Z)) - g(h(X, Z), h(Y, W)),$$

where $X, Y, Z, W \in TM^n$. From (1) we have

$$(2) \quad \rho = n(n-1)c + n^2H^2 - |h|^2,$$

where $|h|^2$ is the squared norm of the second fundamental form. From (2) we have

$$\rho \leq n(n-1)c + n^2H^2,$$

with equality holding identically if and only if M^n is totally geodesic.

Let $\overline{\text{Ric}}(p)$ denote the maximum Ricci curvature function on M^n defined by

$$\overline{\text{Ric}}(p) = \max\{S(u, u) | u \in T_p^1 M^n, p \in M^n\},$$

where $T_p^1 M^n = \{v \in T_p M^n | \langle v, v \rangle = 1\}$.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C15, 53C40, 53C25.

Key words and phrases. Ricci curvature, C -totally real submanifold, Sasakian space form.

This work is partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China.

In [3], Chen proves that there exists a basic inequality on Ricci tensor S for any submanifold M^n in $\bar{M}^m(c)$, i.e.

$$(3) \quad S \leq ((n-1)c + \frac{n^2}{4}H^2)g,$$

with the equality holding if and only if either M^n is a totally geodesic submanifold or $n = 2$ and M^n is a totally umbilical submanifold. And in [4], Chen proves that every isotropic submanifold M^n in a complex space form $\bar{M}^m(4c)$ satisfies $\bar{\text{Ric}} \leq (n-1)c + \frac{n^2}{4}H^2$, and every Lagrangian submanifold of a complex space form satisfying the equality case identically is a minimal submanifold. In the present paper, we would like to extend the above results to the C -totally real submanifolds of a Sasakian space form, namely, we prove that every C -totally real submanifolds of a Sasakian space form $\bar{M}^{2m+1}(c)$ satisfies $S \leq (\frac{(n-1)(c+3)}{4} + \frac{n^2}{4}H^2)g$, and the equality holds identically if and only if either M^n is totally geodesic submanifold or $n = 2$ and M^n is totally umbilical submanifold. Also we show that if a C -totally real submanifold M^n of a Sasakian space form $\bar{M}^{2m+1}(c)$ satisfies $\bar{\text{Ric}} = \frac{(n-1)(c+3)}{4} + \frac{n^2}{4}H^2$ identically, then it is minimal.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let $\bar{M}^{2m+1}$ be an odd dimensional Riemannian manifold with metric g . Let ϕ be a $(1,1)$ -tensor field, ξ a vector field, and η a 1-form on $\bar{M}^{2m+1}$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^2 X &= -X + \eta(X)\xi, \quad \phi\xi = 0, \quad \eta(\phi X) = 0, \quad \eta(\xi) = 1, \\ g(\phi X, \phi Y) &= g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y), \quad \eta(X) = g(X, \xi). \end{aligned}$$

If, in addition, $d\eta(X, Y) = g(\phi X, Y)$, for all vector fields X, Y on $\bar{M}^{2m+1}$, then $\bar{M}^{2m+1}$ is said to have a contact metric structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) , and $\bar{M}^{2m+1}$ is called a contact metric manifold. If moreover the structure is normal, that is if $[\phi X, \phi Y] + \phi^2[X, Y] - \phi[X, \phi Y] - \phi[\phi X, Y] = -2d\eta(X, Y)\xi$, then the contact metric structure is called a Sasakian structure (normal contact metric structure) and $\bar{M}^{2m+1}$ is called a Sasakian manifold. For more details and background, see the standard references [1] and [8].

A plane section σ in $T_p\bar{M}^{2m+1}$ of a Sasakian manifold $\bar{M}^{2m+1}$ is called a ϕ -section if it is spanned by X and ϕX , where X is a unit tangent vector field orthogonal to ξ . The sectional curvature $\bar{K}(\sigma)$ with respect to a ϕ -section σ is called a ϕ -sectional curvature. If a Sasakian manifold $\bar{M}^{2m+1}$ has constant ϕ -sectional curvature c , $\bar{M}^{2m+1}$ is called a Sasakian space form and is denoted by $\bar{M}^{2m+1}(c)$.

The curvature tensor $\bar{R}$ of a Sasakian space form $\bar{M}^{2m+1}(c)$ is given by [8]:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R}(X, Y)Z &= \frac{c+3}{4}(g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y) \\ &+ \frac{c-1}{4}(\eta(X)\eta(Z)Y - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)X + g(X, Z)\eta(Y)\xi \\ &- g(Y, Z)\eta(X)\xi + g(\phi Y, Z)\phi X - g(\phi X, Z)\phi Y - 2g(\phi X, Y)\phi Z), \end{aligned}$$

for any tangent vector fields X, Y, Z to $\bar{M}^{2m+1}(c)$.

An n -dimensional submanifold M^n of a Sasakian space form $\bar{M}^{2m+1}(c)$ is called a C -totally real submanifold of $\bar{M}^{2m+1}(c)$ if ξ is a normal vector field on M^n . A direct consequence of this definition is that $\phi(TM^n) \subset T^\perp M^n$, which means that M^n is an anti-invariant submanifold of $\bar{M}^{2m+1}(c)$. So we have $n \leq m$.

The Gauss equation implies that

$$(4) \quad R(X, Y, Z, W) = \frac{1}{4}(c+3)(g(Y, Z)g(X, W) - g(X, Z)g(Y, W)) \\ + g(h(X, W), h(Y, Z)) - g(h(X, Z), h(Y, W)),$$

for all vector fields X, Y, Z, W tangent to M^n , where h denotes the second fundamental form and R the curvature tensor of M^n .

Let A denote the shape operator on M^n in $\bar{M}^{2m+1}(c)$. Then A is related to the second fundamental form h by

$$(5) \quad g(h(X, Y), \alpha) = g(A_\alpha X, Y),$$

where α is a normal vector field on M^n .

For C -totally real submanifold in $\bar{M}^{2m+1}(c)$, we also have (for example, see [7])

$$(6) \quad A_{\phi Y} X = -\phi h(X, Y) = A_{\phi X} Y, \quad A_\xi = 0.$$

$$(7) \quad g(h(X, Y), \phi Z) = g(h(X, Z), \phi Y).$$

3. RICCI TENSOR OF C -TOTALLY REAL SUBMANIFOLDS

We will need the following algebraic lemma due to Chen [2].

Lemma 3.1. *Let $a_1, \dots, a_n, c$ be $n+1$ ($n \geq 2$) real numbers such that*

$$(8) \quad \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \right)^2 = (n-1) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 + c \right).$$

Then $2a_1 a_2 \geq c$, with equality holding if and only if $a_1 + a_2 = a_3 = \dots = a_n$.

For a C -totally real submanifold M^n of $\bar{M}^{2m+1}(c)$, we have

Theorem 3.1. *If M^n is a C -totally real submanifold of $\bar{M}^{2m+1}(c)$, then the Ricci tensor of M^n satisfies*

$$(9) \quad S \leq \left(\frac{(n-1)(c+3)}{4} + \frac{n^2}{4} H^2 \right) g,$$

and the equality holds identically if and only if either M^n is totally geodesic or $n=2$ and M^n is totally umbilical.

Proof. From Gauss equation (4), we have

$$(10) \quad \rho = \frac{n(n-1)(c+3)}{4} + n^2 H^2 - |h|^2.$$

Put $\delta = \rho - \frac{n(n-1)(c+3)}{4} - \frac{n^2}{2} H^2$. Then from (10) we obtain

$$(11) \quad n^2 H^2 = 2(\delta + |h|^2).$$

Let L be a linear $(n-1)$ -subspace of $T_p M^n$, $p \in M^n$, and

$$\{e_1, \dots, e_{2m}, e_{2m+1} = \xi\}$$

an orthonormal basis such that

- (1) $e_1, \dots, e_n$ are tangent to M^n , (2) $e_1, \dots, e_{n-1} \in L$ and
- (3) if $H(p) \neq 0$, e_{n+1} is in the direction of the mean curvature vector at p .

Put $a_i = h_{ii}^{n+1}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then from (11) we get

$$(12) \quad \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \right)^2 = 2 \left\{ \delta + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} (h_{ij}^{n+1})^2 + \sum_{r=n+2}^{2m+1} \sum_{i,j=1}^n (h_{ij}^r)^2 \right\}.$$

Equation (12) is equivalent to

$$(13) \quad \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 \bar{a}_i \right)^2 = 2 \left\{ \delta + \sum_{i=1}^3 \bar{a}_i^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} (h_{ij}^{n+1})^2 + \sum_{r=n+2}^{2m+1} \sum_{i,j=1}^n (h_{ij}^r)^2 - \sum_{2 \leq i \neq j \leq n-1} a_i a_j \right\},$$

where $\bar{a}_1 = a_1$, $\bar{a}_2 = a_2 + \cdots + a_{n-1}$, $\bar{a}_3 = a_n$.

By Lemma 3.1 we know that if $(\sum_{i=1}^3 \bar{a}_i)^2 = 2(c + \sum_{i=1}^3 \bar{a}_i^2)$, then $2\bar{a}_1\bar{a}_2 \geq c$ with equality holding if and only if $\bar{a}_1 + \bar{a}_2 = \bar{a}_3$. Hence from (13) we can get

$$(14) \quad \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n-1} a_i a_j \geq \delta + 2 \sum_{i < j} (h_{ij}^{n+1})^2 + \sum_{r=n+2}^{2m+1} \sum_{i,j=1}^n (h_{ij}^r)^2,$$

which gives

$$(15) \quad \frac{n(n-1)(c+3)}{4} + \frac{n^2}{2} H^2 \geq \rho - \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n-1} a_i a_j + 2 \sum_{i < j} (h_{ij}^{n+1})^2 + \sum_{r=n+2}^{2m+1} \sum_{i,j=1}^n (h_{ij}^r)^2.$$

Using Gauss equation we have

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho - \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n-1} a_i a_j + 2 \sum_{i < j} (h_{ij}^{n+1})^2 + \sum_{r=n+2}^{2m+1} \sum_{i,j=1}^n (h_{ij}^r)^2 \\ = 2S(e_n, e_n) + \frac{(n-1)(n-2)(c+3)}{4} + 2 \sum_{i < n} (h_{in}^{n+1})^2 \\ + \sum_{r=n+2}^{2m+1} \left[(h_{nn}^r)^2 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (h_{in}^r)^2 + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} h_{jj}^r \right)^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

From (15) and (16) we have

$$(17) \quad \frac{(n-1)(c+3)}{4} + \frac{n^2}{4} H^2 \geq S(e_n, e_n) + 2 \sum_{i < n} (h_{in}^{n+1})^2 + \sum_{r=n+2}^{2m+1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n (h_{in}^r)^2 + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} h_{jj}^r \right)^2 \right].$$

So we have

$$(18) \quad \frac{(n-1)(c+3)}{4} + \frac{n^2}{4} H^2 \geq S(e_n, e_n)$$

with equality holding if and only if

$$(19) \quad h_{jn}^s = 0, \quad h_{in}^r = 0, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} h_{jj}^s = h_{nn}^s$$

for $1 \leq j \leq n-1$, $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $n+2 \leq r \leq 2m+1$ and, since Lemma 3.1 states that $2\bar{a}_1\bar{a}_2 = c$ if and only if $\bar{a}_1 + \bar{a}_2 = \bar{a}_3$, we also have $h_{nn}^{n+1} = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} h_{jj}^{n+1}$. Since e_n can be any unit tangent vector of M^n , then (18) implies inequality (9).

If the equality sign case of (9) holds identically. Then we have

$$(20) \quad \begin{aligned} h_{ij}^{n+1} &= 0 & (1 \leq i \neq j \leq n), \\ h_{ij}^r &= 0 & (1 \leq i, j \leq n; n+2 \leq r \leq 2m+1), \\ h_{ii}^{n+1} &= \sum_{k \neq i} h_{kk}^{n+1}, \quad \sum_{k \neq i} h_{kk}^r = 0 & (n+2 \leq r \leq 2m+1). \end{aligned}$$

If $\lambda_i = h_{ii}^{n+1}$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$), we find $\sum_{k \neq i} \lambda_k = \lambda_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) and, since the matrix $A^{(n)} = (a_{ij}^{(n)})$ with $a_{ij}^{(n)} = 1 - 2\delta_{ij}$ is regular for $n \neq 2$ and has kernel $R(1,1)$ for $n = 2$, we conclude that M^n is either totally geodesic or $n = 2$ and M^n is totally umbilical.

The converse is easy to prove. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1. $\square$

4. MINIMALITY OF C -TOTALLY REAL SUBMANIFOLDS

Theorem 4.1. *If M^n is a n -dimensional C -totally real submanifold in a Sasakian space form $\bar{M}^{2m+1}(c)$, then*

$$(21) \quad \overline{Ric} \leq \frac{(n-1)(c+3)}{4} + \frac{n^2}{4} H^2.$$

If M^n satisfies the equality case of (21) identically, then M^n is minimal.

Clearly Theorem 4.1. follows immediately from the following Lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *If M^n is a n -dimensional totally real submanifold in a Sasakian space form $\bar{M}^{2m+1}(c)$, then we have (21). If a C -totally real submanifold M^n in $\bar{M}^{2m+1}(c)$ satisfies the equality case of (21) at a point p , then the mean curvature vector H at p is perpendicular to $\phi(T_p M^n)$.*

Proof. Inequality (21) is an immediate consequence of inequality (9).

Now let us assume that M^n is a C -totally real submanifold of $\bar{M}^{2m+1}(c)$ which satisfies the equality sign of (21) at a point $p \in M^n$. Without loss of the generality we may choose an orthonormal basis $\{\bar{e}_1, \dots, \bar{e}_n\}$ of $T_p M^n$ such that $\overline{Ric}(p) = S(\bar{e}_n, \bar{e}_n)$. From the proof of Theorem 3.1, we get

$$(22) \quad h_{in}^s = 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} h_{ii}^s = h_{nn}^s, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1; s = n+1, \dots, 2m+1,$$

where h_{ij}^s denote the coefficients of the second fundamental form with respect to the orthonormal basis $\{\bar{e}_1, \dots, \bar{e}_n\}$ and $\{\bar{e}_{n+1}, \dots, \bar{e}_{2m+1} = \xi\}$.

If for all tangent vectors u, v and w at p , $g(h(u, v), \phi w) = 0$, there is nothing to prove. So we assume that this is not the case. We define a function f_p by

$$(23) \quad f_p: T_p^1 M^n \rightarrow R: v \mapsto f_p(v) = g(h(v, v), \phi v).$$

Since $T_p^1 M^n$ is a compact set, there exists a vector $v \in T_p^1 M^n$ such that f_p attains an absolute maximum at v . Then $f_p(v) > 0$ and $g(h(v, v), \phi w) = 0$ for all w perpendicular to v . So from (5), we know that v is an eigenvector of $A_{\phi v}$. Choose a frame $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ of $T_p M^n$ such that $e_1 = v$ and e_i be an eigenvector of $A_{\phi e_1}$ with eigenvalue λ_i . The function f_i , $i \geq 2$, defined by $f_i(t) = f_p(\cos t e_1 + \sin t e_2)$ has relative maximum at $t = 0$, so $f_i''(0) \leq 0$. This will lead to the inequality $\lambda_1 \geq 2\lambda_i$. Since $\lambda_1 > 0$, we have

$$(24) \quad \lambda_i \neq \lambda_1, \quad \lambda_1 \geq 2\lambda_i, \quad i \geq 2.$$

Thus, the eigenspace of $A_{\phi e_1}$ with eigenvalue λ_1 is 1-dimensional.

From (22) we know that $\bar{e}_n$ is a common eigenvector for all shape operators at p . On the other hand, we have $e_1 \neq \pm \bar{e}_n$ since otherwise, from (22) and $A_{\phi e_1} \bar{e}_n = \pm A_{\phi e_1} e_1 = \pm A_{\phi e_1} e_i = \pm \lambda_i e_i \perp \bar{e}_n$ ($i = 2, \dots, n$), we obtain $\lambda_i = 0$, $i = 2, \dots, n$;

and hence $\lambda_1 = 0$ by (22), which is a contradiction. Consequently, without loss of generality we may assume $e_1 = \bar{e}_1, \dots, e_n = \bar{e}_n$.

By (6), $A_{\phi e_n} e_1 = A_{\phi e_1} e_n = \lambda_n e_n$. Comparing this with (22) we obtain $\lambda_n = 0$. Thus, by applying (22) once more, we get $\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{n-1} = \lambda_n = 0$. Therefore, $\text{trace } A_{\phi e_1} = 0$.

For each $i = 2, \dots, n$, we have

$$h_{nn}^{n+i} = g(A_{\phi e_i} e_n, e_n) = g(A_{\phi e_n} e_i, e_n) = h_{in}^{2n}.$$

Hence, by applying (22) again, we get $h_{nn}^{n+i} = 0$. Combining this with (22) yields $\text{trace } A_{\phi e_i} = 0$. So we have $\text{trace } A_{\phi X} = 0$ for any $X \in T_p M^n$. Therefore, we conclude that the mean curvature vector at p is perpendicular to $\phi(T_p M^n)$. $\square$

Remark 4.1. From the proof of Lemma 4.1 we know that if M^n is a C -totally real submanifold of $\bar{M}^{2n+1}(c)$ satisfying

$$(25) \quad \overline{\text{Ric}} = \frac{(n-1)(c+3)}{4} + \frac{n^2}{4} H^2,$$

then M^n is minimal and $A_{\phi v} = 0$ for any unit tangent vector satisfying $S(v, v) = \overline{\text{Ric}}$. Thus, by (6) we have $A_{\phi X} v = 0$. Hence, we obtain $h(v, X) = 0$ for any X tangent to M^n and any v satisfying $S(v, v) = \overline{\text{Ric}}$. Conversely, if M^n is a minimal C -totally real submanifold of $\bar{M}^{2n+1}(c)$ such that for each $p \in M^n$ there exists a unit vector $v \in T_p M^n$ such that $h(v, X) = 0$ for all $X \in T_p M^n$, then it satisfies (25) identically.

For each $p \in M^n$, the kernel of the second fundamental form is defined by

$$(26) \quad \mathcal{D}(p) = \{Y \in T_p M^n \mid h(X, Y) = 0, \forall X \in T_p M^n\}.$$

From the above discussion, we conclude that M^n is a minimal C -totally real submanifold of $\bar{M}^{2n+1}(c)$ satisfying (25) at p if and only if $\dim \mathcal{D}(p)$ is at least 1-dimensional.

Following the same argument as in [4], we can prove

Theorem 4.2. *Let M^n be a minimal C -totally real submanifold of $\bar{M}^{2n+1}(c)$. Then*

- (1) *M^n satisfies (25) at a point p if and only if $\dim \mathcal{D}(p) \geq 1$.*
- (2) *If the dimension of $\mathcal{D}(p)$ is positive constant d , then $\mathcal{D}$ is a completely integral distribution and M^n is d -ruled, i.e., for each point $p \in M^n$, M^n contains a d -dimensional totally geodesic submanifold N of $\bar{M}^{2n+1}(c)$ passing through p .*
- (3) *A ruled minimal C -totally real submanifold M^n of $\bar{M}^{2n+1}(c)$ satisfies (24) identically if and only if, for each ruling N in M^n , the normal bundle $T^\perp M^n$ restricted to N is a parallel normal subbundle of the normal bundle $T^\perp N$ along N .*

Acknowledgments. This work was carried out during the author's visit to Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik in Bonn. The author would like to express his thanks to Professor Yuri Manin for the invitation and very warm hospitality.

REFERENCES

- [1] D.E. Blair. *Contact manifolds in Riemannian geometry*, volume 509 of *Lecture Notes in Math.* Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1976.
- [2] B.Y. Chen. Some pinching and classification theorems for minimal submanifolds. *Arch. Math.*, 60:568–578, 1993.
- [3] B.Y. Chen. Relations between Ricci curvature and shape operator for submanifolds with arbitrary codimension. *Glasgow Math. J.*, 41:33–41, 1999.
- [4] B.Y. Chen. On Ricci curvature of isotropic and Lagrangian submanifolds in the complex space forms. *Arch. Math.*, 74:33–41, 2000.
- [5] B.Y. Chen, F. Dillen, L. Verstraelen, and L. Vrancken. Totally real submanifolds of CP^n satisfying a basic equality. *Arch. Math.*, 63:553–564, 1994.
- [6] F. Defever, I. Mihai, and L. Verstraelen. B.-Y. Chen's inequality for C -totally real submanifolds of Sasakian space forms. *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital.*, B(7)(11):365–374, 1997.

- [7] F. Dillen and L. Vrancken. C -totally real submanifolds of Sasakian space forms. *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, 69:85–93, 1990.
- [8] K. Yano and M. Kon. *Structures on manifolds*, volume 3 of *Ser. Pure Math.* World Scientific, Singapore, 1984.

Received February 22, 2001.

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS,
DALIAN UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY,
DALIAN 116024, CHINA
E-mail address: `xmliu@dlut.edu.cn`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
RUTGERS UNIVERSITY,
CAMDEN, NEW JERSEY 08102, USA
E-mail address: `xmliu@camden.rutgers.edu`