

## ON ORTHONORMAL PRODUCT SYSTEMS

SCHIPP FERENC

*Dedicated to the 60th birthday of Professor W. R. Wade*

ABSTRACT. In this paper we collected the most significant results concerning product systems. In addition to that we formulate some open problems. Our purpose is to call the attention to this field which is connected to several other fields of mathematics and which was developed from dyadic analysis.

### 0. INTRODUCTION

Expansions by orthogonal and biorthogonal systems play an important role in mathematics and its applications. Several methods are available for constructing such systems. In a Hilbert space, for instance, the *Gram-Schmidt method* transforms a linearly independent system into an orthonormed one [A;1]. Orthogonal polynomials, the Franklin-system and its generalizations, orthogonal systems consisting of rational functions (discrete Laguerre, Kautz, Malmquist–Takenaka systems) are examples that can be derived this way [G;1,2,4].

On the other hand, orthonormed systems formed by *eigenfunctions of differential operators* are extensively used in mathematical physics. Another well known method is to take the *character system* of some groups. Then the tools of harmonic analysis, such as Haar measure, convolution etc., can be used. The trigonometric, the Walsh and more generally the Vilenkin systems can be viewed as character systems [A;2,5,6,7,8].

At the end of the sixties in the last century *G. Alexits* introduced the various concepts of multiplicative systems. The definition relies on the concept of product systems [A;1], [C;1-3]. The product system is formed by the collection of the finite products of the members of the original systems. The relation between the *Walsh* and the *Rademacher systems* served as a model for the definition. Using this terminology, the Walsh system is the product system of the Rademacher system in the Paley enumeration. By using appropriate conditions on the product system one can define the concepts of *multiplicative*, *strongly multiplicative* and *weakly multiplicative* systems. Namely, if the product system is orthogonal then the original

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 42C10, 42C40, 60G48, 65T50.

*Key words and phrases*. Walsh-, Haar-, Vilenkin functions, product systems, martingales, FFT algorithms.

system is called *strongly multiplicative*. If the integrals of the members of the product system, except from the one with index 0, are all equal to 0 then the system is called *weakly multiplicative*. Many of the theorems originally proved for independent random variables were transferred to multiplicative systems. Among them are the theorems on the strong law of large numbers and on iterated logarithms [C;4-6,17]. Haar like systems, that share the good convergence properties of the Haar system, can be constructed by means of product systems [F;1-3].

In the middle of the 1970's the author generalizing the concept of product system introduced a new method for constructing orthogonal systems starting from some conditionally orthogonal functions [C;7-10]. Several classical systems, including the trigonometric system in a certain rearrangement, the Walsh system or the Vilenkin system, character systems of additive and multiplicative groups of local fields and UDMD-systems, can all be constructed using this method [A;6-7], [C;24]. Walsh-similar systems (WSS) recently introduced by Sendov [E;6,7] belongs to this class if we slightly modify the original concept. Moreover, some generalizations, introduced by *G. Gát* [C;26] can be obtained in this way. Orthonormed wavelet packets (see e.g. [C;24]) can also be originated by our method.

One of the key concept in our construction is the notion of product systems of conditionally orthogonal systems. Investigating such systems we can apply concepts and methods of probability theory, especially those from the area of martingales [C;8-23]. These systems have important theoretical properties that are useful in numerical computations, too. For instance Fourier-coefficients and partial sums can be computed applying fast algorithms similar to FFT [D;1-4]. Discrete orthonormal product systems of rational functions are introduced and applied in control theory [G;1-6]. Moreover, applying some restrictions, the analogue of *M. Riesz's* on  $L^p$  norm convergence and *Carleson's theorem* on a.e. convergence of trigonometric Fourier-series also hold [A;9], [C;9,10,11]. Haar-like systems can be defined, starting from certain product systems [F;1,3].

Here we are not concerned with the summation processes related to product systems although quite a number of nice results have been proved in this area. For instance *G. Gát* [C;25] has solved a long standing problem posed by M. Taibleson in his book [A;8]. Namely, he proved that the statement analogous to Lebesgue's theorem on  $(C, 1)$  summability of trigonometric Fourier series is true for the character system of 2-adic field. For further results on summation we refer the reader to the book of *F. Weisz* [A;10] and to the paper [C;26].

## 1. CONDITIONAL EXPECTATION

In this paper we investigate conditionally orthonormal systems and introduce the notion of product systems. To this end we fix a measure space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ . The conditional expectation (CE) of the function  $f$  with respect to the sub- $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  is denoted by  $E^{\mathcal{B}}f$ . The  $L^p$ -space of  $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable functions will be denoted by  $L^p(\mathcal{B}) := L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ . Instead of  $L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  we write  $L^p$  and  $\|\cdot\|_p$  ( $0 < p \leq \infty$ ) stands for the  $L^p$ -norm or  $L^p$ -quasinorm.

The conditional expectation can be characterised by the following two properties:

$$(1.1) \quad i) \ E^{\mathcal{B}}f \in L^1(\mathcal{B}), \quad ii) \ \int_B E^{\mathcal{B}}f \, d\mu = \int_B f \, d\mu$$

for every  $f \in L^1$  and every  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  [A;9]. It is well-known that  $L^p \ni f \rightarrow E^{\mathcal{B}}f$  is a

bounded linear projection onto  $L^p(\mathcal{B})$  for every  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  and

$$(1.2) \quad \|E^{\mathcal{B}}f\|_p \leq \|f\|_p \quad (1 \leq p \leq \infty).$$

The operator  $E^{\mathcal{B}}$  is  $\mathcal{B}$ -homogeneous, i.e. if  $\lambda$  is  $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable and  $f, \lambda f \in L^1$  then

$$(1.3) \quad E^{\mathcal{B}}(\lambda f) = \lambda E^{\mathcal{B}}f.$$

Furthermore, if  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  are sub- $\sigma$ -algebras of  $\mathcal{A}$  then

$$(1.4) \quad E^{\mathcal{B}}(E^{\mathcal{C}}f) = E^{\mathcal{C}}(E^{\mathcal{B}}f) = E^{\mathcal{C}}f$$

for any  $f \in L^1$ . We note that if  $\mathcal{B} := \{\Omega, \emptyset\}$  is the trivial  $\sigma$ -algebra then

$$(1.5) \quad E^{\mathcal{B}}(f) = \int_{\Omega} f d\mu,$$

i.e. CE is a generalization of the integral (see e.g. [A;9]).

The conditional expectation operator has a simple form if  $\mathcal{B}$  is an atomic  $\sigma$ -algebra, i.e. if  $\mathcal{B}$  is generated by the collection of pairwise disjoint subsets  $B_j \in \mathcal{A}$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ) of  $\Omega$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B} &:= \sigma\{B_j : j = 1, 2, \dots, m\}, \quad B_i \cap B_j = \emptyset \\ &\quad (1 \leq i < j \leq m), \quad \mu(B_j) < \infty \quad (1 \leq j \leq m). \end{aligned}$$

The sets  $B_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, m$ ) are called the *atoms* of  $\mathcal{B}$  and the  $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable functions are exactly the step functions, constant on the  $B_j$ 's and vanishing outside  $\cup_{j=1}^m B_j$ . We denote this  $m$ -dimensional subspace of  $L^1$  by  $L(\mathcal{B})$ . Obviously in this case  $L^p(\mathcal{B}) = L(\mathcal{B})$  ( $0 < p \leq \infty$ ) and the conditional expectation is of the form

$$E(f|\mathcal{B})(x) = \frac{1}{\mu(B_j)} \int_{B_j} f d\mu \quad (x \in B_j).$$

The fact that CE has properties similar to those of integral makes it possible to extend several concepts connected with the integral to CE. For example a finite or infinite system of functions  $\varphi_n \in L^2$  ( $n \in \mathcal{N}$ ) is called a  $\mathcal{B}$ -orthonormal system ( $E^{\mathcal{B}}$ -ONS) with respect the positive,  $\mathcal{A}$  measurable weight function  $\rho$ , if

$$(1.6) \quad E^{\mathcal{B}}(\varphi_k \overline{\varphi_\ell} \rho) = \delta_{k\ell} \quad (k, \ell \in \mathcal{N}),$$

where  $\delta_{k\ell}$  is the Kronecker-symbol. If  $\mathcal{B} := \{\Omega, \emptyset\}$  is the trivial  $\sigma$ -algebra then we get the usual definition of ONS. Moreover (1.4) implies that each  $E^{\mathcal{B}}$ -ONS is an ONS in the usual sense.

Replacing the integral by CE in the definitions of Fourier-coefficients and Fourier partial sums we get the following: The function  $E^{\mathcal{B}}(f \overline{\varphi_n} \rho)$  is called the  $n$ -th  $\mathcal{B}$ -Fourier coefficient of the function  $f$  with respect to the system  $(\varphi_n, n \in \mathcal{N})$ . The  $n$ -th partial sum of the  $\mathcal{B}$ -Fourier-series of  $f$  is defined by

$$(1.7) \quad S_0^{\mathcal{B}}f := 0, \quad S_n^{\mathcal{B}}f := \sum_{k \in \mathcal{N}, k < n} E^{\mathcal{B}}(f \overline{\varphi_k} \rho) \varphi_k \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}^* := \{1, 2, \dots\}).$$

In the case  $\mathcal{B} := \{\Omega, \emptyset\}$  these notions coincide with the usual definitions of Fourier-coefficient and Fourier partial sums. The system  $\Phi$  is called  $\mathcal{B}$ -complete with respect to the set of functions  $\mathcal{F}$ , if  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $E^{\mathcal{B}}(f \overline{\varphi_n}) = 0$  ( $n \in \mathcal{N}$ ) imply  $f = 0$ . The concept of  $\mathcal{B}$ -biorthogonal systems and  $\mathcal{B}$ -biorthogonal expansions can be defined in a similar way.

## 2. PRODUCT SYSTEMS

To define product system we fix a collection of function systems

$$(2.1) \quad \Phi_k := \{\varphi_k^\ell : \ell = 0, 1, \dots, m_k - 1\} \subset L^2, \quad \text{with } \varphi_k^0 = 1 \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}),$$

where  $(m_k, k \in \mathbb{N})$  is a sequence of numbers for  $(k \in \mathbb{N} := \{0, 1, \dots\})$  satisfying  $m_k \geq 2, m_k \in \mathbb{N}$ . We shall use the *Cantor-expansion* of natural numbers with respect to the base

$$(2.2) \quad M_0 := 1, \quad M_k := m_0 m_1 \cdots m_{k-1} \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}^*).$$

It is well-known that every number  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  can be written uniquely in the form

$$(2.3) \quad n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} n_k M_k,$$

where  $n_k \in \{0, 1, \dots, m_k - 1\}$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ). Then for every  $n$  we define the (finite) product

$$(2.4) \quad \psi_n := \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \varphi_k^{n_k} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

The system  $\Psi = \{\psi_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is called the *product system* of the systems  $\Phi_k$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ). This type of product systems was introduced by the author in [C;9]. In the special case  $m_k = 2$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ) we have  $M_k = 2^k$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ) and (2.3) is the dyadic representation of  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In this case we write  $\varphi_k$  instead of  $\varphi_k^1$ . The product system corresponding to this special case, i.e. the system

$$(2.5) \quad \psi_n := \prod_{n_k=1}^{\infty} \varphi_k \quad (n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} n_k 2^k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad n_k = 0, 1)$$

was introduced by Alexits in [A;1] and will be called *the binary product system of*  $(\varphi_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$ .

To get orthonormed product systems we fix a stochastic basis, i.e. an increasing sequence of sub- $\sigma$ -algebras of  $\mathcal{A}$ :

$$(2.6) \quad \mathcal{A}_0 := \{\Omega, \emptyset\} \subset \mathcal{A}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{A}_n \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{A}$$

and a sequence  $\Phi_k$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ) of *adapted conditionally orthonormal systems* (AC-ONS) with respect to the sequence  $(\rho_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$  of weight functions. This means that the functions in  $\Phi_k$  are  $\mathcal{A}_{k+1}$ -measurable and  $\Phi_k$  is  $\mathcal{A}_k$ - or  $E_k$ -orthonormed with respect to  $\rho_k$ :

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} i) & \quad \Phi_k \subset L_{\rho_k}^2(\mathcal{A}_{k+1}) \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}), \\ ii) & \quad E_k(\varphi_k^i \overline{\varphi_k^j} \rho_k) = \delta_{ij} \quad (0 \leq i, j < m_k, k \in \mathbb{N}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $E_k$  denotes the conditional expectation with respect to  $\mathcal{A}_k$  and  $L_{\rho_k}^2(\mathcal{A}_{k+1})$  is the space of  $\mathcal{A}_{k+1}$ -measurable functions, satisfying  $\int_{\Omega} |f|^2 \rho_k d\mu < \infty$ .

To get orthonormal product system we need some additional condition for the sequence of weights. We will suppose that the sequence  $(\rho_k, k \in \mathbb{N})$  consists of positive, adaptive and conditionally normalized functions, i.e.

$$(2.8) \quad \rho_k > 0, \quad \rho_k \in L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{A}_{k+1}, \mu), \quad E_k \rho_k = 1 \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}).$$

In this case the sequence

$$(2.9) \quad R_n := \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \rho_k \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}^*)$$

forms a martingale with respect to  $(\mathcal{A}_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$ , i.e.  $R_n$  is  $\mathcal{A}_n$ -measurable and

$$(2.10) \quad E_n R_s = R_n \quad (n < s, n, s \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Indeed by (1.3) and (1.4) in the case  $s > n$  we have

$$E_n(R_s) = E_n(E_{s-1}(R_{s-1}\rho_{s-1})) = E_n(R_{s-1}E_{s-1}\rho_{s-1}) = E_n R_{s-1}.$$

Hence we get

$$E_n(R_s) = E_n R_{n+1} = E_n(R_n \rho_n) = R_n E_n \rho_n = R_n$$

and (2.10) is proved. The martingale  $(R_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$  is  $L^1$ -bounded and consequently converges  $\mu$  a.e. Namely from (2.10) we get

$$\int_{\Omega} R_s d\mu = E_0(E_1 R_s) = E_0(R_1) = E_0(\rho_0) = 1 \quad (s \in \mathbb{N}).$$

In this paper we will suppose that the partial products  $(R_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$  form a *positive, regular martingale*, i.e. there exists a function  $\rho > 0, \rho \in L^1$  with  $\int_{\Omega} \rho d\mu = 1$  such that

$$(2.11) \quad R_n = E_n \rho, \quad \text{or equivalently} \quad \rho_n = \frac{E_{n+1} \rho}{E_n \rho} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

In this case we call the sequence  $(\rho_k, k \in \mathbb{N})$  of weight *regular*. It is easy to see that the regular  $(\rho_k, k \in \mathbb{N})$  weights satisfy (2.8), in addition

$$(2.12) \quad E_n \left( \prod_{k=n}^{\infty} \rho_k \right) = 1 \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Indeed, in this case  $R_s \rightarrow \rho$  as  $s \rightarrow \infty$  in  $L^1$ -norm and consequently

$$R_n = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} E_n R_s = E_n \rho = R_n E_n \left( \prod_{k=n}^{\infty} \rho_k \right).$$

Product systems of AC-ONS with  $\rho_n = 1$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) has been introduced and investigated in [C;9].

It can be shown that if the sequence  $(\rho_k, k \in \mathbb{N})$  is regular, then the product system  $\Psi$  is an ONS with respect to the weight function  $\rho$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose that the  $(\rho_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$  sequence of weights is regular and satisfy (2.11). Let  $\Psi$  be the product system of AC-ONS satisfying (2.7). Then  $\Psi$  is an orthonormed system with respect to  $\rho := \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \rho_k$ , i.e.*

$$(2.13) \quad \int_{\Omega} \psi_n \overline{\psi_m} \rho d\mu = \delta_{mn} \quad (m, n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

By (1.3) and (1.4) it is easy to see that the  $n$ -th Fourier-coefficient of  $f \in L^2$  with respect to the system  $\Psi$  can be written in the form

$$(2.14) \quad \int_{\Omega} f \overline{\psi_n} \rho d\mu = E_0(\overline{\varphi_0^{n_0}} \rho_0 E_1(\overline{\varphi_1^{n_1}} \rho_1 \cdots E_{s-1}(\overline{\varphi_{s-1}^{n_{s-1}}} \rho_{s-1} E_s(f \overline{\theta_s})))$$

$$0 \leq n < M_s),$$

where

$$\theta_s := \prod_{k=s}^{\infty} \rho_k \quad (s \in \mathbb{N}).$$

The formula (2.14) is the basis of all FFT-algorithms (for details see [D;1-4]).

It can be proved (see [C;7-9]) that if the system  $\Phi_n$  is  $\mathcal{A}_n$ -complete with respect to  $L^2(\mathcal{A}_{n+1})$ , and the stochastic basis  $(\mathcal{A}_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$  generates  $\mathcal{A}$  then the product system  $\Psi$  is complete with respect to  $L^2$ . Especially, if the  $\mathcal{A}_n$ 's are atomic  $\sigma$ -algebras generating  $\mathcal{A}$  and the systems  $\Phi_n$  are  $\mathcal{A}_n$ -complete with respect to  $L(\mathcal{A}_{n+1})$  then terms of the product system are bounded functions and the system itself is complete with respect to  $L^p$  for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .

The conditions on  $\Phi_n$  can be relaxed. Namely,  $\varphi_k^0 = 1$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ) can be omitted. In that case (2.4) becomes an infinite products. We must guarantee that this product converges to a function in  $L^2$ . Let us assume for example that

$$(2.15) \quad iv) \quad \prod_{k=n_0}^{\infty} \phi_k^0 \text{ converges, and } \sup_{s \geq n_0} \prod_{k=n_0}^s |\phi_k^0| \in L^2 \quad (n_0 \in \mathbb{N})$$

holds for the system

$$\Phi'_k := (\phi_k^\ell : 0 \leq \ell < m_k) \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}),$$

which is an AC-ONS for the weight function  $\rho_k = 1$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ). Thus one can define the product system

$$\psi'_n := \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \phi_k^{n_k} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

$\psi'_0$  is called the *starting function* of the product system.

This general concept of product systems has been introduced in [C;24], [E;4]. It can be shown that also in this case the product system  $\Psi' = (\psi'_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$  is orthogonal with respect to the weight function  $\rho = 1$ .

We note that this concept is equivalent to the original one. Indeed, let

$$\rho_k := |\phi_k^0|^2 > 0 \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}).$$

if

$$\varphi_k^\ell := \frac{\phi_k^\ell}{\phi_k^0} \quad (0 \leq \ell < m_k, k \in \mathbb{N})$$

then  $\varphi_k^0 = 1$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ). Moreover

$$(2.16) \quad E_k(\phi_k^i \overline{\phi_k^j}) = E_k(\varphi_k^i \overline{\varphi_k^j} \rho_k) = \delta_{ij} \quad (0 \leq i, j < m_k).$$

It follows from the connection between the system  $\Psi$  and  $\Psi'$  that

$$(2.17) \quad \int_{\Omega} \psi'_r \overline{\psi'_s} d\mu = \int_{\Omega} \psi_r \overline{\psi_s} \rho d\mu = \delta_{st} \quad (s, t \in \mathbb{N}).$$

On the basis of this observation it is enough to study product systems with finite many factors utilizing the transition from  $\Psi'$  to  $\Psi$ . Then we obtain an orthonormal system in Hilbert space  $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  rather than in  $L^2_{\rho}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ .

Even if the measure space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  is fixed we have infinitely many possibilities to construct product systems. Namely, we are free to choose the weight function  $\rho$ , the stochastic basis  $(\mathcal{A}_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$ , and the AC-ONS system  $\Phi_n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ).

The  $(\mathcal{A}_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$  stochastic basis is called atomic if the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}_n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) are generated by finite many atoms. Clearly, the atoms in  $\mathcal{A}_n$  can be decomposed as finite unions of  $\mathcal{A}_{n+1}$  type atoms. If every one of them is the union of exactly  $p$  pairwise different  $\mathcal{A}_{n+1}$  atoms then the stochastic basis is called  $p$ -adic. In particular, if  $p = 2$  then it is called *dyadic*. Such a stochastic basis is called *homogeneous* if the  $\mu$  measure of every  $\mathcal{A}_n$  atom is the same.

By the application of stopping times one can redefine the initial stochastic basis and so adjust it to the particular problem. Recall that the function  $\tau : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$  is called a *stopping time* with respect to the stochastic basis  $(\mathcal{A}_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$  if for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$\{\tau = n\} = \{\omega \in \Omega : \tau(\omega) = n\} \in \mathcal{A}_n.$$

Then

$$\mathcal{A}_\tau := \{A \in \mathcal{A} : A \cap \{\tau = n\} \in \mathcal{A}_n \ (n \in \mathbb{N})\}$$

is a sub  $\sigma$ -algebra of  $\mathcal{A}$ . In the case of the constant stopping time  $\tau(\omega) = n$  ( $\omega \in \Omega$ ) we obtain that  $\mathcal{A}_\tau = \mathcal{A}_n$ . It can be shown, that if  $\tau_1 \leq \tau_2$  holds for the stopping times  $\tau_1, \tau_2$  then  $\mathcal{A}_{\tau_1} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{\tau_2}$ . Therefore by taking a sequence  $\tau_1 \leq \tau_2 \leq \dots \leq \tau_n \leq \dots$  of stopping times we can switch to the generated stochastic basis

$$\mathcal{A}_{\tau_1} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{\tau_2} \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{\tau_n} \subseteq \dots$$

This so-called stopping time technique is widely used in the theory of probability. It turned to be a successful method also in harmonic analysis [A;9]. We note that this is an effective method in image procession and image compression as well. Namely, using stopping times one can construct stochastic basis and orthogonal system that are adapted to the image.

Sometimes instead of starting from a stochastic basis we take a system of functions  $\eta_k \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ) and take the monotonically increasing sequence  $\mathcal{A}_k := \sigma\{\eta_\ell : 0 \leq \ell < k\}$  of  $\sigma$ -algebras. Let us standardize  $\eta_k$ :

$$(2.18) \quad \varphi_k := \frac{\eta_k - E_k(\eta_k \rho_k)}{\sqrt{E_k|\eta_k - E_k(\eta_k \rho_k)|^2 \rho_k}} \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Then for the systems  $\Phi_k := \{1, \varphi_k\}$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ) condition (2.7) is satisfied. Thus the binary product system is orthogonal with respect to the weight function  $\rho$ . In particular, if  $\eta_k$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ) is a sequence of independent random variables with expectation 0 and variance 1 then

$$E_k(\eta_k) = \int_{\Omega} \eta_k d\mu = 0, \quad E_k(|\eta_k|^2) = \int_{\Omega} |\eta_k|^2 d\mu = 1.$$

Consequently, (2.7) holds for the system  $\eta_k$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ) with  $\rho_k = 1$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ). Thus the binary product system is orthonormal.

Let  $\eta_k^j \in L_{\rho_k}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{A}_{k+1}, \mu)$  ( $0 \leq j < m_k$ ) be linearly independent with respect to  $\mathcal{A}_{k+1}$ . Using an orthogonalization process, similar to the Schmidt procedure, we can construct an AC-ONS system  $\Phi_k$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ) satisfying (2.7).

Using these constructions we can obtain the Vilenkin systems [A;2,5,6] and their generalization introduced by G. Gát [C;26]. In the next chapter we will investigate only binary product systems.

### 3. EXAMPLES

In this section we give examples for AC-ONR systems taking the dyadic stochastic basis and choosing the sequence  $(\rho_k, k \in \mathbb{N})$  in a special way.

Let  $\Omega := \mathbb{I} := [0, 1)$ ,  $\mathcal{A}$  the collection of Lebesgue-measurable subset in  $[0, 1)$  and  $\mu$  the Lebesgue-measure. The atoms of  $\mathcal{A}_n$  are the dyadic intervals

$$\mathcal{J}_n := \{[k2^{-n}, (k+1)2^{-n}) : 0 \leq k < 2^n\}.$$

Several classic orthonormal system can be obtained as a binary product system of systems of the form  $\Phi_k = \{1, \varphi_k\}$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ) satisfying (2.7).

Denote  $r_n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) the Rademacher system on  $[0, 1)$ , i.e.

$$(3.1) \quad r_n(x) = (-1)^{x_n} \quad \left( x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x_n 2^{-(n+1)} \in [0, 1), x_n = 0, 1 \right).$$

Obviously  $r_n$  is  $\mathcal{A}_{n+1}$ -measurable and  $E_n(r_n) = 0$ . Then binary product system of the sequence  $(r_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$  is the *Walsh-Paley system*.

In the case  $\varphi_0 := r_0, \varphi_n := r_n r_{n-1}$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ) we get another AC-ONS and the binary product system is *the system introduced by J. L. Walsh in 1923*. We note that this is a rearrangement of the Walsh-Paley system (see [A;6]). Other rearrangement of the Walsh-system (see [A;7], [E;1]) can be obtained in this way too.

Many orthogonal systems in dyadic harmonic analysis are binary product systems of dyadic martingale differences. Let  $\varphi_n : [0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) be a sequence of functions satisfying

$$(3.2) \quad i) |\varphi_n| = 1, \quad ii) \varphi_n \in L(\mathcal{A}_{n+1}), \quad iii) E_n(\varphi_n) = 0 \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Thus  $(\varphi_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$  is a sequence of dyadic martingale differences of modulus 1, which is called *unitary dyadic martingale difference* (UDMD) system [A;7], [C;22]. It is obvious, that the functions  $\varphi_n$  are of the form

$$\varphi_n = r_n \kappa_n, \quad \text{where } \kappa_n \in L(\mathcal{A}_n), \quad \text{and } |\kappa_n| = 1 \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

The characters of the additive and multiplicative groups of 2-adic and 2-series field can be obtained as product systems of UDMD-systems [A;7]. Especially, the characters of the additive group of 2-series field are the Walsh functions. In the case of the 2-adic field the additive characters are generated by the UDMD system where

$$(3.3) \quad \varphi_n(x) := \exp\left(2\pi i\left(\frac{x_n}{2} + \frac{x_{n-1}}{2^2} + \cdots + \frac{x_0}{2^{n+1}}\right)\right) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}, x \in [0, 1))$$

and the  $x_n$ 's are the binary coefficients defined in (3.1).

The product systems of these systems are closely connected to the discrete trigonometric system. For describing the characters of the multiplicative groups of 2-adic and 2-series field see [A;7].

To define *wighted Wals-functions* fix the function  $\rho > 0, \rho \in L^1$  and consider the sequence of regular weight  $(\rho_k, k \in \mathbb{N})$ , defined in (2.11). Taking the standardized sequence of the Rademacher functions defined by (2.18), we get

$$(3.4) \quad r_k^\rho = \frac{r_k - E_k^\rho(r_k)}{\sqrt{1 - |E_k^\rho(r_k)|^2}}, \quad \text{where } E_k^\rho(r_k) := \frac{E_k(r_k \rho)}{E_k(\rho)} \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}),$$

wich is called the *wighted Rademacher-system*. The binary product-system of  $(r_n^\rho, n \in \mathbb{N})$  is called the *wighted Walsh system* and will be denoted by  $(w_n^\rho, n \in \mathbb{N})$ . For weight function  $\rho$  of the form

$$\rho := \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 + a_k r_k) \quad \left(a_k \in \mathbb{R}, |a_k| < 1 \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}), \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_k| < \infty\right)$$

this class coincides with the set of *Wals-similar functions*, introduced by Sendov [E;6,7]. The general class was introduced and investigated in [C;24], [E;4]. By Theorem 1 we have that  $\{w_k^\rho : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is an ONS in  $L_\rho^2[0, 1)$ .

It is easy to see that the AC-ONS property is invariant with respect to Kronecker-product. Namely, suppose that for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  the system

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_k &= \{\varphi_k^i : 0 \leq i < m_k\} \subset L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{A}_{k+1}, \mu), \\ \hat{\Phi}_k &= \{\hat{\varphi}_k^j : 0 \leq j < \hat{m}_k\} \subset L^2(\hat{\Omega}, \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{k+1}, \hat{\mu}) \end{aligned}$$

are adaptive conditionally orthonormal systems and denote

$$(f \times g)(x, y) := f(x)g(y) \quad (x \in X, y \in \hat{X})$$

the Kronecker product of the functions  $f$  and  $g$ . Then the Kronecker product

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_k \times \hat{\Phi}_k &:= \{\varphi_k^i \times \hat{\varphi}_k^j : 0 \leq i < m_k, 0 \leq j < \hat{m}_k\}, \\ \Phi_k \times \hat{\Phi}_k &\subset L^2(\Omega \times \hat{\Omega}, \mathcal{A}_{k+1} \times \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{k+1}, \mu \times \hat{\mu}) \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}) \end{aligned}$$

is an AC-ONS with respect to the stochastic basis  $\mathcal{A}_k \times \hat{\mathcal{A}}_k$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ). Denote  $\Psi$  and  $\hat{\Psi}$  the corresponding product systems. Then  $\Psi \times \hat{\Psi}$  is the product system of  $(\Phi_k \times \hat{\Phi}_k, k \in \mathbb{N})$ .

Especially, if  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu) = (\hat{X}, \hat{\mathcal{A}}, \hat{\mu})$  is the Lebesgue-space on  $[0, 1)$ , then  $d(\mu \times \hat{\mu})(x, y) = dx dy$  is the two-dimensional Lebesgue-measure and  $\mathcal{A} \times \hat{\mathcal{A}}$  is the collection of Lebesgue-measurable subset in  $\mathbb{I}^2 := \mathbb{I} \times \mathbb{I}$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}_k = \hat{\mathcal{A}}_k$  the dyadic  $\sigma$ -algebra. Then  $\mathcal{A}_k^2 := \mathcal{A}_k \times \hat{\mathcal{A}}_k$  is the  $\sigma$ -algebra, generated by the dyadic squares

$$\mathcal{J}_n \times \mathcal{J}_n := \{I \times J : I, J \in \mathcal{J}_n\} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

In this case the conditional expectation is of the form

$$(E_n f)(x, y) = \frac{1}{|I_n(x) \times I_n(y)|} \int_{I_n(x) \times I_n(y)} f(s, t) ds dt \quad (x, y \in [0, 1), n \in \mathbb{N}),$$

where  $I_n(x)$  denotes the interval in  $\mathcal{J}_n$  containing  $x$ .

The systems  $\{1, r_k \times 1, 1 \times r_k, r_k \times r_k\}$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ) is AC-ONS with respect to the stochastic basis  $(\mathcal{A}_k^2, k \in \mathbb{N})$  and  $\mathcal{A}_k^2$ -complete with respect to  $L(\mathcal{A}_{k+1}^2)$ .

Taking the transform of this system by any orthogonal matrix  $B^k = [b_{ij}^k]$  of  $\mathcal{A}_k^2$ -measurable functions  $b_{ij}^k$ , the system

$$(3.5) \quad \varphi_k^i = b_{i0}^k + b_{i1}^k(r_k \times 1) + b_{i2}^k(1 \times r_k) + b_{i3}^k(r_k \times r_k) \quad (i = 0, 1, 2, 3)$$

is  $\mathcal{A}_{k+1}^2$ -measurable and  $\mathcal{A}_k^2$ -orthonormal. The special case, if  $a_k^1, a_k^2, a_k^3$  are real numbers and

$$(3.6) \quad A^k := M[a_k] := \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |a_k^1|^2 + |a_k^2|^2 + |a_k^3|^2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_k^1 & a_k^2 & a_k^3 \\ a_k^1 & -1 & a_k^3 & -a_k^2 \\ a_k^2 & -a_k^3 & -1 & a_k^1 \\ a_k^3 & a_k^2 & -a_k^1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is the orthogonal matrix generated by the vector  $a_k = (a_k^1, a_k^2, a_k^3)$  has been investigated in [C;24]. Obviously if  $a_k^1, a_k^2, a_k^3$  are  $\mathcal{A}_k^2$ -measurable functions then the system  $\{\varphi_k^j : 0 \leq j < 4\} \subset L(\mathcal{A}_{k+1}^2)$  generated by  $M[a_k]$  is  $\mathcal{A}_k$ -orthonormal.

#### 4. DIRICHLET KERNELS, PALEY'S FORMULA, PARTIAL SUM OPERATORS

In this section we investigate norm-convergence with respect to the product system  $\Psi$  introduced in (1.11). For the  $M_n$ -th Dirichlet kernel of the product system we have the following product representation:

$$(4.1) \quad D_{M_n}(s, t) := \sum_{k=0}^{M_n-1} \psi_k(s) \bar{\psi}_k(t) = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{m_i-1} \phi_i^j(s) \bar{\phi}_i^j(t) \right).$$

This is the generalization of the Paley's identity proved for the Walsh system. Denote  $\mathcal{L}(\Psi)$  the linear hull of  $\Psi$ , i.e. the set of  $\Psi$ -polynomials.

Let the partial sums of the Fourier-series of  $f \in L_\rho^2$  with respect to the system  $\Psi$  be denoted by

$$(4.2) \quad S_0 f := 0, \quad S_n f := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} [f, \psi_k] \psi_k \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}^*),$$

where  $[f, g] := \int_{\mathbb{I}} f \bar{g} \rho d\mu$  is the usual scalar product in  $L^2_\rho$ .

On the set of  $\Psi$  polynomials the operators  $S_{M_n}$  can be expressed by the conditional expectation:

$$S_{M_n} f = E_n f \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}, f \in \mathcal{L}(\Psi)).$$

The operator  $S_n$  can be expressed by the partial sums of the  $\mathcal{A}_k$ -Fourier-series with respect to the system  $\Phi_k$ , i.e. by

$$(4.3) \quad S_k^0 f := 0, \quad S_k^j f := \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} E_k(f \bar{\varphi}_k^i \rho_k) \varphi_k^i \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, m_k, k \in \mathbb{N}).$$

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\Psi$  be the product system of  $\Phi_k$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ). Then the partial sum operators with respect to the product system on  $\mathcal{L}(\Psi)$  are of the form*

$$(4.4) \quad S_n f = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} S_k^{n_k} (f \bar{\psi}_n^{k+1}) \psi_n^{k+1} \quad (f \in \mathcal{L}(\Psi), n \in \mathbb{N}),$$

where

$$\psi_n^j := \prod_{i=j}^{\infty} \phi_i^{n_i}.$$

If the system is unitary then the partial sums can be expressed by generalized martingale transforms. Namely from (4.4) we get

**Corollary 1.** *If the systems  $\Phi_k$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ) are unitary, i.e. if  $|\phi_k^j| = 1$  ( $0 \leq j < m_k, k \in \mathbb{N}$ ) then  $S_n$  is defined on  $L^1_\rho$  and*

$$(4.5) \quad S_n f = \psi_n T_n (f \bar{\psi}_n) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}, f \in L^1_\rho),$$

where

$$(4.6) \quad T_n g = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \phi_k^{n_k} S_k^{n_k} (g \bar{\phi}_k^{n_k}) \quad (g \in L^1_\rho)$$

is the generalized martingale transform operator.

## 5. NORM AND A.E. CONVERGENCE

The representation (4.5) and (4.6) can be used in convergence problems of Fourier series with respect to product systems.

The operator  $T : L^p \rightarrow L^p$  is called of *strong type*  $(\mathcal{A}_k, p)$  if there exists a number  $K > 0$  such that for any  $f \in L^p$

$$(5.1) \quad (E_k(|Tf|^p))^{1/p} \leq K (E_k(|f|^p))^{1/p}$$

is satisfied. The infimum of the numbers  $K$  in (5.1) is called the  $(\mathcal{A}_k, p)$ -norm of the operator  $T$  and is denoted by  $\|T\|_{(\mathcal{A}_k, p)}$ . The usual  $L^p$ -norm is denoted by  $\|\cdot\|_p$ .

The uniform conditional  $(\mathcal{A}_k, p)$ -boundedness of the operators  $S_k^j$  implies the uniform  $L^p$ -norm boundedness of the partial sum operators  $S_n$  if the systems  $\Phi_k$  are unitary [C;9]. This implies the  $L^p$ -norm convergence of the Fourier series with respect to the product system.

**Theorem 3.** *Suppose that the systems  $\Phi_k$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ) are unitary and let  $\rho_k = 1$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ). If for some  $1 < p < \infty$*

$$\sup_{0 \leq j < m_k, k \in \mathbb{N}} \|S_k^j\|_{(\mathcal{A}_k, p)} < \infty,$$

then

$$\sup_n \|S_n\|_p < \infty.$$

This implies

**Corollary 2.** *The Vilenkin systems are basis in  $L^p$  if  $1 < p < \infty$ .*

In connection with these results we put

**Problem 1.** *Under what conditions is  $\Psi$  a basis in  $L^p$  in the general case.*

The a.e. convergence of the parial sums  $S_n f$  depends on  $L^p$  boundedness of the maximal operators

$$S^* f := \sup_n |S_n f|, \quad S_k^* f := \sup_{0 \leq j < m_k} |S_k^j f| \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}).$$

For bounded generating sequence ( $m_k, k \in \mathbb{N}$ ) the analogue of Carleson-Hunt theorem was proved in [C;10].

**Theorem 4..** *Suppose that the systems  $\Phi_k$  ( $k \in \mathbb{R}$ ) are unitary and  $\sup_k m_k < \infty$ . Then  $S^*$  is bounded on  $L^p$  if  $1 < p < \infty$ .*

This implies

**Corollary 3.** *If  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $f \in L^p$  then  $S_n f$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) converges a.e. In particular for bounded Vilenkin systems  $S_n f$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) converges a.e.*

In connection with these result we have the following open

**Problem 2.** *Does the analogue of Theorem 2. hold for the maximal operators:*

$$\sup_k \|S_k^*\|_{(\mathcal{A}_k, p)} < \infty \quad \Rightarrow \quad \|S^*\|_p < \infty?$$

**Problem 3.** *Does  $S_n f$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) converge a.e. for arbitrary Vilenkin system if  $f \in L^p$  ( $1 < p < \infty$ )?*

## 6. BINARY PRODUCT SYSTEMS

In this section we investigate the special case  $m_k = 2$ ,  $\rho_k = 1$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ). The binary product system of the system  $\Phi_k := \{1, \phi_k\}$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ) is an ortonormal system if (2.7) i) ii) are satisfied. In particular if the functions ( $\phi_k, k \in \mathbb{N}$ ) are independent and

$$(6.1) \quad \int_{\Omega} \phi_k d\mu = 0, \quad \int_{\Omega} |\phi_k|^2 d\mu = 1 \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}),$$

then (2.7) i) ii) hold for the  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{A}_k := \sigma\{\phi_j : j < k\}$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ).

In the binary case condition (2.7) is equivalent to the fact that the sequence  $(\phi_k, k \in \mathbb{N})$  forms a *normalized martingale difference sequence* with respect to the stochastic basis  $(\mathcal{A}_{k+1}, k \in \mathbb{N})$ . Consequently the partial sums of the series

$$(6.2) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \phi_k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \psi_{2^k}$$

form an  $L^2$  bounded martingale, if  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_k|^2 < \infty$ . Thus the series (6.2) is  $\mu$  a.e. convergent, i.e.  $(\psi_{2^k}, k \in \mathbb{N})$  is a *convergence system*. This claim is a special case of the *Kolmogorov's three series theorem* which play an important part in the proof of the general theorem.

The series (6.2) is a strongly lacunary subseries of the orthogonal series

$$(6.3) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \psi_n.$$

From theorem 4 it follows

**Corollary 4.** *If  $(\phi_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$  is a unitary martingale difference system, then its binary product system is a convergence system.*

In connection with Corollary 4 we put

**Problem 4.** *Under what condition with respect to the system  $(\phi_k, k \in \mathbb{N})$  does*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |c_n|^2 < \infty$$

*imply the  $\mu$  a.e. convergence of (6.3).*

Similar claims for *real, uniformly bounded weakly multiplicative systems* are proved in [C;4-6]. For the summary this type of results see [C;17].

## 7. WALSH FUNCTIONS WITH RESPECT TO WEIGHTS

Let  $\Omega := [0, 1)$ ,  $\mathcal{A}$  the collection of Lebesgue-measurable sets in  $[0, 1)$  and denote  $\mu$  the measure generated by the positive weight function  $\rho \in L^1[0, 1]$ :

$$(7.1) \quad \mu(H) := \int_H \rho(t) dt \quad (H \in \mathcal{A}) \quad (\rho > 0, \int_0^1 \rho(t) dt = 1).$$

Starting from the dyadic stochastic basis  $\mathcal{A}_k$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ) we can construct binary product system, orthogonal with respect to  $\rho$ . Namely set

$$(7.2) \quad \phi_k := r_k^\rho := \frac{r_k - E_k^\rho r_k}{(E_k^\rho |r_k - E_k^\rho r_k|^2)^{1/2}}$$

for standartization of the Rademacher system  $(r_k, k \in \mathbb{N})$ . Here

$$(7.3) \quad (E_k^\rho f)(x) = \frac{\int_I f \rho dx}{\int_I \rho dx} \quad (x \in I)$$

is the conditional expectation operator with respect to  $\mathcal{A}_k$  and  $I$  denotes a dyadic interval with the length  $2^{-k}$ . The system  $(r_k^\rho, k \in \mathbb{N})$  is a dyadic martingale difference system in the space  $([0, 1], \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ , which coincides with the Rademacher system, if  $\rho = 1$ .

The binary product system of this system is called the *weighted Walsh system* and will be denoted by  $W^\rho = (w_n^\rho, n \in \mathbb{N})$ . In the special case

$$\rho := \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 + a_k r_k), \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_k| < \infty, \quad |a_k| < 1 \quad (k \in \mathbb{N})$$

this system was introduced and investigated by *Sendov* and called *Walsh similar functions* [E;6,7].

It was shown in [C;24] (see also [E;4,5,6])

**Theorem 5.** *If*

$$(7.4) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|E_k^\rho(r_n)\|_\infty < \infty$$

then the systems  $W^\rho$  and  $W$  are equivalent in  $L^p$  ( $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ). Moreover the Fourier series with respect to  $W^\rho$  of any functions in  $L^p$  ( $1 < p < \infty$ ) converges in  $L^p$  norm and a.e.

The special weights

$$(7.5) \quad \rho(t) := t^q \quad (0 \leq t \leq 1, \quad 0 < q \leq 1)$$

was investigated in [E;5,8].

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $\rho$  be the weight function introduced in (7.5) and set*

$$(7.6) \quad p_0 := \frac{2 \ln(2^{q+1})}{\ln((1+2^q)/2)} (> 2), \quad p_1 := \frac{p_0}{p_0 - 1}.$$

Then the system  $W^\rho$  is not uniformly bounded. Moreover if  $1 \leq p \leq p_1$  or  $p \geq p_0$  then  $W^\rho$  is not a Schauder basis in  $L_p^\rho$ .

The next question is open.

**Problem 5.** *Is weighted Walsh system  $W^\rho$  generated by the weight function (7.5) a Schauder basis in  $L_p^\rho$ , if  $p_1 < p < p_0$  with  $p_0$  and  $p_1$  defined in (7.6) ?*

Theorem 6 was generalized by *P. Simon*. Moreover he has proved [E;5]

**Theorem 7.** *There exists a weight function  $\rho$  such that the system  $W^\rho$  form a Schauder basis in  $L_p^\rho$  exactly when  $p = 2$ .*

## 7. RATIONAL UDMD SYSTEMS

Using Blaschke functions discret rational orthonormal product systems can be construct. The Blaschke functions

$$B_a(z) := \frac{1 - \bar{a}}{1 - a} \frac{z - a}{1 - \bar{a}z}$$

$$(a \in \mathbb{D} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}, z \in \mathbb{C})$$

are 1-1 maps on  $\mathbb{D}$  and on  $\mathbb{T} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$  and  $B_a(1) = 1$ . The function

$$A_a(z) := B_a(z)B_{-a}(z) = B_{a^2}(z^2)$$

is a twofold map of  $\mathbb{D}$  and of  $\mathbb{T}$ .

In order to define the UDMD systems in question we fix the sequence  $\mathbf{a} = (a_n \in \mathbb{D}, n \in \mathbb{N}^*)$  and introduce the  $2^n$ -fold maps

$$\phi_0(z) := z, \quad \phi_n = A_{a_n} \circ \cdots \circ A_{a_1} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots)$$

and the sets

$$X^n := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \phi_n(z) = 1\} = \{x_k^n : 0 \leq k < 2^n\} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

The points of  $X^n$  are easy to compute. Introduce on  $X^n$  the discrete measure  $\mu$  defined by  $\mu(\{x\}) := 2^{-n}$  ( $x \in X^n$ ). Then the finite system  $(\phi_k, 0 \leq k < n)$  is a unitary dyadic martingale difference sequence (UDMD-system) on  $X^n$ . The product system  $(\psi_m, 0 \leq m < 2^n)$  is a discrete orthonormal system with respect to the scalar product

$$\langle f, g \rangle := 2^{-n} \sum_{x \in X^n} f(x)\overline{g(x)}$$

It is clear that in the case  $a_1 = a_2 = \cdots = 0$  then we get the trigonometric system.

The values of discrete Fourier coefficients  $\langle f, \psi_m \rangle$  ( $m < 2^n$ ) and the partial sums

$$(S_{2^n} f)(x) := \sum_{m=0}^{2^n-1} \langle f, \psi_m \rangle \psi_m(x)$$

at  $x \in X_n$  can be computed by using  $O(n2^n)$  algebraic operations and the partial sums  $S_{2^n} f$  interpolate the function  $f$  at  $X^n$  [G;3].

## REFERENCES

### A. BOOKS

- [1] Alexits G.  
*Convergence problems of orthogonal functions.*  
Pergamon Press (New York), 1961
- [2] Agaev G. N. - Vilenkin N. Ja. - Dzafarli G. M. - Rubinstein A. I.  
*Multiplicative systems and harmonic analysis on zero-dimensional groups.*  
ELM (Baku), 1981
- [3] Harmuth H. F.  
*Transmission of Information by Orthogonal Functions.*  
Springer-Verlag (Berlin - Heidelberg - New York), 1970, 325 pp.
- [4] Harmuth H. F.  
*Sequency Theory. Foundation and Applications.*  
Academic Press (New York - San Francisco - London), 1977, 325 pp.
- [5] Golubov B. I. - Efimov E. V. - Skvortsov V. A.  
*Walsh Series and Transforms.*  
Nauka (Moscow), 1987, English transl., Kluwer, Dordrecht 1991.
- [6] Schipp F. - Wade W.R. - Simon P. - Pál J.  
*Walsh series: an introduction to dyadic harmonic analysis.*  
Akadémiai Kiadó-Adam Hilger (Bristol, New York), 1990, 560 pp.
- [7] Schipp F. - Wade W.R.  
*Transforms on normed fields.*  
Leaflets in Mathematics, Pécs, 1995, 175 pp.
- [8] Taibleson M. H.  
*Fourier Analysis on Local Fields.*  
Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1975.
- [9] Weisz F.  
*Martingale Hardy Spaces and their Applications in Fourier Analysis.*  
Springer-Verlag, Lecture Notes **1568**, Berlin, 1995.
- [10] Weisz F.  
*Summability of Multi-Dimensional Fourier Series and Hardy Spaces.*  
Kluwer Academic Publishers, Mathematics and Its Applications **541**, Dordrecht, Boston, London, 2002.

### B. COMPREHENSIVE PAPERS

- [1] Balasov L. A. - Rubinstein A. I.  
*Series with respect to Walsh system and their generalizations.*  
J. Soviet Math. 1(1973), 727-763.
- [2] Wade W. R.  
*Recent development in the theory of Walsh series.*

Internat. J. Math. Vol. 5, No. 4, 625-673, 1982.

- [3] Wade W. R.  
*Recent development in the theory of Haar series.*  
Colloquium Mathematicum, Vol. 52, 213-238, 1986.
- [4] Wade W. R.  
*Review of "Walsh Series and Transforms".*  
Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 26, 348-359, 1992.
- [5] Wade W. R.  
*Vilenkin-Fourier series and approximation.*  
Colloq. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai, Vol. 58, 699-734, 1994.
- [6] Wade W. R.  
*Harmonic Analysis on Vilenkin Groups.*  
Fourier Analysis and Approximation, N. A. I. Publ., 339-370, 1996.
- [7] Wade W. R.  
*Dyadic Harmonic Analysis.*  
Contemporary Math., Vol. 208, 313-350, 1997.
- [8] Wade W. R.  
*Walsh functions and Walsh series.*  
Encyclopedia of Mathematics, Suppl. I, Kluwer Publishong Co., p.484, 1997.

#### C. CONDITIONNALLY ORTHOGONALITY, PRODUCT SYSTEMS

- [1] Alexits G.  
*On the convergence of strongly multiplicative series.*  
Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung., 20 (1969), 245-251.
- [2] Alexits G.  
*Stochastische Unabhängigkeit und Orthogonalität.*  
Mitteilung Math. Seminar Giessen, 92 (1971), 1-10.
- [3] Alexits G.  
*On the convergence of function series.*  
Acta Sci. Math., 34 (1972), 1-10.
- [4] Schipp F.  
*Über die Konvergenz von Reihen nach Produktsystemen.*  
Acta Sci. Math., 35 (1973), 13-16.
- [5] Schipp F. - Türnpu H  
*On the convergence of series with respect to product systems.*  
Zapiski Univ. Tartu, 342 (1974), 189-195 (in Russian).
- [6] Schipp F.  
*Über schwach multiplikative Systeme.*  
Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest, Sectio Math., 17 (1974), 91-96.
- [7] Schipp F.

- On a generalization of the concept of orthogonality.*  
Acta Sci. Math., 37 (1975), 279-285.
- [8] Schipp F.  
*Investigation of expansions with respect product systems.*  
Dissertation for doctor of sciences degree, Budapest, 1975 (in Hungarian).
- [9] Schipp F.  
*On  $L^p$ -norm convergence of series with respect to product systems.*  
Analysis Math., 2 (1976), 49-64.
- [10] Schipp F.  
*Pointwise convergence of expansions with respect to certain product systems.*  
Analysis Math., 2 (1976), 65-76.
- [11] Schipp F.  
*On Carleson's method.*  
Coll. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai 19. Fourier Anal. and Approx. Theory. Budapest (1976), 679-695.
- [12] Schipp F.  
*On the norm and pointwise convergence of expansions with respect to certain product systems.*  
Proc. Conference on Approx. Theory, Kaluga, 1975. Nauka (1977), 413-422.
- [13] Schipp F.  
*On a generalization of the martingale maximal theorem.*  
Approximation Theory, Banach Center Publications 4, Warsaw (1979), 207-212.
- [14] Schipp F.  
*Fourier series and martingale transforms.*  
Linear Spaces and Approximation. Ed. P.L.Butzer and B.Sz.Nagy. Birkhauser Verlag Basel (1978), 571-581.
- [15] Schipp F.  
*Maximal inequalities.*  
Proc. Internat. Conference on Approximation and Funktion Spaces, Gdansk (1979), PWN-Warszawa, North-Holland Amsterdam, NewYork, Oxford, 629-644.

- [16] Schipp F.  
*Martingales with directed index set.*  
 First Pannonian Symp. on Math. Stat. Lecture Notes in Statistics 8.  
 Springer-Verlag, 254-261.
- [17] Schipp F.  
*Investigation of expansions with respect to product systems.*  
 Mat. Lapok 28 (1-3)(1980), 35-42 (in Hungarian).
- [18] Schipp F.  
*On a Paley-type inequality.*  
 Acta Sci. Math., 45 (1983), 357-364.
- [19] Schipp F.  
*Martingale Hardy spaces.*  
 Internat. Conference on Approx. Theory, Kiev (1983), Nauka (1987), 510-515.
- [20] Fridli S. - Schipp F.  
*Tree-martingales.*  
 Proc. 5th Pannonian Symp. on Math. Stat. Visegrád, Hungary (1985),  
 53-63.
- [21] Schipp F.  
*Universal contractive projections and a.e. convergence.*  
 Probability Theory and Applications, Kluwer Academic Publisher, Dordrecht–Boston–London, 1992, 47-75.
- [22] Schipp F. - Wade W. R.  
*Norm convergence and summability of Fourier series with respect to certain product systems.*  
 LN in Pure and Appl. Math. Vol.138, Approx.Theory, Marcel Dekker, New York-Basel-Hong Kong (1992), 437-452.
- [23] Schipp F. - Weisz F.  
*Tree martingales and a.e. convergence of Vilenkin–Fourier series.*  
 Mathematica Pannonica, 8(1) (1997), 17-36.
- [24] Schipp F.  
*On adapted orthonormed systems.*  
 East J. on Approximations. 6(2) (2000), 157-188.
- [25] Gát G.  
*On the Almost Everywhere Convergence of Fejér Means of Functions on the Group of 2-adic Integers.*  
 Journal of Approximation Theory. 90(1) (1997), 88-96.
- [26] Gát G.  
*On  $(C,1)$  summability for Vilenkin-like systems.*  
 Studia Mathematica. 144(2) (2001), 101-120.

## D. FFT ALGORITHMS

- [1] Schipp F.  
*Fast Fourier transform and conditional expectation.*  
Coll. Math. Soc. J.Bolyai 22. Numerical Methods, Keszthely (Hungary, 1977), 565-576.
- [2] Schipp F.  
*Fast algorithms to compute Fourier coefficients.*  
5<sup>th</sup> Aachen Symposion on Math. Method in Signal Processing (1984), 259-263.
- [3] Schipp F.  
*On fast Fourier algorithms.*  
Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest, Sectio Comput., 14(1994), 175-188.
- [4] Schipp F. - Wade W. R.  
*Fast Fourier Transforms on binary fields.*  
Approx. Theory and Appls. 14(1) (1998), 91-100.

## E. WALSH SYSTEMS AND GENERALIZATIONS

- [1] Schipp F.  
*On the rearrangements of series with respect to the Walsh system.*  
Mat. Zametki, 18 (1975), 193-201 (in Russian).
- [2] Schipp F.  
*Walsh Functions, Commentary.*  
Joseph L. Walsh Selected Papers. (Eds.: T.J. Rivlin and E.B. Saff). Springer-Verlag (2000), 129-135.
- [3] Schipp F. - Wade W. R.  
*Mellin transforms on binary fields.*  
Applied and Computational J. of Harmonic Analysis 9(2000), 54-71.
- [4] Schipp F.  
*On Walsh functions with respect to weights.*  
Matematica Balkanica 16(2002), 95-103.
- [5] Simon P.  
*On the divergence of Fourier Series with respect to weighted Walsh Systems.*  
East Journal on Approximations 9(1) (2003), 21-30.
- [6] Sendov, Bl.  
*Adaptive multiresolution analysis on the dyadic topological group.*  
J. Approx. Theory 95(1998), 21-45.
- [7] Sendov, Bl.  
*Walsh-similar functions.*  
East J. Approx. 4, 1(1999), 1-65.

- [8] Eisner T.  
*Walsh-Fourier Series with Respect to Weights.*  
CONSTRUCTIVE THEORY OF FUNCTIONS, Varna 2002 (B. Bojanov, Ed.), Sofia, 2003, 259-266.

#### F. HAAR SYSTEM AND GENERALIZATIONS

- [1] Schipp F.  
*On a generalization of the Haar system.*  
Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung., 33 (1979), 183-188.
- [2] Schipp F.  
*Haar and Walsh series and martingales.*  
Coll. Math. Soc. J.Bolyai 49. A. Haar Memorial Conference, Budapest (1985), 775-785.
- [3] Schipp F.  
*Rational Haar systems and fractals on the hyperbolic plane*  
Sacks Memorial Conference, Szentgotthárd, 2002, Oskar Kiadó.

#### G. RATIONAL SYSTEMS

- [1] Bokor J. - Schipp F.  
*Approximate linear  $H^\infty$  identification in Laguerre and Kautz basis.*  
IFAC AUTOMATICA J. 34 (1998), 463-468.
- [2] Bokor J. - Schipp F. - Szabó Z.  
*Identification of rational approximate models in  $H^\infty$  using generalized orthonormal basis.*  
IEEE Trans. Autom. Control, 44(1) (1999), 153-158.
- [3] Schipp F.  
*Fast Fourier transform for rational systems.*  
Mathematica Pannonica 13(2002), 265-275.
- [4] Schipp F.  
*Orthogonal product systems of rational functions.*  
Constructive Function Theory, Varna 2002 (B. Bojanov. Ed.), DARBA, Sofia, 2002, 391-396.
- [5] Bokor J. - Schipp F. - Soumelidis A.  
*Frequency domain representation of signals in rational orthogonal bases.* Proc. 10<sup>th</sup> Mediterranean Conference on Control and Automation, MED-2002, Lisbon, Portugal, 2002.
- [6] Bokor J. - Schipp F. - Soumelidis A.  
*Detection of changes on signals and systems based upon representation in orthogonal rational bases.*  
CDC 2002, Las Vegas, Nevada, USA.

DEPARTMENT OF NUMERICAL ANALYSIS,  
EÖTVÖS L. UNIVERSITY  
1117 BUDAPEST, PÁZMÁNY PÉTER SÉTÁNY 1/C  
HUNGARY  
*E-mail address:* `fschipp@ludens.elte.hu`