

THE GENERAL HERMITIAN NONNEGATIVE-DEFINITE SOLUTION TO THE MATRIX EQUATION $AXA^* + BYB^* = C$

XIAN ZHANG

ABSTRACT. Consider the matrix equation

$$AXA^* + BYB^* = C.$$

A matrix pair (X_0, Y_0) is called a Hermitian nonnegative-definite solution to the matrix equation if X_0 and Y_0 are Hermitian nonnegative-definite and satisfy $AX_0A^* + BY_0B^* = C$. We give necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a Hermitian nonnegative-definite solution to the matrix equation, and further derive a representation of the general Hermitian nonnegative-definite solution to the equation when it has such solutions. An example shows these advantages of the proposed approach.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathbf{C}^{m \times n}$ be the set of all $m \times n$ complex matrices. For $X \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times n}$, let X^* be the conjugate transpose of X . We denote by I_n and O the $n \times n$ identity matrix and the zero matrix, respectively. For convenience, we present the following definition.

Definition 1. Given a matrix equation of the form

$$(1) \quad AXA^* + BYB^* = C$$

with known matrices $A \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times n}$, $B \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times p}$ and $C \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times m}$. A matrix pair (X_0, Y_0) is called a Hermitian nonnegative-definite solution to the matrix equation (1) if X_0 and Y_0 are Hermitian nonnegative-definite and satisfy $AX_0A^* + BY_0B^* = C$.

Solvability conditions and general solutions of the matrix equation (1) have been derived by Baksalary and Kala [2], Chu [6] and He [9]. Chang and Wang [5] have derived expressions for the general symmetric solution and the general minimum-2-norm symmetric solution to the matrix equation (1) within the real setting. Xu *et al.* [12] have obtained the general form of all least-squares Hermitian (skew-Hermitian) solution to the matrix equation (1). The general nonnegative-definite solution to the special case $B = O$ of (1) have been studied by Baksalary [1], Dai and Lancaster [10], Groß [7], Groß [8], Khatri and Mitra [11] and Zhang and Cheng [13].

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 15A06; 19A09.

Key words and phrases. Hermitian nonnegative-definite solution, matrix equation, generalized inverse, singular value decomposition.

As the supplements of [5] and [12] and the extensions of [1], [10], [7], [8], [11] and [13], this paper establishes the following problem:

Problem 1. Given matrices $A \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times n}$, $B \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times p}$ and $C \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times m}$. Determine necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a Hermitian nonnegative-definite solution to the matrix equation (1). Furthermore, give a representation of the general Hermitian nonnegative-definite solution to the equation (1) when it has such solutions.

Now we make the following several notes about Problem 1.

- Since (1) can be write as

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & B \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} XA^* \\ YB^* \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} AX & BY \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A^* \\ B^* \end{bmatrix} = C,$$

which implies

$$(2) \quad \text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} A & B & C \end{bmatrix} = \text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} A & B \end{bmatrix} = \text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} A & B & C^* \end{bmatrix}$$

is a necessary condition for the matrix equation (1) to have a solution.

- If $n + p < m$, then there exists a unitary matrix P such that

$$(3) \quad PA = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 \\ O \end{bmatrix}, \quad PB = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 \\ O \end{bmatrix},$$

where $A_1 \in \mathbf{C}^{(n+p) \times n}$ and $B_1 \in \mathbf{C}^{(n+p) \times p}$. This, together with (2), implies

$$(4) \quad PCP^* = \begin{bmatrix} C_1 & O \\ O & O \end{bmatrix}, \quad C_1 \in \mathbf{C}^{(n+p) \times (n+p)}.$$

Substituting (3) and (4) into (1) gives

$$(5) \quad A_1 X A_1^* + B_1 Y B_1^* = C_1.$$

Obviously, the matrix equations (1) and (5) have the same solutions.

- It is clear that C is Hermitian nonnegative-definite if the matrix equation (1) has a Hermitian nonnegative-definite solution. Thus, to ensure its solvability, we can write $C = DD^*$ for some $D \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times m}$.
- If $A = O$ or $B = O$, then (1) turns into $BYB^* = C$ or $AXA^* = C$. In this case Problem 1 has been solved by several authors. (see [1], [10], [7], [8], [11] and [13]).

Based on the above four notes, in order to solve Problem 1, it suffices to solve the following Problem 1'.

Problem 1': Given matrices $A \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times n}$, $B \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times p}$ and $D \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times m}$ satisfying

$$(6) \quad m \leq p + n, \quad A \neq O, \quad B \neq O.$$

Determine necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a Hermitian nonnegative-definite solution to the matrix equation

$$(7) \quad AXA^* + BYB^* = DD^*.$$

Furthermore, give a representation of the general Hermitian nonnegative-definite solution to the equation (7) when it has such solutions.

2. SOLUTION TO PROBLEM 1'

This section solves Problem 1' proposed in Section 1. We first introduce the following two lemmas. The first one can be easily derived from [3, Lemma 2.1] and the second is taken from [4, p. 270].

Lemma 1. *Given two positive integers n_1 and n_2 satisfying $n_1 \leq n_2$, and two matrices $F \in \mathbf{C}^{n_1 \times n_1}$ and $G \in \mathbf{C}^{n_1 \times n_2}$. Then $FF^* = GG^*$ if and only if $G = FT$ for some $T \in \mathbf{C}^{n_1 \times n_2}$ satisfying $TT^* = I_{n_1}$.*

Lemma 2. *Given matrices $M \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times p}$ and $N \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times n}$. Let M^- be an arbitrary but fixed generalized inverse of M . Then the matrix equation $MX = N$ has at least a solution if and only if $MM^-N = N$. When this condition is met, the general solution to the equation is given by*

$$X = M^-N + (I_p - M^-M)Y,$$

where Y is free to vary over $\mathbf{C}^{p \times n}$.

Combining Lemmas 1-2, the solution to Problem 1' can be stated as follows.

Theorem 1. *Given matrices $A \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times n}$, $B \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times p}$ and $D \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times m}$ satisfying (6). Let A^- and B^- be arbitrary but fixed generalized inverses of A and B , respectively. Then*

(i) *the matrix equation (7) has at least a Hermitian nonnegative-definite solution if and only if there exist $T_1 \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times n}$ and $T_2 \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times p}$ satisfying*

$$(8) \quad T_1T_1^* + T_2T_2^* = I_m$$

and

$$(9) \quad AA^-DT_1 = DT_1, \quad BB^-DT_2 = DT_2.$$

(ii) *when (8) and (9) are met, a representation of the general Hermitian nonnegative-definite solution to the matrix equation (7) is given by*

$$(10) \quad (X, Y) = (VV^*, WW^*)$$

with

$$(11) \quad V = A^-DT_1 + (I_n - A^-A)Z_1$$

and

$$(12) \quad W = B^-DT_2 + (I_p - B^-B)Z_2,$$

where $Z_1 \in \mathbf{C}^{n \times n}$ and $Z_2 \in \mathbf{C}^{p \times p}$ are a pair of arbitrary parameter matrices, and T_1 and T_2 are a pair of parameter matrices satisfying (8) and (9).

Proof. (i) *The "if" part.* Suppose there exist $T_1 \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times n}$ and $T_2 \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times p}$ satisfying (8) and (9). It follows from (9) and Lemma 2 that

$$(13) \quad AV = DT_1, \quad BW = DT_2$$

for some $V \in \mathbf{C}^{n \times n}$ and $W \in \mathbf{C}^{p \times p}$. Thus,

$$A(VV^*)A^* + B(WW^*)B^* = DT_1T_1^*D^* + DT_2T_2^*D^* = D(T_1T_1^* + T_2T_2^*)D^*.$$

This, together with (8), implies that (VV^*, WW^*) is a Hermitian nonnegative-definite solution to the matrix equation (7).

The “only if” part. Suppose (VV^*, WW^*) is a Hermitian nonnegative-definite solution to the matrix equation (7), where $V \in \mathbf{C}^{n \times n}$ and $W \in \mathbf{C}^{p \times p}$. Then

$$AVV^*A^* + BWV^*B^* = DD^*,$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{bmatrix} AV & BW \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} AV & BW \end{bmatrix}^* = DD^*.$$

Noting (6) and applying Lemma 1 to $F = D$ and $G = \begin{bmatrix} AV & BW \end{bmatrix}$ yields

$$(14) \quad \begin{bmatrix} AV & BW \end{bmatrix} = DT$$

for some $T \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times (n+p)}$ satisfying

$$(15) \quad TT^* = I_m.$$

Let $T = \begin{bmatrix} T_1 & T_2 \end{bmatrix}$ with $T_1 \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times n}$ and $T_2 \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times p}$. Then (15) turns into (8), and further (13) follows from (14). Combining (13) and Lemma 2 gives (9).

(ii) Firstly, if the matrix pair (X, Y) possesses the form (10) with (11) and (12), then

$$AV = AA^-DT_1, \quad BW = BB^-DT_2,$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} AXA^* + BYB^* &= AV(AV)^* + BW(BW)^* \\ &= (AA^-DT_1)(AA^-DT_1)^* + (BB^-DT_2)(BB^-DT_2)^*. \end{aligned}$$

This, together with (8) and (9), implies

$$\begin{aligned} AXA^* + BYB^* &= (DT_1)(DT_1)^* + (DT_2)(DT_2)^* \\ &= D(T_1T_1^* + T_2T_2^*)D^* \\ &= DD^*, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., (X, Y) is a Hermitian nonnegative-definite solution to the matrix equation (7).

Secondly, for any fixed Hermitian nonnegative-definite solution $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$ to the matrix equation (7), we can write

$$\tilde{X} = \tilde{V}\tilde{V}^*, \quad \tilde{Y} = \tilde{W}\tilde{W}^*$$

for some $\tilde{V} \in \mathbf{C}^{n \times n}$ and $\tilde{W} \in \mathbf{C}^{p \times p}$. By a similar argument to the proof of the “only if” part of (i), we can obtain

$$A\tilde{V} = D\tilde{T}_1, \quad B\tilde{W} = D\tilde{T}_2$$

for some $\tilde{T}_1 \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times n}$ and $\tilde{T}_2 \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times p}$ satisfying

$$\tilde{T}_1\tilde{T}_1^* + \tilde{T}_2\tilde{T}_2^* = I_m$$

and

$$AA^-D\tilde{T}_1 = D\tilde{T}_1, \quad BB^-D\tilde{T}_2 = D\tilde{T}_2.$$

This, together with Lemma 2, derives that $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$ possesses the form (10) with (11) and (12).

The proof is done. $\square$

Using Theorem 1, we have the following corollary which gives a representation of the general Hermitian nonnegative-definite solution to the matrix equation

$$(16) \quad AXA^* + BYB^* = O$$

with known matrices $A \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times n}$ and $B \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times p}$.

Corollary 1. *Given matrices $A \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times n}$ and $B \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times p}$ satisfying (6). Let A^- and B^- be arbitrary but fixed generalized inverses of A and B , respectively. Then the general Hermitian nonnegative-definite solution to the matrix equation (16) is given by*

$$(X, Y) = (VV^*, WW^*)$$

with

$$V = (I_n - A^- A)Z_1, \quad W = (I_p - B^- B)Z_2,$$

where Z_1 and Z_2 are a pair of arbitrary parameter matrices.

Theorem 1 shows that if the matrix equation (7) has a Hermitian nonnegative-definite solution, then its general Hermitian nonnegative-definite solution can be obtained once the general solution (T_1, T_2) to the pair of matrix equations (8) and (9) is derived. Note that the general solution (T_1, T_2) to the matrix equation (9) is given by

$$(17) \quad (T_1, T_2) = (E_1 U_1, E_2 U_2),$$

with

$$E_1 = I_m - [(I_m - AA^-)D]^- [(I_m - AA^-)D]$$

and

$$E_2 = I_m - [(I_m - BB^-)D]^- [(I_m - BB^-)D],$$

where $[(I_m - AA^-)D]^-$ is an arbitrary but fixed generalized inverse of $(I_m - AA^-)D$, $[(I_m - BB^-)D]^-$ is an arbitrary but fixed generalized inverse of $(I_m - BB^-)D$, and $U_1 \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times n}$ and $U_2 \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times p}$ are a pair of arbitrary parameter matrices. Substituting (17) into (8) yields

$$(18) \quad E_1 U_1 U_1^* E_1^* + E_2 U_2 U_2^* E_2^* = I_m.$$

Therefore, to determine the general solution (T_1, T_2) to the pair of matrix equations (8) and (9), it suffices to give a representation of the general solution to the matrix equation (18). While this can be easily obtained by using singular value decompositions (for detail see the Appendix).

3. AN EXAMPLE

Consider the matrix equation (1) with the parameter matrices:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 4 & 4 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & -1 \\ 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Obviously, $m = n = 3$ and $p = 2$. By choosing $D = C$, it is clear that (1) is of the form (7) satisfying (6). Choosing

$$A^- = \begin{bmatrix} 1.1765e-001 & 4.7059e-002 & 9.4118e-002 \\ -4.1176e-001 & 3.5294e-002 & 7.0588e-002 \\ 5.8824e-001 & 3.5294e-002 & 7.0588e-002 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$B^- = \begin{bmatrix} 6.6667e-002 & 6.6667e-001 & 1.3333e-001 \\ 6.6667e-002 & -3.3333e-001 & 1.3333e-001 \end{bmatrix},$$

we derive

$$(I_m - AA^-)D = \begin{bmatrix} 2.2204e-016 & -9.7145e-017 & 0 \\ -1.1102e-016 & 8.0000e-001 & 0 \\ -2.2204e-016 & -4.0000e-001 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$(I_m - BB^-)D = \begin{bmatrix} 8.0000e-001 & -2.2204e-016 & 0 \\ 8.3267e-017 & -2.2204e-016 & 0 \\ -4.0000e-001 & -4.4409e-016 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Again choosing

$$[(I_m - AA^-)D]^- = \begin{bmatrix} 2.2707e-047 & -9.4371e-032 & 4.7185e-032 \\ -2.4061e-016 & 1.0000e+000 & -5.0000e-001 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$[(I_m - BB^-)D]^- = \begin{bmatrix} 1.0000e+000 & 1.0408e-016 & -5.0000e-001 \\ 2.4805e-031 & 2.5818e-047 & -1.2403e-031 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

we have

$$E_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1.0000e+000 & 9.4371e-032 & 0 \\ 8.6282e-032 & 1.1102e-016 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1.0000e+000 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$E_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1.1102e-016 & -1.9722e-031 & 0 \\ -2.4805e-031 & 1.0000e+000 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1.0000e+000 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Following the lines in Appendix, it is easy to see that a representation of the general solution to the matrix equation (18) is given by

$$(19) \quad U_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -b_1 & -b_2 & -b_3 \\ b_7 & b_8 & b_9 \\ b_4 & b_5 & b_6 \end{bmatrix}, \quad U_2 = \begin{bmatrix} a_3 & a_4 \\ a_1 & a_2 \\ a_5 & a_6 \end{bmatrix},$$

where a_i , $i = 1, \dots, 6$, and b_i , $i = 1, \dots, 9$, are complex parameters satisfying

$$(20) \quad \begin{cases} |a_1|^2 + |a_2|^2 = 1 \\ \frac{a_3 \overline{a_1} + a_4 \overline{a_2}}{9007199254740992} = 0.1109 \times 10^{-30} \\ a_5 \overline{a_1} + a_6 \overline{a_2} = 0 \\ |b_1|^2 + |b_2|^2 + |b_3|^2 + \frac{|a_3|^2 + |a_4|^2}{81129638414606681695789005144064} = 1 \\ b_1 \overline{b_4} + b_2 \overline{b_5} + b_3 \overline{b_6} - \frac{a_3 \overline{a_5} + a_4 \overline{a_6}}{9007199254740992} = 0 \\ |b_4|^2 + |b_5|^2 + |b_6|^2 + |a_5|^2 + |a_6|^2 = 1 \end{cases}.$$

Substituting (19) into (17) yields

$$(21) \quad T_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -b_1 + \frac{245b_7}{2596148429267413814265248164610048} \\ -\frac{7b_1}{81129638414606681695789005144064} + \frac{b_7}{9007199254740992} \\ b_4 \\ -b_2 + \frac{245b_8}{2596148429267413814265248164610048} \\ -\frac{7b_2}{81129638414606681695789005144064} + \frac{b_8}{9007199254740992} \\ b_5 \\ -b_3 + \frac{245b_9}{2596148429267413814265248164610048} \\ -\frac{7b_3}{81129638414606681695789005144064} + \frac{b_9}{9007199254740992} \\ b_6 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$(22) \quad T_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{a_3}{9007199254740992} - \frac{a_1}{5070602400912917605986812821504} \\ -\frac{1416143659252943a_3}{5708990770823839524233143877797980545530986496} + a_1 \\ a_5 \\ \frac{a_4}{9007199254740992} - \frac{a_2}{5070602400912917605986812821504} \\ -\frac{1416143659252943a_4}{5708990770823839524233143877797980545530986496} + a_2 \\ a_6 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Using Theorem 1, we derive that the general Hermitian nonnegative-definite solution to the matrix equation (1) is given by (10) with

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} 1.1765e-001 & 4.7059e-002 & 0 \\ -4.1176e-001 & 3.5294e-002 & 0 \\ 5.8824e-001 & 3.5294e-002 & 0 \end{bmatrix} T_1 \\ + \begin{bmatrix} 5.2941e-001 & -3.5294e-001 & -3.5294e-001 \\ -3.5294e-001 & 2.3529e-001 & 2.3529e-001 \\ -3.5294e-001 & 2.3529e-001 & 2.3529e-001 \end{bmatrix} Z_1$$

and

$$W = \begin{bmatrix} 6.6667e-002 & 6.6667e-001 & 0 \\ 6.6667e-002 & -3.3333e-001 & 0 \end{bmatrix} T_2 \\ + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 3.3307e-016 \\ -2.2204e-016 & -4.4409e-016 \end{bmatrix} Z_2,$$

where $Z_1 \in \mathbf{C}^{3 \times 3}$ and $Z_2 \in \mathbf{C}^{2 \times 2}$ are a pair of arbitrary parameter matrices, and T_1 and T_2 are, respectively, given by (21) and (22) with complex parameters a_i , $i = 1, \dots, 6$, and b_i , $i = 1, \dots, 9$, satisfying (20).

Remark 1. The equations (20)-(22) seem at the surface to be complicated because they are computed using the symbolic operation of Maple — a tool software. In fact, they are very simple if they are computed by numerical method.

4. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported in part by the Chinese Natural Science Foundation under Grant No. 10271021, the Natural Science Foundation of Heilongjiang Province

under Grant No. A01-07 and the Fund of Heilongjiang Education Committee for Overseas Scholars under Grant No. 1054HQ004.

The author thanks the referee for his helpful comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. K. Baksalary. Nonnegative definite and positive definite solutions to the matrix equation $AXA^* = B$. *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, 16(1-4):133–139, 1984.
- [2] J. K. Baksalary and R. Kala. The matrix equation $AXB + CYD = E$. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 30:141–147, 1980.
- [3] P. Bhimasankaram and D. Majumdar. Hermitian and nonnegative definite solutions of some matrix equations connected with distribution of quadratic forms. *Sankhyā Ser. A*, 42(3-4):272–282, 1980.
- [4] J. N. Buxton, R. F. Churchouse, and A. B. Tayler. *Matrices Methods and Applications*. Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1990.
- [5] X. W. Chang and J. S. Wang. The symmetric solution of the matrix equations $AX + YA = C$, $AXA^T + BYB^T = C$, and $(A^T X A, B^T X B) = (C, D)$. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 179:171–189, 1993.
- [6] K.-w. E. Chu. Singular value and generalized singular value decompositions and the solution of linear matrix equations. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 88/89:83–98, 1987.
- [7] J. Groß. A note on the general Hermitian solution to $AXA^* = B$. *Bull. Malaysian Math. Soc. (2)*, 21(2):57–62, 1998.
- [8] J. Groß. Nonnegative-definite and positive-definite solutions to the matrix equation $AXA^* = B$ —revisited. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 321(1-3):123–129, 2000. *Linear algebra and statistics* (Fort Lauderdale, FL, 1998).
- [9] C. N. He. The general solution of the matrix equation $AXB + CYD = F$. *Acta Sci. Natur. Univ. Norm. Hunan.*, 19(1):17–20, 1996.
- [10] D. Hua and P. Lancaster. Linear matrix equations from an inverse problem of vibration theory. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 246:31–47, 1996.
- [11] C. G. Khatri and S. K. Mitra. Hermitian and nonnegative definite solutions of linear matrix equations. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, 31(4):579–585, 1976.
- [12] G. Xu, M. Wei, and D. Zheng. On solutions of matrix equation $AXB + CYD = F$. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 279(1-3):93–109, 1998.
- [13] X. Zhang and M.-y. Cheng. The rank-constrained Hermitian nonnegative-definite and positive-definite solutions to the matrix equation $AXA^* = B$. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 370:163–174, 2003.

5. APPENDIX: SOLUTION TO THE MATRIX EQUATION (18)

Since

$$(23) \quad \text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} E_1 & E_2 \end{bmatrix} = m$$

is a necessary condition for (18) to have at least a solution, in this section we always assume that (23) is satisfied.

Let $r = \text{rank} E_1$ and

$$(24) \quad E_1 = P_1 \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_1 & O \\ O & O \end{bmatrix} Q_1^*$$

be a singular value decomposition of E_1 , where $P_1, Q_1 \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times m}$ are unitary and $\Sigma_1 \in \mathbf{C}^{r \times r}$ is positive-definite and diagonal.

Case 1. Suppose $r = m$. Then (24) turns into

$$(25) \quad E_1 = P_1 \Sigma_1 Q_1^*.$$

Let

$$(26) \quad U_1 = Q_1 U_{11}, \quad U_{11} \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times n}.$$

Substituting (25) and (26) into (18) derives

$$\Sigma_1 U_{11} U_{11}^* \Sigma_1 + (P_1^* E_2) U_2 U_2^* (P_1^* E_2)^* = I_m.$$

Thus,

$$(27) \quad U_{11} U_{11}^* = \Sigma_1^{-1} [I_m - (P_1^* E_2) U_2 U_2^* (P_1^* E_2)^*] \Sigma_1^{-1}.$$

Let $s = \text{rank} E_2$ and

$$(28) \quad P_1^* E_2 = P_2 \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_2 & O \\ O & O \end{bmatrix} Q_2^*$$

be a singular value decomposition of $P_1^* E_2$, where $P_2, Q_2 \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times m}$ are unitary and $\Sigma_2 \in \mathbf{C}^{s \times s}$ is positive-definite and diagonal. Further, assume

$$(29) \quad U_2 = Q_2 \begin{bmatrix} U_{21} \\ U_{22} \end{bmatrix}, \quad U_{21} \in \mathbf{C}^{s \times p}.$$

Substituting (28) and (29) into (27) yields

$$(30) \quad U_{11} U_{11}^* = \Sigma_1^{-1} P_2 \begin{bmatrix} I_s - \Sigma_2 U_{21} U_{21}^* \Sigma_2 & O \\ O & I_{m-s} \end{bmatrix} P_2^* \Sigma_1^{-1}.$$

This implies that $I_s - \Sigma_2 U_{21} U_{21}^* \Sigma_2$ is nonnegative definite, i.e., all singular values of $\Sigma_2 U_{21}$ are less than or equals to 1. Therefore, by a similar argument to [13, (23)], we can determine the general expression of U_{21} .

In summary, in this case a representation of the general solution to the matrix equation (18) is given by (26) and (29), where $U_{22} \in \mathbf{C}^{(m-s) \times p}$ is an arbitrary parameter matrix, $U_{21} \in \mathbf{C}^{s \times p}$ is a parameter matrix such that all singular values of $\Sigma_2 U_{21}$ are less than or equals to 1, and $U_{11} \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times n}$ is a parameter matrix satisfying (30). In particular,

$$(U_1, U_2) = \left(Q_1 \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_1^{-1} & O \\ O & O \end{bmatrix}, O \right)$$

is a solution to the matrix equation (18).

Case 2. Suppose $r < m$. Let

$$(31) \quad U_1 = Q_1 \begin{bmatrix} U_{11} \\ U_{12} \end{bmatrix}, \quad U_{11} \in \mathbf{C}^{r \times n}$$

and

$$(32) \quad P_1^* E_2 = \begin{bmatrix} E_{21} \\ E_{22} \end{bmatrix}, \quad E_{21} \in \mathbf{C}^{r \times m}.$$

Substituting (24), (31) and (32) into (18) derives

$$(33) \quad \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_1 U_{11} U_{11}^* \Sigma_1 + E_{21} U_2 U_2^* E_{21}^* & E_{21} U_2 U_2^* E_{22}^* \\ E_{22} U_2 U_2^* E_{21}^* & E_{22} U_2 U_2^* E_{22}^* \end{bmatrix} = I_m.$$

It is easy to see from (23), (24) and (32) that E_{22} is of full-row rank. Thus, we can write

$$(34) \quad E_{22} = P_3 \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_3 & O \end{bmatrix} Q_3^*,$$

where $P_3 \in \mathbf{C}^{(m-r) \times (m-r)}$ and $Q_3 \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times m}$ are unitary and $\Sigma_3 \in \mathbf{C}^{(m-r) \times (m-r)}$ is positive-definite and diagonal. Further, assume

$$(35) \quad U_2 = Q_3 \begin{bmatrix} U_{31} \\ U_{32} \end{bmatrix}, \quad U_{31} \in \mathbf{C}^{(m-r) \times p}$$

and

$$(36) \quad E_{21}Q_3 = \begin{bmatrix} E_{31} & E_{32} \end{bmatrix}, \quad E_{31} \in \mathbf{C}^{r \times (m-r)}.$$

Substituting (34), (35) and (36) into (33) gives

$$(37) \quad \begin{cases} U_{31}U_{31}^* = \Sigma_3^{-2} \\ E_{32}U_{32}U_{31}^* = -E_{31}\Sigma_3^{-2} \\ \Sigma_1U_{11}U_{11}^*\Sigma_1 + E_{32}U_{32}U_{32}^*E_{32}^* = I_m + E_{31}\Sigma_3^{-2}E_{31}^* \end{cases},$$

where $\Sigma_3^{-2} = (\Sigma_3^{-1})^2$. When $U_{31} = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_3^{-1} & O \end{bmatrix}$, the relation (37) turns into

$$(38) \quad \begin{cases} E_{32}U_{32} = \begin{bmatrix} -E_{31}\Sigma_3^{-1} & Z \end{bmatrix} \\ \Sigma_1U_{11}U_{11}^*\Sigma_1 = I_m - ZZ^* \end{cases},$$

where $Z \in \mathbf{C}^{r \times (p-m+r)}$ is an arbitrary parameter matrix whose all singular value is less than or equal to 1.

Obviously,

$$(39) \quad \text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} E_{31} & E_{32} \end{bmatrix} = \text{rank} E_{32}$$

is a necessary and sufficient condition for (38) to hold for some Z , U_{11} and U_{32} . Thus, (39) is sufficient for (37) to hold for some U_{11} , U_{31} and U_{32} . Furthermore, it is easy to see that (39) is also necessary for (37) to hold for some U_{11} , U_{31} and U_{32} . Therefore, in this case a representation of the general solution (18) is given by (31) and (35) with (37) if (39) is met. In particular,

$$(U_1, U_2) = \left(Q_1 \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_1^{-1} & O \\ O & O \end{bmatrix}, Q_3 \begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_3^{-1} & O \end{bmatrix} \\ H \end{bmatrix} \right), \quad E_{32}H = \begin{bmatrix} -E_{31}\Sigma_3^{-1} & O \end{bmatrix}$$

is a solution to the matrix equation (18) if (39) is met.

Received January 10, 2003; revised August 26, 2004.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE,
HEILONGJIANG UNIVERSITY,
HARBIN, 150080,
P R CHINA
E-mail address: zhangx663@sohu.com