Acta Mathematica Academiae Paedagogicae Nyíregyháziensis
22 (2006), 149–159
www.emis.de/journals
ISSN 1786-0091

SYMMETRIC UNITS AND GROUP IDENTITIES IN GROUP ALGEBRAS. I

VICTOR BOVDI

Dedicated to Professor L.G. Kovács on his 70th birthday

Abstract. We describe those group algebras over fields of characteristic different from 2 whose units symmetric with respect to the classical involution, satisfy some group identity.

1. Introduction

Let $U(A)$ be the group of units of an algebra A with involution $*$ over the field F and let $S_*(A) = \{u \in U(A) \mid u = u^*\}$ be the set of symmetric units of A.

Algebras with involution have been actively investigated. In these algebras there are many symmetric elements, for example: $x + x^*$ and xx^* for any $x \in A$. This raises natural questions about the properties of the symmetric elements and symmetric units. In [10] Ch. Lanski began to study the properties of the symmetric units in prime algebras with involution, in particular when the symmetric units commute. Using the results and methods of [4], in [5] we classified the cases when the symmetric units commute in modular group algebras of p-groups. The solution of this question for integral group rings and for some modular group rings of arbitrary groups was obtained in [6, 3].

Several results on the group of units $U(R)$ show that if $U(R)$ satisfies a certain group theoretical condition (for example, it is nilpotent or solvable), then R's properties are restricted and a polynomial identity on R holds. This suggests that there may be some general underlying relationship between group identities and polynomial identities. In this topic Brian Hartley made the following:

Conjecture 1. *Let FG be a group algebra of a torsion group G over the field F. If $U(FG)$ satisfies a group identity, then FG satisfies a polynomial identity.*

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 16U60, 16W10.
Key words and phrases. PI-algebras, symmetric units.
The research was supported by OTKA No.T 037202 and No.T 038059.

The theory of PI-algebras has been established for a long time. On the contrary, the study of algebras with units satisfying a group identity has emerged only recently [11, 12]. Our goal here is to show that with a few extra assumptions, these algebras are actually PI-algebras. In fact, these classes of algebras are quite special, because if the group of units is too small in a algebra, a group identity condition can not limit the structure of the whole algebra. In view of Hartley's conjecture, as a natural generalization the works [5, 6, 3, 10] it is a natural question when does the symmetric units satisfy a group identity in group algebra. Note that the structure theorem of the algebras with involution whose symmetric elements satisfy a polynomial identity was obtained earlier by S.A. Amitsur in [1]. A. Giambruno, S.K. Sehgal and A. Valenti in [8] obtained the following result for group algebras of torsion groups:

Theorem 1. *Let FG be a group algebra of a torsion group G over an infinite field F of characteristic $p > 2$ and assume that the involution $*$ on FG is canonical. The symmetric units $S_*(FG)$ satisfy a group identity if and only if G has a normal subgroup A of finite index, the commutator subgroup A' is a finite p-group and one of the following conditions holds:*
(i) G has no quaternion subgroup of order 8 and G' has of bounded exponent p^k for some k.
(ii) G has of bounded exponent $4p^s$ for some $s \geq 0$, the p-Sylow subgroup of G is normal and G/P is a Hamiltonian 2-subgroup.

In the present paper we extend the result of A. Giambruno, S.K. Sehgal and A. Valenti. For non-torsion groups G we describe the group algebras FG over the field F of characteristic different from 2 whose symmetric units

$$S_*(FG) = \{u = \textstyle\sum_{g\in G} \alpha_g g \in U(FG) \quad | \quad u = u^* = \textstyle\sum_{g\in G} \alpha_g g^{-1}\}$$

satisfy a group identity. The present result was announced at the International Workshop Polynomial Identities in Algebras, 2002, Memorial University of Newfoundland.

2. Main results

In the sequel of this paper $\mathfrak{d}(\omega)$ denotes a positive integer, which depends on the group identity ω and it is defined in the next section. Our results are the following:

Theorem 2. *Let G be a non-torsion nonabelian group and $char(F) = p \neq 2$ and assume that the symmetric units of FG satisfy some group identity $\omega = 1$. Assume that $|F| > \mathfrak{d}(\omega)$, where $\mathfrak{d}(\omega)$ is an integer which depends only on the word ω. Let P be a p-Sylow subgroup of G and let $t(G)$ be the torsion part of G.*

(I) *If $p > 2$ then P and $t(G)$ are normal subgroups of G such that:*

(a) *$B = t(G)/P$ is an abelian p'-subgroup and its subgroups are normal in G;*
(b) *if B is noncentral in G/P then the algebraic closure L of the prime subfield F_p in F is finite and for all $g \in G/P$ and for any $a \in B$ there exists an $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a^g = a^{p^r}$ and $|L : F_p|$ is a divisor of r;*
(c) *the p-Sylow subgroup P is a finite group;*
(d) *the p-Sylow subgroup P is infinite and G has a subgroup A of finite index, such that A' is a finite p-group and the commutator subgroup H' of $H = AP$ is a bounded p-group. Moreover, if P is unbounded, then G' is a bounded p-group;*

(II) *If $char(F) = 0$ then $t(G)$ is a subgroup, every subgroup of $t(G)$ is normal in G and one of the following conditions holds:*
(a) *$t(G)$ is abelian and each idempotent of $Ft(G)$ is central in FG;*
(b) *$t(G)$ is a Hamiltonian 2-group, and each symmetric idempotent of $Ft(G)$ is central in FG.*

3. Notation, preliminary results and the proof

Let FG be the group algebra of G over F. We introduce the following notation:

- $(g, h) = g^{-1}g^h = g^{-1}h^{-1}gh$ for all $g, h \in G$;
- $|g|$ and $C_G(g)$ are the order and the centralizer of $g \in G$, respectively;
- G', $Syl_p(G)$ are the commutator subgroup and the Sylow p-subgroup of G;
- $t(G)$ is the set of elements of finite order in G;
- $\Delta(G) = \{g \in G \mid \quad [G : C_G(g)] < \infty\}$ is the FC-radical of G;
- $\Delta^p(G) = \langle g \in \Delta(G) \mid \quad g$ has order of a power of p$\rangle$;
- $T_l(G/H)$ is a left transversal of the subgroup H in G;
- $\mathfrak{N}(FG)$ is the sum of all nilpotent ideals of the group algebra FG;
- $A(FG)$ is the augmentation ideal of the group algebra FG.

Let A be an algebra over a field F, let F_0 be the ring of integers of the field F, and suppose that $U(A)$ satisfies a group identity $\omega = 1$. Then, as it was proved in Lemma 3.1 of [11], there exists a polynomial $f(x)$ over F_0 of degree $\mathfrak{d}(\omega)$ which is determined by the word ω. In several papers (see for example [8]) the authors assumed that the field F is infinite so they could apply the "Vandermonde determinant argument". We shall use some lemmas from [8], which are easy to prove using the method of the paper [11] even without the assumption that the field F is infinite.

In our proof we will use the following facts:

Lemma 1. ([1]) *Let A be an algebra with involution over F of $char(F) \neq 2$, such that the set of symmetric units of A satisfy a group identity $\omega = 1$. If I is a stable nil ideal of A then the symmetric units of A/I satisfy a group identity.*

Lemma 2. *(see* [8]*) Let A be an algebra over the field F of characteristic $p \neq 2$, such that the set of symmetric units of A satisfy a group identity $\omega = 1$ and $|F| > \mathfrak{d}(\omega)$, where $\mathfrak{d}(\omega)$ is an integer which depends only on the word ω. Then:*

(i) *if A is semiprime, then $asa = 0$ for every nilpotent element $s \in S_*(A)$ and square-zero $a \in S_*(A)$;*
(ii) *if $a \in A$ is square-zero, then $(aa^*)^m = 0$, for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$;*
(iii) *if A is semiprime and $u, v \in A$ such that $uv = 0$, then $usv = 0$ for any square-zero symmetric element s;*
(iv) *if the subring L of A is nil, then L satisfy a polynomial identity;*
(v) *each symmetric idempotent is central;*
(vi) *if A is artinian, then A is isomorphic to a direct sum of division algebras and 2×2 matrices algebras over a field with symplectic involution. Each nilpotent element of A has index at most 2;*
(vii) *if $A = FG$ is the group algebra of the group $G = Q_8 \times \langle c \rangle$, where Q_8 is the quaternion group of order 8, then the order of the cyclic subgroup $\langle c \rangle$ is finite.*

Lemma 3. *(see* [8]*) Let A be a normal abelian subgroup of G of finite index such that $G = A \cdot H$, where H is a finite group. Let $char(F) = p$ and assume that the set of symmetric units of FG satisfy a group identity $\omega = 1$. If $|F| > \mathfrak{d}(\omega)$, where $\mathfrak{d}(\omega)$ is an integer which depends only on the word ω, then G' has bounded exponent p^m, where m depends only on $\mathfrak{d}$.*

Now we are ready to prove the following

Lemma 4. *Let $char(F) = p > 2$ and let the set of symmetric units of FG satisfy a group identity $\omega = 1$. Assume that $|F| > \mathfrak{d}(\omega)$, where $\mathfrak{d}(\omega)$ is an integer which depends only on the word ω. Then the p-Sylow subgroup P of $\Delta(G)$ is normal in G and the set of symmetric units of $F[G/P]$ satisfy a group identity.*

Proof. Let H be a finite subgroup of $\Delta(G)$ and let $J = J(F_pH)$ be the radical of the finite group algebra F_pH over the prime subfield F_p. According to Lemma *2(vi)*, the factor algebra F_pH/J is isomorphic to a direct sum of fields and 2×2 matrices algebras over a finite field with symplectic involution and a nilpotent element $\bar{u} = u + J \in F_pH/J$ has index at most 2. Moreover, from this decomposition follows that $\bar{u}\bar{u}^*$ is central. By Lemma 2*(ii)* the element $\bar{u}\bar{u}^*$ is nilpotent and central in the semiprime algebra F_pH/J. Therefore $\bar{u}\bar{u}^* = 0$ and $uu^* \in J(FH)$.

Let $h \in H$ with $|h| = p^t$. Then $u = h - 1$ is nilpotent and

$$uu^* = (h-1)(h^{-1}-1) \in J(FH).$$

It follows that $huu^* = -(h-1)^2 \in J(FH)$. Using Passman's result (see Lemma 5 in [8], p.453) we obtain that $h - 1 \in J(FH)$ for all $h \in H$ and $H \cap (1 + J)$ is a normal p-subgroup of H, which coincides with the p-Sylow subgroup of H. Thus the p-Sylow subgroup P of $\Delta(G)$ is normal in G, so the proof is complete. □

Lemma 5. *Let FG be a semiprime group algebra over the field F with $char(F) > 2$ such that the set of symmetric units of FG satisfy a group identity $\omega = 1$. Suppose that $|F| > \mathfrak{d}(\omega)$, where $\mathfrak{d}(\omega)$ is an integer which depends only on the word ω. Then one of the following conditions holds:*

(i) *$t(G)$ is abelian and each idempotent of $Ft(G)$ is central in FG.*

(ii) *$t(G)$ is a Hamiltonian 2-group and each symmetric idempotent of $Ft(G)$ is central in FG.*

Proof. (i) Let $a \in t(G)$, such that $(|a|, p) = 1$. Then, by Lemma 2*(v)*, the symmetric idempotent $e = \frac{1}{n}(1 + a + \cdots + a^{|a|-1})$ is central in FG, so $\langle a\rangle$ is normal in G. Now let $p > 2$ and let $a \in t(G)$ be of order p. If $N_G(\langle a\rangle) = G$ then $\overline{\langle a\rangle}$ is a central nilpotent element of the semiprime algebra FG, a contradiction.

Let us prove that each torsion element belongs to $N_G(\langle a\rangle)$. Pick $h \notin N_G(\langle a\rangle)$ such that $|h| = p^t$. The elements $(h-1)(h^{-1}-1)$ and $\overline{\langle a\rangle}$ are symmetric and $(2-h-h^{-1})^{p^t} = (\overline{\langle a\rangle})^2 = 0$. By Lemma 2*(i)* we get $\overline{\langle a\rangle}(2-h-h^{-1})\overline{\langle a\rangle} = 0$ and

$$\overline{\langle a\rangle}h\overline{\langle a\rangle} + \overline{\langle a\rangle}h^{-1}\overline{\langle a\rangle} = 0. \tag{1}$$

An element of $Supp(\overline{\langle a\rangle h\langle a\rangle})$ can be written as a^iha^j, where $0 \le i, j \le p-1$. If all the elements in $Supp(\overline{\langle a\rangle h\langle a\rangle})$ and in $Supp(\overline{\langle a\rangle}h^{-1}\overline{\langle a\rangle})$ are distinct, then on the left-hand side of (1) each element appears at most two times, but this leads to a contradiction if $char(F) \ne 2$. Therefore, in the subset $Supp(\overline{\langle a\rangle}h\overline{\langle a\rangle})$ not all elements are different, whence there exist i, j, k, l such that $a^iha^j = a^kha^l$ and either $i \ne k$ or $j \ne l$. If, for example, $i > k$, then $h^{-1}a^{i-k}h = a^{l-j}$ and $h \in N_G(\langle a\rangle)$.

Now, let $h \notin N_G(\langle a\rangle)$ be a p'-element. As we have seen before, $\langle h\rangle$ is normal in G, so $\langle a, h\rangle$ is a finite subgroup. By Lemma 4 the p-Sylow subgroup P of $\langle a, h\rangle$ is normal in $\langle a, h\rangle$ and $(a, h) \in P \cap \langle h\rangle = \langle 1\rangle$, a contradiction.

Therefore, each element of finite order belongs to $N_G(\langle a\rangle)$. Moreover, the elements of order p in G form an elementary abelian normal p-subgroup E of G.

Finally, if $h \notin N_G(\langle a\rangle)$, then h has infinite order and h acts on E. The subgroups $\langle a^h\rangle$ and $\langle a\rangle$ are different and we can choose a subgroup $\langle b\rangle \subset E$, which differs from $\langle a\rangle$. Clearly, $\overline{\langle a\rangle}(h+h^{-1})\overline{\langle a\rangle}$ and $\overline{\langle b\rangle}$ are square-zero symmetric elements and according to Lemma 2*(i)*,

$$\overline{\langle b\rangle\langle a\rangle}(h+h^{-1})\overline{\langle a\rangle\langle b\rangle} = 0. \tag{2}$$

Since hE and $h^{-1}E$ are different cosets, from (2) follows that

$$\overline{\langle b\rangle\langle a\rangle}h\overline{\langle a\rangle\langle b\rangle} = 0. \tag{3}$$

The subgroup $H = \langle a, b\rangle \subset E$ has order p^2 and by (3) we have $\overline{H}h_1\overline{H}h_2 = 0$ for all h_1, h_2. Since elements of finite order belong to $N_G(H)$, we get $(\overline{H}FG)^2 = 0$, which is impossible by the semiprimeness of FG. Thus G have no p-elements and all finite cyclic subgroups of G are normal in G. Applying Lemmas 6 and 7 from [8] and the fact that G have no p-elements ($p \neq 2$), we obtain that $t(G)$ is either an abelian group or a Hamiltonian 2-group.

Let $t(G)$ be an abelian group and let $e \in Ft(G)$ be a noncentral idempotent in FG. Set $H = \langle Supp(e)\rangle$. Since every subgroup of $t(G)$ is normal in G, the subgroup H is also normal in G and FH has a primitive idempotent f, which does not commute with some $g \in G$ of infinite order. Then $g^{-1}fg \neq f$ is also a primitive idempotent of FH and $(g^{-1}fg)f = 0$, i.e. $(fg)^2 = (gf)^2 = 0$.

Let $g^{-1}fg = \overline{f} \neq f^*$. By Lemma 2(v) we have $f \neq f^*$, so $g^{-1}f + f^*g$ is a square-zero symmetric element and by Lemma 2*(iii)*, we get that

$$fg(g^{-1}f + f^*g)fg = 0.$$

It follows that $f + g(\overline{f}f^*)gf = f = 0$, a contradiction. Therefore, $g^{-1}fg = f^*$, so $(f^*)^* = (g^{-1}fg)^* = g^{-1}f^*g = f$. Furthermore, $g^{-2}fg^2 = g^{-1}f^*g = f$ and $f^*g^2 = g^2f^*$. Since $f^*g^2 = g^2f^*$, $(gf^*)^2 = 0$ and $gf + f^*g^{-1}$ is square-zero symmetric element, by Lemma 2*(iii)* we obtain that

$$gf^*(gf + f^*g^{-1})gf^* = gf^*g^2(g^{-1}fg)f^* + gf^* = gf^*g^2f^* + gf^* = 0.$$

Thus $(g^2 + 1)f^* = 0$, which is impossible, since g^2H and H are different cosets. □

Lemma 6. *Let F be a field of characteristic p, and suppose that G contains a normal locally finite p-subgroup P such that the centralizer of each element of P in every finitely generated subgroup of G is of finite index. Then $\mathfrak{I}(P)$ is a locally nilpotent ideal.*

Proof. Clearly, $\{\ u(h-1)\ \mid\ u \in T_l(G/P),\ 1 \neq h \in P\ \}$ is an F-basis for the ideal $\mathfrak{I}(P)$. Let us show that the subalgebra $W = \langle u_1(h_1-1),\ \dots,\ u_s(h_s-1)\rangle_F$ is nilpotent. According to our assumption, the centralizers of $h_1, \dots, h_s$ in the subgroup $H = \langle u_1, \dots, u_s, h_1, \dots, h_s\rangle$ have finite index. Since P is normal, its subgroup $L = \langle h_1^u, h_2^u, \dots, h_s^u \mid u \in H\rangle$ is a finitely generated FC-group and by a Theorem of B.H. Neumann ([1], Theorem 4, p.19) L is a finite p-group. Thus the augmentation ideal $A(FL)$ is nilpotent with index, say, t. Furthermore, $A(FL) = u^{-1}A(FL)u$ for any $u \in H$ and this implies that $(A(FL) \cdot FH)^n = A^n(FL) \cdot FH$ for any $n > 0$, so $W^t \subseteq A^t(FL) \cdot FH = 0$, because $W \subseteq A(FL) \cdot FH$. Therefore W is a nilpotent subalgebra and $\mathfrak{I}(P)$ is a locally nilpotent ideal.

□

Lemma 7. *Let G be a group with a nontrivial p-Sylow subgroup P and let $char(F) = p > 2$. If the set of symmetric units of FG satisfy a group identity $\omega = 1$ and $|F| > \mathfrak{d}(\omega)$, where $\mathfrak{d}(\omega)$ is an integer which depends only on the word ω, then P is normal in G and the ideal $\mathfrak{I}(P)$ is nil.*

Proof. Let P be a maximal normal p-subgroup of G such that the ideal $\mathfrak{I}(P)$ is nil. By Lemma 1 the set of symmetric units of $F[G/P]$ satisfy a group identity. If $F[G/P]$ is semiprime, then by (i) of the Theorem the group G/P has no p-elements and P coincides with the p-Sylow subgroup of G. Now, suppose that $F[G/P]$ is not semiprime. According to Theorem 4.2.13 ([13], p.131) the group $\Delta(G/P)$ has a nontrivial p-Sylow subgroup P_1/P, which is normal in G/P by Lemma 4. Clearly, P_1/P is an FC-subgroup of G/P, so by Lemma 6 the ideal $\mathfrak{I}(P_1/P)$ is nil.

Since $\mathfrak{I}(P_1/P) \cong \mathfrak{I}(P_1)/\mathfrak{I}(P)$ and P_1 is normal in G, the ideal $\mathfrak{I}(P_1)$ is nil and $P \subset P_1$, a contradiction. Thus $P = Syl_p(G)$ and the proof is done. □

Lemma 8. *Let R be an algebra with involution $*$ over a field F of characteristic $p > 2$ and assume that $S_*(R)$ satisfies a group identity and $|F| > \mathfrak{d}(\omega)$. If some nil subring L of R is $*$-stable, then L satisfies a non-matrix polynomial identity.*

Proof. Let $A = F\langle X\rangle[[t]]$ be the ring of power series over the polynomial ring $F\langle X\rangle$ with noncommuting indeterminably $X = \{x_1, x_2\}$. By a result of Magnus, the elements $1 + x_1t, 1 + x_2t$ are units in A and $\langle 1 + x_1t, 1 + x_2t\rangle$ is a free group.

Assume that $S_*(R)$ satisfies the group identity w, where w is a reduced word in 2 variables. Then $w(1+x_1t, 1+x_2t) \neq 1$ according to result of Magnus and it is well-known that $(1+x_it)^{-1} = 1-x_it+x_i^2t^2-\cdots$. If we substitute $(1+x_it)^{-1}$ in the expression $w(1 + x_1t, 1 + x_2t) - 1$, then it can be expanded as

$$\sum_{i\geq s} g_i(x_1, x_2)t^i, \tag{4}$$

where $g_i(x_1, x_2) \in F\langle X\rangle$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree i. Obviously there exists a smallest integer $s \geq 1$ such that $g_s(x_1, x_2) \neq 0$.

Let L be a $*$-stable nil subring and let $S(L)$ be the set of the symmetric elements of L. Take now $r_1, r_2 \in S(L)$ and let $\lambda \in F$. Obviously, r_1, r_2 are nilpotent elements, so each $1 + \lambda r_i$ is a symmetric unit in R and

$$(1 + r_i\lambda)^{-1} = 1 - r_i\lambda + r_i^2\lambda^2 + \cdots + (-1)^{t-1}r_i^{t-1}\lambda^{t-1}$$

for a suitable t. By evaluating w on these elements, (4) gives us a finite sum $\sum_{i\geq s}^{l} g_i(r_1, r_2)\lambda^i = 0$ for some l. Since $|F| > \mathfrak{d}(\omega)$, we can apply the Vandermonde determinant argument to obtain $g_i(r_1, r_2) = 0$ for all i. Therefore $g_s(x_1, x_2)$ is a $*$-polynomial identity on $S(L)$. Finally, by [1] it follows that $S(L)$ satisfies an ordinary polynomial identity.

Suppose that the homogeneous polynomial $g(x_1, x_2)$ vanishes on the matrix algebra $M_2(K)$ over a commutative ring K. Then

$$g(x_1, x_2) = h(x_1, x_2) + g_{11}(x_1, x_2) + g_{12}(x_1, x_2) + g_{21}(x_1, x_2) + g_{22}(x_1, x_2),$$

where $h(x_1, x_2)$ consists of all monomials which contain x_1^2 or x_2^2 while the $g_{ij}(x_1, x_2)$ contain all the remaining monomials beginning with x_i and ending with x_j for $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$. If a and b are two square-zero matrices, then $h(a, b) = 0$, because each term of h has a^2 or b^2 as a factor, so we conclude that $ag_{21}(a,b)b = 0$. Clearly $x_1g_{21}(x_1, x_2)x_2$ is some polynomial $f(x_1x_2)$. Then $f(ab\lambda) = 0$ for each $\lambda \in F$ and, by the Vandermonde determinant argument, we get $(ab)^d = 0$ for some d. Take, for instance, the matrix units $a = e_{12}$ and $b = e_{21}$, then we obtain a contradiction. □

Lemma 9. *Let R be an algebra over a field F of positive characteristic p satisfying a non-matrix polynomial identity. Then R satisfies also a polynomial identity of the form $([x,y]z)^{p^l}$ and $[x,y]^{p^l}$*

Proof. Let $g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ be a non-matrix polynomial identity in R. The variety W determined by the polynomial identity $g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ contains a relatively free algebra K of rank 3. Of course, K is a finitely generated PI-algebra, and the result of Braun and Razmyslov (Theorem 6.3.39, [14]) states that the radical $J(K)$ of K is nilpotent. Writing $K/J(K)$ as a subdirect sum of primitive rings $\{L_i\}$, we get that every primitive ring L_i satisfies the non-matrix polynomial identity $g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, as a homomorphic image of K. By Theorem 2.1.4 of [9], L_i is either isomorphic to the matrix ring $M_m(D)$ over a division ring D, or for any m the matrix ring $M_m(D)$ is an epimorphic image of some subring of L_i.

Thus $M_m(D)$ satisfies a non-matrix polynomial identity g, which is possible only if L_i is a commutative ring. Consequently, $K/J(K)$ is a commutative algebra, so K satisfies a polynomial identity of the form $([x,y]z)^{p^l}$ such that $J(K)^{p^l} = 0$. Since R belongs to the variety W, the algebra R also satisfies a polynomial identity $([x,y]z)^{p^l}$. □

Lemma 10. *Let FG be a non semiprime group algebra over the field F with $char(F) > 2$, such that the set of symmetric units of FG satisfy a group identity $\omega = 1$ and $|F| > \mathfrak{d}(\omega)$, where $\mathfrak{d}(\omega)$ is an integer which depends only on the word ω. If $\mathfrak{N}(FG)$ is not nilpotent then FG is a PI-algebra, where $\mathfrak{N}(FG)$ is the sum of all nilpotent ideals of FG.*

Proof. Clearly the non nilpotent ideal $\mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{N}(FG)$ is invariant under the involution $*$ and by Lemma 2(*iv*) the ring $\mathfrak{N}$ satisfies a polynomial identity $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. Moreover, by Lemma 2.8 of [12] the algebra FG satisfies a non-degenerate multilinear generalized polynomial identity and hence, by Theorem 5.3.15 ([13], p.202), $|G : \Delta(G)| < \infty$ and $\Delta(G)'$ is finite.

Set $P = Syl_p(G)$ and $P_1 = Syl_p(\Delta(G)')$. By Lemma 4, $P \cap \Delta(G)' = P_1 \lhd G$ and P_1 is a finite p-group. Thus $\mathfrak{I}(P_1)$ is a nilpotent ideal and by (i) of the Theorem, the set of symmetric units of $F[\Delta(G)'/P_1]$ satisfy a group identity, so $\Delta(G)'/P_1$ is either an abelian p'-group or a Hamiltonian 2-group.

If $P_1 = \Delta(G)'$, then by Theorem 5.3.9 ([13], p.197) the algebra FG is a PI-algebra. If $P_1 \subsetneq \Delta(G)'$ then we can suppose that G is a group such that $Syl_p(\Delta(G)') = 1$ and $\Delta(G)'$ is either an abelian p'-group or a Hamiltonian 2-group.

Set $P_2 = Syl_p(\Delta(G))$. Clearly, $P_2 = P \cap \Delta(G)$ is normal in $\Delta(G)$. Since $[P : P_2] < \infty$ and P is an infinite group, the group P_2 is infinite, too. If

$a \in P_2$, $b \in \Delta(G)$, then $(a,b) \in P_2 \cap \Delta(G)' = 1$, so $(a,b) = 1$ and P_2 is a central subgroup in $\Delta(G)$.

Let us prove that $F\Delta(G)$ is a PI-algebra. If $\Delta(G)$ is a torsion group, then by [8] the statement is trivial.

Since $\mathfrak{N}(F\Delta(G)) \subseteq \mathfrak{N}(FG)$, the ideal $\mathfrak{N}(F\Delta(G))$ also satisfies the same polynomial identity $f(x_1,\dots,x_n)$. By the standard multilinearization process, we may assume that $f(x_1,\dots,x_n)$ is multilinear.

Assume that P_2 has bounded exponent. Then the maximal elementary abelian p-subgroup E of P_2 is infinite. Let $f(a_1,\dots,a_n) = \sum_i \alpha_i y_i$, where $a_1,\dots,a_n \in F\Delta(G)$, $y_1,\dots,y_n \in T_l(\Delta(G)/E)$ and $\alpha_i \in FE$. Then there exists a finite subgroup B such that $\alpha_i \in FB$ and $E = B \times \prod_j \langle c_j \rangle$. Since $(c_k - 1)a_k \in \mathfrak{N}(F\Delta(G))$ and P_2 is central, we conclude that

$$f((c_1-1)a_1,\dots,(c_n-1)a_n) = (c_1-1)\cdots(c_n-1)f(a_1,\dots,a_n) = 0.$$

It follows that $f(a_1,\dots,a_n) = 0$, because $B \cap \prod_j \langle c_j \rangle = \langle 1 \rangle$.

Now let P_2 be of unbounded exponent and $c \in P_2$. Then $(c-1)a_k \in \mathfrak{N}(F\Delta(G))$ and also

$$f((c-1)a_1,\dots,(c-1)a_n) = (c-1)^n f(a_1,\dots,a_n) = 0$$

for all $c \in P_2$. Then $f(a_1,\dots,a_n)$ belongs to the annihilator of the augmentation ideal $A(FP_2^{p^t})$, where $n \le p^t$. Since $P_2^{p^t}$ is infinite, we have

$$Ann_l(A(FP_2^{p^t})) = 0.$$

It follows that $f(a_1,\dots,a_n) = 0$, so $f(x_1,\dots,x_n)$ is a polynomial identity for $F\Delta(G)$. Since $F\Delta(G)$ is a PI-algebra and $[G : \Delta(G)] < \infty$, the algebra FG is PI, too. $\square$

Proof of the theorem. Let FG be a group algebra of a non-torsion group G over a field of positive characteristic p. By Lemma 7 the p-Sylow subgroup P is normal in G and $F[G/P] \cong FG/\mathfrak{I}(P)$, so the symmetric units of semiprime algebra $F[G/P]$ satisfy a group identity. By Lemma 5 $B = t(G/P)$ is a subgroup of G/P and B is either an abelian p'-group or a Hamiltonian 2-group. If B is a Hamiltonian 2-group, then Q_8 is a subgroup of B. Choose an element $c \in G/P$ of infinite order. Since every subgroup of $t(G)/P$ is normal in G/P and $|Aut(Q_8)| < \infty$, there exists a $t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $c^t \in C_{G/P}(Q_8)$ and $Q_8 \times \langle c^t \rangle \subseteq G/P$. Then Lemma 2(vii) asserts that c has finite order, a contradiction. So B is an abelian p'-group and by Lemma 5 every idempotent of FB is central in $F[G/P]$. Moreover, if B is noncentral, then according to [7] the group B satisfy (i.b) of our Theorem.

Now, let P be infinite. By Corollary 8.1.14 ([13], p.312) the ideal $\mathfrak{N}(FG)$ is non-nilpotent, so by Lemma 10, the algebra FG is a PI-algebra, i.e. G has a subgroup A with finite index such that A' is a finite p-group. According to Lemma 1, it can be assumed that G has an abelian subgroup A of finite index.

We claim that the commutator subgroup of $H = P \cdot A$ is a bounded p-group. Clearly $S_*(FP)$ satisfies a group identity and according to Lemma 3 P' is a bounded p-group. The normal abelian p-subgroup $P' \cap A$ has finite exponent and according to Lemma 6 the ideal $\mathfrak{I}(P' \cap A)$ is locally nilpotent of bounded degree. The subgroup $P' \cap A$ of P' has finite index in P and

$$\mathfrak{I}(P')/\mathfrak{I}(P' \cap A) \cong \mathfrak{I}(P'/(P' \cap A)).$$

Therefore $\mathfrak{I}(P')$ is a locally nilpotent ideal of bounded degree p^t for some t. Clearly $FG/\mathfrak{I}(P') \cong F[G/P']$ and put $P' = \langle 1 \rangle$. Since A has a finite index in $H = P \cdot A$, Lemma 3 ensures that H' is a p-group of bounded exponent and according to Lemma 1, we can put $H' = \langle 1 \rangle$ again.

The p-Sylow subgroup P of G is abelian and by Lemma 8 the ideal $\mathfrak{I}(P)$ satisfies a non-matrix polynomial identity, Moreover, by Lemma 9 the ideal $\mathfrak{I}(P)$ satisfies polynomial identities of the following forms: $[x,y]^{p^l}$ and $([x,y]z)^{p^l}$.

Let $h \in G$ and $a \in P$. Clearly $(a-1)h, h^{-1}(a^{-1}-1) \in \mathfrak{I}(P)$ and

$$[(a-1)h, h^{-1}(a^{-1}-1)]^{p^l} = (a^h)^{p^l} + (a^h)^{-p^l} - a^{p^l} - a^{-p^l} = 0$$

which implies that either $(h,a)^{p^l} = 1$ or $h^{-1}a^{p^l}h = a^{-p^l}$.

Put $z = a^{p^l}$. From $h^{-1}a^{p^l}h = a^{-p^l}$ it follows that $h^{-1}zh = z^{-1}$ and $([z-1,(z^{-1}-1)h])^{p^l} = 0$. Clearly $[z-1,(z^{-1}-1)h] = -z^{-2}(z+1)(z-1)^2h$ so

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= ([z-1,(z^{-1}-1)h])^{p^l} \\ &= -\big((z+1)(z-1)^2(z^{-1}+1)(z^{-1}-1)^2h^2\big)^{\frac{p^l-1}{2}}\big(z^{-2}(z+1)(z-1)^2h\big) \\ &= -z^{\frac{-3p^l-1}{2}} \cdot (z+1)^{p^l} \cdot (z-1)^{2p^l} \cdot h^{p^l}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $char(K) > 2$, the element $z+1$ is a unit and $(z-1)^{2p^l} = (a-1)^{2p^{2l}} = 0$ and the order of a at most $2p^{2l}$. Therefore $(h,a)^{p^{2l+1}} = 1$ for all $h \in G$, $a \in P$ and $2l+1$ depends on only the group identity. Since (G,P) is a p-group of bounded exponent, we can again make a reduction, so we can assumed that $(G,P) = 1$ and P is central.

Let P be a central subgroup of unbounded exponent and $h_1, h_2 \in G$. Obviously

$$\begin{aligned} ((h_1,h_2)^{p^l}-1)(a-1)^{p^{3l}} &= ((h_1,h_2)-1)^{p^l}(a-1)^{p^{3l}} \\ &= ([h_1^{-1}(a-1), h_2^{-1}(a-1)]h_1h_2(a-1))^{p^l} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for $a \in P$. Since there are infinitely many element of the form $a^{p^{3l}}$ we conclude that $(h_1,h_2)^{p^l} = 1$ and the proof is complete. □

References

[1] S. A. Amitsur. Rings with involution. *Israel J. Math.*, 6:99–106, 1968.

[2] A. A. Bovdi. *Gruppovye koltsa.* Užgorod. Gosudarstv. Univ., Uzhgorod, 1974.

[3] V. Bovdi. On symmetric units in group algebras. *Comm. Algebra*, 29(12):5411–5422, 2001.

[4] V. Bovdi and L. G. Kovács. Unitary units in modular group algebras. *Manuscripta Math.*, 84(1):57–72, 1994.

[5] V. Bovdi, L. G. Kovács, and S. K. Sehgal. Symmetric units in modular group algebras. *Comm. Algebra*, 24(3):803–808, 1996.

[6] V. Bovdi and M. M. Parmenter. Symmetric units in integral group rings. *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, 50(3-4):369–372, 1997.

[7] S. P. Coelho. A note on central idempotents in group rings. *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. (2)*, 30(1):69–72, 1987.

[8] A. Giambruno, S. K. Sehgal, and A. Valenti. Symmetric units and group identities. *Manuscripta Math.*, 96(4):443–461, 1998.

[9] I. N. Herstein. *Noncommutative rings*. The Carus Mathematical Monographs, No. 15. Published by The Mathematical Association of America, 1968.

[10] C. Lanski. Rings with involution whose symmetric units commute. *Canad. J. Math.*, 28(5):915–928, 1976.

[11] C.-H. Liu. Group algebras with units satisfying a group identity. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 127(2):327–336, 1999.

[12] C.-H. Liu and D. S. Passman. Group algebras with units satisfying a group identity. II. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 127(2):337–341, 1999.

[13] D. Passman. *The algebraic structure of group rings*. Pure and Applied Mathematics. Wiley-Interscience [John Wiley & Sons], New York, 1977.

[14] L. H. Rowen. *Ring theory. Vol. I*, volume 127 of *Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Academic Press Inc., Boston, MA, 1988.

Received May 15, 2005.

Institute of Mathematics,
University of Debrecen,
4010 Debrecen, Pf. 12,
Hungary

Institute of Mathematics and Computer Science,
College of Nyíregyháza
Sóstói út 31/b,
H-4410 Nyíregyháza, Hungary
E-mail address: vbovdi@math.klte.hu