

RECENT RESULTS ON THE DERIVED LENGTH OF LIE SOLVABLE GROUP ALGEBRAS

TIBOR JUHÁSZ

ABSTRACT. Let G be a group with cyclic commutator subgroup of order p^n and F a field of characteristic p . We obtain the description of the group algebras FG of Lie derived length 3.

1. INTRODUCTION AND RESULTS

Let us consider the group algebra FG of a group G over a field F as a Lie algebra with the usual bracket operation and define the *Lie derived series* and the *strong Lie derived series* of FG as follows: let $\delta^{[0]}(FG) = \delta^{(0)}(FG) = FG$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\delta^{[n+1]}(FG) &= [\delta^{[n]}(FG), \delta^{[n]}(FG)], \\ \delta^{(n+1)}(FG) &= [\delta^{(n)}(FG), \delta^{(n)}(FG)]FG,\end{aligned}$$

where $[X, Y]$ is the additive subgroup generated by all Lie commutators $[x, y] = xy - yx$ with $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$. We say that FG is *Lie solvable* if there exists m such that $\delta^{[m]}(FG) = 0$, and similarly, if $\delta^{(n)}(FG) = 0$ for some n then FG is said to be *strongly Lie solvable*. The minimal integers m, n for which $\delta^{[m]}(FG) = 0$ and $\delta^{(n)}(FG) = 0$ are called the *Lie derived length* and the *strong Lie derived length* of FG and they are denoted by $\text{dl}_L(FG)$ and $\text{dl}^L(FG)$, respectively. I. B. S. PASSI, D. S. PASSMAN and S. K. SEHGAL [6] proved that a group algebra FG is Lie solvable if and only if one of the following conditions holds: (i) G is abelian; (ii) $\text{char}(F) = p$ and the commutator subgroup G' of G is a finite p -group; (iii) $\text{char}(F) = 2$ and G has a subgroup H of index 2 whose commutator subgroup H' is a finite 2-group. As it is well-known, a group algebra FG is strongly Lie solvable if either G is abelian or $\text{char}(F) = p$ and G' is a finite p -group.

It is obvious that $\text{dl}_L(FG) = \text{dl}^L(FG) = 1$ if and only if G is abelian. The group algebras FG with $\text{dl}_L(FG) = 2$ are known as *Lie metabelian* group

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16S34, 17B30.

Key words and phrases. Group algebras, Lie derived length.

algebras. F. LEVIN and G. ROSENBERGER described these group algebras in [4], namely, a noncommutative group algebra FG of characteristic p is Lie metabelian if and only if one of the following conditions holds: (i) $p = 3$ and G' is central of order 3; (ii) $p = 2$ and G' is central and elementary abelian of order dividing 4. Moreover, they proved that $\text{dl}_L(FG) = 2$ if and only if $\text{dl}^L(FG) = 2$.

M. SAHAI in [9] gave the full description of the strongly Lie solvable group algebras of strong derived length 3 for odd characteristic, and showed that the statements $\delta^{[3]}(FG) = 0$ and $\delta^{(3)}(FG) = 0$ are equivalent, provided $\text{char}(F) \geq 7$. In the other cases the question is still open. Further examples can be found in R. ROSSMANITH's papers [7, 8] for group algebras with Lie derived length at most 3 of characteristic 2.

The introductory results on the Lie derived length of Lie solvable group algebras are in A. SHALEV's papers [10, 11].

In this article we continue the study which we started in [3, 1]. In [3] the Lie solvable group algebras FG whose Lie derived lengths are maximal are given in the case when G is a nilpotent group with cyclic commutator subgroup of order p^n . Later [1], we investigated the non-nilpotent case.

To describe the Lie solvable group algebras of derived length 3 seems a difficult problem. A partial solution can be found here; we indeed prove the following

Theorem 1. *Let G be a group with cyclic commutator subgroup of order p^n and let F be a field of characteristic p . Then $\text{dl}_L(FG) = 3$ if and only if one of the following conditions holds:*

- (i) $p = 7$, $n = 1$ and G is nilpotent;
- (ii) $p = 5$, $n = 1$ and either $x^g = x^{-1}$ for all $x \in G'$ and $g \notin C_G(G')$ or G is nilpotent;
- (iii) $p = 3$, $n = 1$ and G is not nilpotent;
- (iv) $p = 2$ and
 - a) $n = 2$;
 - b) $n = 3$ and G is of class 4;
 - c) G has an abelian subgroup of index 2.

A. SHALEV proved (see Proposition C in [11]): if G is an abelian-by-cyclic p -group of class two with $p > 2$ and $\text{char}(F) = p$, then $\text{dl}_L(FG) = \lceil \log_2(t(G') + 1) \rceil$, where $t(G')$ denotes the nilpotent index of the augmentation ideal of FG' and $\lceil r \rceil$ the upper integral part of a real number r . We generalize this result for the case when the nilpotency class of G is not necessary two.

Theorem 2. *Let G be an abelian-by-cyclic p -group with $p > 2$ such that $\gamma_3(G) \subseteq (G')^p$ and let F be a field of characteristic p . Then*

$$\text{dl}_L(FG) = \text{dl}^L(FG) = \lceil \log_2 t(G') + 1 \rceil.$$

In this article $\omega(FG)$ denotes the augmentation ideal of FG ; for a normal subgroup $H \subseteq G$ we denote by $\mathfrak{J}(H)$ the ideal $FG \cdot \omega(FH)$. For $x, y \in G$

let $x^y = y^{-1}xy$, $(x, y) = x^{-1}x^y$. By $\zeta(G)$ we mean the center of the group G , by $\gamma_n(G)$ the n -th term of the lower central series of G with $\gamma_1(G) = G$. Furthermore, we denote by C_n the cyclic group of order n .

2. PRELIMINARIES AND PROOFS

Proposition 1. *Let G be a group and $\text{char}(F) = 2$. If H is a subgroup of index 2 of G whose commutator subgroup H' is a finite 2-group, then*

$$\text{dl}_L(FG) \leq \lceil \log_2 t(H') \rceil + 3.$$

Proof. Firstly, suppose that H is an abelian subgroup of index 2 of G . Then $G = \langle H, b \rangle$ for some b and every $x \in FG$ has a unique representation in the form $x = x_1 + x_2b$, where $x_1, x_2 \in FH$. It is easy to see that the map $u \mapsto \bar{u} = b^{-1}ub$ ($u \in FH$) is an automorphism of order 2 of FH and for all $x, y \in FG$

$$\begin{aligned} [x, y] &= [x_1 + x_2b, y_1 + y_2b] \\ &= (x_2\bar{y}_2 + \bar{x}_2y_2)b^2 + ((x_1 + \bar{x}_1)y_2 + x_2(\bar{y}_1 + y_1))b \\ &\equiv w_1b \pmod{\zeta(FG)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $w_1 \in FH$ and $\zeta(FG)$ denotes the center of FG . Similarly, for $u, v \in FG$ we have $[u, v] \equiv w_2b \pmod{\zeta(FG)}$ for some $w_2 \in FH$. Hence

$$[[x, y], [u, v]] = [w_1b, w_2b] = (w_1\bar{w}_2 + \bar{w}_1w_2)b^2 \in FH.$$

Since the elements of the form $[[x, y], [u, v]]$ with $x, y, u, v \in FG$ generate $\delta^{[2]}(FG)$ and FH is a commutative algebra, $\delta^{[3]}(FG) = 0$, as asserted.

Let now H be nonabelian. It is clear that H' is normal in G and H/H' is an abelian subgroup of index 2 of G/H' , so we can use the result proved above to get $\delta^{[3]}(F(G/H')) = 0$. In view of $F(G/H') \cong FG/\mathfrak{I}(H')$ we have $\delta^{[3]}(FG) \subseteq \mathfrak{I}(H')$. Hence an easy induction on k yields $\delta^{[3+k]}(FG) \subseteq \mathfrak{I}(H')^{2^k}$ for all $k \geq 0$. Consequently, if $2^k \geq t(H')$, that is $k \geq \lceil \log_2 t(H') \rceil$, then $\delta^{[3+k]}(FG) = 0$, which implies the statement. $\square$

Let G be a group with commutator subgroup $G' = \langle x \mid x^{2^n} = 1 \rangle$, where $n \geq 3$. It is well known that the automorphism group $\text{aut}(G')$ of G' is a direct product of the cyclic group $\langle \alpha \rangle$ of order 2 and the cyclic group $\langle \beta \rangle$ of order 2^{n-2} where the action of these automorphisms on G' is given by $\alpha(x) = x^{-1}$, $\beta(x) = x^5$. For $g \in G$, let τ_g denote the restriction to G' of the inner automorphism $h \mapsto h^g$ of G . The map $G \rightarrow \text{aut}(G)$, $g \mapsto \tau_g$ is a homomorphism whose kernel coincides with the centralizer $C = C_G(G')$. Clearly, the map $\varphi : G/C \rightarrow \text{aut}(G')$ given by $\varphi(gC) = \tau_g$ is a monomorphism. In [3] we introduced the subset

$$G_\beta = \{g \in G \mid \varphi(gC) \in \langle \beta \rangle\}$$

of G . Evidently, G_β is a subgroup of index not greater than 2. It is shown in [3] that $G = G_\beta$ if and only if G has nilpotency class at most n , furthermore

under this condition $\text{dl}_L(FG) = n + 1$. Combining this fact with Proposition 1 we obtain the following statement.

Corollary 1. *Let G be a group with cyclic commutator subgroup of order 2^n and let $\text{char}(F) = 2$. If G'_β has order 2^r , then*

$$r + 1 \leq \text{dl}_L(FG) \leq r + 3.$$

Proof. If $G = G_\beta$ then Lemma 3 and Theorem 1 in [3] say $\text{dl}_L(FG) = r + 1$. Otherwise, G_β is of index 2 in G and we can apply Proposition 1 to get $\text{dl}_L(FG) \leq r + 3$. Furthermore, Lemma 3 and Theorem 1 of [3] ensure that $\text{dl}_L(FG_\beta) = r + 1$. Since $\text{dl}_L(FG_\beta) \leq \text{dl}_L(FG)$, the corollary is true. $\square$

Let $\text{char}(F) = 2$ and $H = \langle x \mid x^{2^n} = 1 \rangle$. We claim that if $r > 0$ and the k_j 's are odd positive integers for $1 \leq j \leq r$ then the element

$$\varrho = (x^{k_1} + 1)(x^{k_2} + 1) \cdots (x^{k_r} + 1) \in FH$$

is equal to zero if and only if $r \geq 2^n$.

Indeed, $\varrho \in \omega^r(FH)$ and if $r \geq 2^n$ then $\varrho = 0$, because $t(H) = 2^n$. Assume now $r < 2^n$. Applying the identity

$$(x^{k_j} + 1) = (x^{k_j-1} + 1)(x + 1) + (x^{(k_j-1)/2} + 1)^2 + (x + 1)$$

for every $1 \leq j \leq r$, we can write $\varrho = (x + 1)^r + \varrho_1$, where ϱ_1 is the sum of elements of weight greater than r . Clearly, $(x + 1)^r \in \omega^r(FH) \setminus \omega^{r+1}(FH)$ and $\varrho_1 \in \omega^{r+1}(FH)$, hence $\varrho \in \omega^r(FH) \setminus \omega^{r+1}(FH)$ and $\varrho \neq 0$.

In the sequel we shall use freely this fact.

In the proof of the next lemmas we will use that $C' \subseteq G' \cap \zeta(G)$. This inclusion is indeed valid, because for $a, b, c \in G$ the well-known HALL-WITT identity states that

$$(a, b^{-1}, c)^b (b, c^{-1}, a)^c (c, a^{-1}, b)^a = 1.$$

Evidently, if $b, c \in C$ then this formula yields that $(b, c, a) = 1$, which guarantees our statement.

Lemma 1. *Let G be a group with commutator subgroup $G' = \langle x \mid x^{2^n} = 1 \rangle$, where $n > 3$, let $\text{char}(F) = 2$ and assume that $\exp(G/C) \leq 2$. Then $\text{dl}_L(FG) = 3$ if and only if C is abelian and $G/C = \langle aC \rangle$, where $x^a = x^{-1}$.*

Proof. Since $\exp(G/C) \leq 2$, only the following cases are possible:

Case 1: either G/C is trivial or $G/C = \langle bC \rangle$ where $x^b = x^{2^{n-1}+1}$. Clearly, G has nilpotency class at most 3, therefore by Theorem 1 in [3] we have $\text{dl}_L(FG) = n + 1$.

Case 2: $G/C = \langle aC \rangle$, where $x^a = x^{-1}$. Then $C' \subseteq G' \cap \zeta(G) = \langle x^{2^{n-1}} \rangle$. If $C' = \langle 1 \rangle$ then C is an abelian subgroup of index 2 of G and Proposition 1 implies that $\text{dl}_L(FG) = 3$. Now, let $C' = \langle x^{2^{n-1}} \rangle$. Then we can choose $b, c \in C$ such that

$$(c, a) = x, \quad (c, b) = x^{2^{n-1}}, \quad (a, b) \in \langle x^2 \rangle.$$

Indeed, let us consider the map $\varphi : C \rightarrow G'$, where $\varphi(c) = (c, a)$, which is an epimorphism because $G' = (a, C)$. Of course, $H = \varphi^{-1}(\langle x^2 \rangle)$ is a proper subgroup of C . Let $u \in C \setminus \zeta(C)$ and $c \in C \setminus (H \cup C_C(u))$ be such that $(c, a) = x$. Obviously, $(c, u) = x^{2^{n-1}}$. If $(a, u) \in \langle x^2 \rangle$ then set $b = u$, otherwise $b = cu$. It is easy to see that the elements b and c satisfy the conditions stated. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[[[c, a], [c^{-1}a, c]], [[c, a], [c^{-1}ba, c]] \right] \\ &= [[ac(x+1), a(x^{-1}+1)], [ac(x+1), ba(x^{2^{n-1}-1}+1)]] \\ &= [a^2cx^{-1}(x+1)^3, ba^2c((b, a)x^{-1}+1)(x^{2^{n-1}+1}+1)(x+1)] \\ &= a^4bc^2x^{-1}((b, a)x^{-1}+1)(x^{2^{n-1}+1}+1)(x+1)^{2^{n-1}+4} \end{aligned}$$

belongs to $\delta^{[3]}(FG)$ and is not equal to zero, thus $\text{dl}_L(FG) > 3$.

Case 3: $G/C = \langle dC \rangle$, where $x^d = x^{2^{n-1}-1}$. Since $G' = (d, C)$, similarly as before, we can choose $c \in C$ such that $(c, d) = x$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[[[c, d], [d^{-1}c, d]], [[c, d], [c, dc]] \right] \\ &= \left[[dc(x+1), c(x+1)], [dc(x+1), dc^2(x+1)] \right] \\ &= [dc^2(x+1)^{2^{n-1}+1}, d^2c^3x(x^{2^{n-1}-1}+1)(x+1)^2] \\ &= d^3c^5x(x^{2^{n-1}-1}+1)(x^{2^{n-2}-1}+1)^2(x+1)^{2^{n-1}+2} \end{aligned}$$

is a nonzero element in $\delta^{[3]}(FG)$ so $\text{dl}_L(FG) > 3$.

Case 4: $G/C = \langle aC, bC \rangle$, where $x^a = x^{-1}$ and $x^b = x^{2^{n-1}+1}$. Then

$$G' = \langle (ab, b) \rangle (ab, C) (b, C) C' = \langle (a, b) \rangle (ab, C) (b, C),$$

because $C' \subseteq \langle x^{2^{n-1}} \rangle$. Since G' is cyclic, G' coincides with either $\langle (a, b) \rangle$ or (ab, C) or (b, C) .

Assume that $G' = (ab, C)$ and set $H = \langle ab, C \rangle$. Then H satisfies the hypothesis of Case 3 of this lemma, so $\text{dl}_L(FG) \geq \text{dl}_L(FH) > 3$. We get the same result in the case $G' = (b, C)$.

There remains the possibility that $(a, b) = y$ is of order 2^n . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[[[a, b], [b^{-1}a, b]], [[a, b], [b, ab]] \right] \\ &= \left[[ba(y+1), a(y+1)], [ba(y+1), ab^2(y^{2^{n-1}-1}+1)] \right] \\ &= [ba^2(y^{2^{n-1}-2}+1)(y+1), b^3a^2y^{-1}(y^{2^{n-1}-2}+1)(y+1)] \\ &= b^4a^4y^{-1}(y^{-1}+1)^4(y^{2^{n-1}+1}+1)(y+1)^{2^{n-1}+1} \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

and the statement is valid. $\square$

Lemma 2. *Let G be a group with commutator subgroup $G' = \langle x \mid x^{16} = 1 \rangle$ and let $\text{char}(F) = 2$. Then $\text{dl}_L(FG) = 3$ if and only if G has an abelian subgroup of index 2.*

Proof. By the previous lemma, the statement is true if $\exp(G/C) \leq 2$. The other possible cases are:

Case 1: $G/C = \langle bC \rangle$, where $x^b = x^5$. Since then $G = G_\beta$, Lemma 3 and Theorem 1 in [3] state that $\text{dl}_L(FG) = 5$.

Case 2: $G/C = \langle dC \rangle$, where $x^d = x^{-5}$. Then $G' = (d, C)$ and, as before, we can choose $c \in C$ such that $(c, d) = x$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[[[c, d], [d^{-1}c, d]], [[c, d], [c, dc]] \right] \\ &= \left[[dc(x+1), c(x+1)], [dc(x+1), dc^2(x^{-5}+1)] \right] \\ &= [dc^2(x^{-4}+1)(x+1), d^2c^3(x^{-5}+1)(x+1)^2] \\ &= b^3c^5x^6(x^{-5}+1)(x^9+1)(x+1)^9 \end{aligned}$$

belongs to $\delta^{[3]}(FG)$ and is not zero.

Case 3: $G/C = \langle aC, bC \rangle$, where $x^a = x^{-1}$ and $x^b = x^5$. Then by similar arguments as in the last case of the previous lemma we can restrict ourselves to the case when $(a, b) = x$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[[[a, b], [b^{-1}a, b]], [[a, b], [b, ab]] \right] \\ &= \left[[ba(x+1), a(x+1)], [ba(x+1), ab^2(x^{-5}+1)] \right] \\ &= [ba^2(x^{10}+1)(x+1), b^3a^2(x^{10}+1)(x^7+1)] \\ &= b^4a^4x^3(x^5+1)^4(x+1)^6 \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

which was to be proved. $\square$

Now we are ready to prove our main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1. Suppose first that $p > 7$. Then Theorem A in [10] states that $\text{dl}_L(FG) \geq \lceil \log_2(p+1) \rceil \geq 4$. For odd $p \leq 7$ the statement follows directly from Theorem 1 in [3], Theorem 1 in [1].

Let $G' = \langle x \mid x^{2^n} = 1 \rangle$. The result follows from Theorem 1 in [3] for $n = 2$ and $n = 3$. For $n > 3$, using induction on n , we shall show that if $\text{dl}_L(FG) = 3$ then C is abelian and $G/C = \langle aC \rangle$, where $x^a = x^{-1}$ (i.e. G has an abelian subgroup of index 2). Indeed, by Lemma 2, this is true for $n = 4$. Let now $n > 4$ and $\text{dl}_L(FG) = 3$ and assume that the statement is true for every group with commutator subgroup of order less than 2^n . Set $H = \langle x^{2^{n-1}} \rangle \subset G'$. Then $\text{dl}_L(F(G/H)) = 3$ and $(G/H)' = G'/H = \langle xH \rangle$, and by inductive hypothesis we get

$$(xH)^{gH} = x^gH = x^{(-1)^k}H$$

for all $g \in G$. It follows that $x^g = x^i$ with $i \in \{-1, 1, 2^{n-1} - 1, 2^{n-1} + 1\}$, i.e. $\exp(G/C) \leq 2$ and the statement follows from Lemma 1. $\square$

Example. Let G_i be a finite nonabelian 2-group of order 2^m and exponent 2^{m-2} from the list in [5]. The group algebras of G_i have been examined by several authors, for example V. BOVDI [2]. Our results enable us to determine the derived length of FG_i over a field F of characteristic 2. Using Proposition 1 and Theorem 1 we get

$$\text{dl}_L(FG_i) = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if either } i \in \{2, 3\} \text{ and } m = 4 \text{ or } i \in \{1, 4, 5, 9, 10\}; \\ 4, & \text{if } i \in \{15, 16, 18, 20, 24, 25\} \text{ and } m > 5; \\ 3, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that $G'_{17} \cong G'_{26} \cong C_2 \times C_2$. Then we applied Theorem 3 in [4] to compute the derived length.

Now let us turn to Theorem 2.

Lemma 3. *Let G be a group with commutator subgroup of order p^n and $\text{char}(F) = p$. If $\gamma_3(G) \subseteq (G')^p$ then for all $m \geq 1$*

$$[\omega^m(FG'), \omega(FG)] \subseteq \mathfrak{I}(G')^{m+p-1}.$$

Moreover, if G' is abelian, then for all $m, k \geq 1$

$$[\mathfrak{I}(G')^m, \mathfrak{I}(G')^k] \subseteq \mathfrak{I}(G')^{m+k+1}.$$

Proof. We use induction on m . For every $y \in G'$ and $g \in G$ we have

$$[y - 1, g - 1] = [y, g] = gy((y, g) - 1) \in \mathfrak{I}(\gamma_3(G)) \subseteq \mathfrak{I}(G')^p.$$

This shows that the statement holds for $m = 1$, because all elements of the form $g - 1$ with $g \in G$ constitute an F -basis of $\omega(FG)$.

Now, assume that $[\omega^m(FG'), \omega(FG)] \subseteq \mathfrak{I}(G')^{m+p-1}$ for some m . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & [\omega^{m+1}(FG'), \omega(FG)] \\ & \subseteq \omega^m(FG') [\omega(FG'), \omega(FG)] + [\omega^m(FG'), \omega(FG)] \omega(FG') \\ & \subseteq \omega^m(FG') \mathfrak{I}(G')^p + \mathfrak{I}(G')^{m+p-1} \omega(FG') \subseteq \mathfrak{I}(G')^{m+p}, \end{aligned}$$

and the proof of the first assertion is complete. The second one is a consequence of the first one, because

$$\mathfrak{I}(G') = \omega(FG)\omega(FG') + \omega(FG').$$

$\square$

Proof of Theorem 2. Write $G = \langle A, x \rangle$, where A is abelian and normal in G . Clearly, $G' = (A, x)$ is abelian. We shall show that for all $c \in A$ and $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{2^n-1} \in G'$ and j not divisible by p there exists $\varrho \in \mathfrak{I}(G')^{2^n}$ such that

$$x^j c (1 - z_1)(1 - z_2) \cdots (1 - z_{2^n-1}) + \varrho \in \delta^{[n]}(FG).$$

We use induction on n . Let first $n = 1$ and $2k \equiv j$ modulo the order of x . Then $G' = (A, x^k)$ and $z_1 = (a_1, x^k) \cdots (a_s, x^k)$ for some $a_1, \dots, a_s \in A$, thus

$$(1) \quad x^j c(1 - z_1) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^s x^j c(1 - (a_i, x^k)) \pmod{\mathfrak{I}(G')^2}.$$

Since p is an odd prime, we can choose the elements u_i, v_i such that $u_i^2 = ca_i^{-1}$ and $v_i^2 = ca_i$. Then $u_i, v_i \in A$, $(u_i v_i)^2 = c^2$ and $(u_i^{-1} v_i)^2 = a_i^2$ which implies $u_i v_i = c$ and $u_i^{-1} v_i = a_i$. Setting $w_i = x^k u_i (x^k)^{-1}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} [x^k w_i, x^k v_i] &= x^j (w_i^{x^k} v_i - w_i v_i^{x^k}) \\ &= x^j w_i^{x^k} v_i (1 - (w_i^{-1} v_i, x^k)) = x^j c(1 - (a_i, x^k)), \end{aligned}$$

because $(w_i^{-1} v_i, x^k) = (u_i^{-1} v_i, x^k) = (a_i, x^k)$. Now by (1) it follows that

$$(2) \quad x^j c(1 - z_1) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^s [x^k w_i, x^k v_i] \pmod{\mathfrak{I}(G')^2},$$

which proves our statement for $n = 1$.

Now, assume that $j, c, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{2^{n-1}}$ have already been given, and let $2k \equiv j$ modulo the order of x . We can apply the method above to find elements $w_i, v_i \in A$ such that the congruence (2) holds. Set

$$f_i = x^k w_i (1 - z_2) \cdots (1 - z_{2^{n-1}})$$

and

$$g_i = x^k v_i (1 - z_{2^{n-1}+1}) \cdots (1 - z_{2^n}).$$

for $1 \leq i \leq s$. By the induction hypothesis there exist $\varrho_1^{(i)}, \varrho_2^{(i)} \in \mathfrak{I}(G')^{2^{n-1}}$ such that $f_i + \varrho_1^{(i)}, g_i + \varrho_2^{(i)} \in \delta^{[n-1]}(FG)$. Evidently,

$$[f_i + \varrho_1^{(i)}, g_i + \varrho_2^{(i)}] = [f_i, g_i] + [f_i, \varrho_2^{(i)}] + [\varrho_1^{(i)}, g_i] + [\varrho_1^{(i)}, \varrho_2^{(i)}] \in \delta^{[n]}(FG).$$

According to Lemma 3 the last three summands are in $\mathfrak{I}(G')^{2^n}$. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} [f_i, g_i] &= x^k w_i [(1 - z_2) \cdots (1 - z_{2^{n-1}}), x^k v_i] (1 - z_{2^{n-1}+1}) \cdots (1 - z_{2^n}) \\ &\quad + x^k v_i [x^k w_i, (1 - z_{2^{n-1}+1}) \cdots (1 - z_{2^n})] (1 - z_2) \cdots (1 - z_{2^{n-1}}) \\ &\quad + [x^k w_i, x^k v_i] (1 - z_2) \cdots (1 - z_{2^{n-1}}) \end{aligned}$$

and the first two summands on the right-hand side belong to $\mathfrak{I}(G')^{2^n}$ by Lemma 3. So,

$$[f_i + \varrho_1^{(i)}, g_i + \varrho_2^{(i)}] \equiv [x^k w_i, x^k v_i] (1 - z_2) \cdots (1 - z_{2^{n-1}}) \pmod{\mathfrak{I}(G')^{2^n}},$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq s$. Summing this over all possible i , we get

$$x^j c(1 - z_1)(1 - z_2) \cdots (1 - z_{2^{n-1}}) + \varrho \in \delta^{[n]}(FG),$$

for some $\varrho \in \mathfrak{I}(G')^{2^n}$, as we claimed.

It follows that $\delta^{[n]}(FG)$ has nonzero elements while $2^n - 1 < t(G')$. Hence

$$\text{dl}_L(FG) \geq \lceil \log_2 t(G') + 1 \rceil$$

and the result follows immediately from Proposition 1 in [3]. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] Z. Balogh and T. Juhász. Lie derived lengths of group algebras of groups with cyclic derived subgroup. To appear in Commun. Algebra.
- [2] V. Bovdi. On a filtered multiplicative basis of group algebras. II. *Algebr. Represent. Theory*, 6(3):353–368, 2003.
- [3] T. Juhász. On the derived length of Lie solvable group algebras. *Publ. Math.*, 68(1-2):243–256, 2006.
- [4] F. Levin and G. Rosenberger. Lie metabelian group rings. Group and semigroup rings, Proc. Int. Conf., Johannesburg/South Afr. 1985, North-Holland Math. Stud. 126, 153–161 (1986)., 1986.
- [5] Y. Ninomiya. Finite p -groups with cyclic subgroups of index p^2 . *Math. J. Okayama Univ.*, 36:1–21, 1994.
- [6] I. Passi, D. Passman, and S. Sehgal. Lie solvable group rings. *Can. J. Math.*, 25:748–757, 1973.
- [7] R. Rossmanith. Lie centre-by-metabelian group algebras in even characteristic. I. *Isr. J. Math.*, 115:51–75, 2000.
- [8] R. Rossmanith. Lie centre-by-metabelian group algebras in even characteristic. II. *Isr. J. Math.*, 115:77–99, 2000.
- [9] M. Sahai. Lie solvable group algebras of derived length three. *Publ. Mat., Barc.*, 39(2):233–240, 1995.
- [10] A. Shalev. The derived length of Lie soluble group rings. I. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 78(3):291–300, 1992.
- [11] A. Shalev. The derived length of Lie soluble group rings. II. *J. Lond. Math. Soc., II. Ser.*, 49(1):93–99, 1994.

Received Apryl 10, 2006.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE,
ESZTERHÁZY KÁROLY COLLEGE,
H-3300 EGER, P.O. BOX 43,
HUNGARY
E-mail address: juhaszti@ektf.hu