

ACYCLIC NUMBERS OF GRAPHS

VLADMIR SAMODIVKIN

ABSTRACT. A subset S of vertices in a graph G is *acyclic* if the subgraph $\langle S \rangle$ induced by S contains no cycles. The *lower acyclic number*, $i_a(G)$, is the smallest number of vertices in a maximal acyclic set in G . The *upper acyclic number*, $\beta_a(G)$, is the maximum cardinality of an acyclic set in G . Let $\mu \in \{\beta_a, i_a\}$. Any maximal acyclic set S of a graph G with $|S| = \mu(G)$ is called a μ -set of G . A vertex x of a graph G is called: (i) μ -good if x belongs to some μ -set, (ii) μ -fixed if x belongs to every μ -set, (iii) μ -free if x belongs to some μ -set but not to all μ -sets, (iv) μ -bad if x belongs to no μ -set. In this paper we deal with μ -good/bad/fixed/free vertices and present results on upper and lower acyclic numbers in graphs having cut-vertices.

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider finite, simple graphs. The vertex set and the edge set of a graph G is denoted by $V(G)$ and $E(G)$, respectively. The subgraph induced by $S \subseteq V(G)$ is denoted by $\langle S, G \rangle$. For a vertex x of G , $N(x, G)$ denote the set of all neighbors of x in G and $N[x, G] = N(x, G) \cup \{x\}$.

A subset of vertices S in a graph G is said to be *acyclic* if $\langle S, G \rangle$ contains no cycles. Note that the property of being acyclic is a hereditary property, that is, any subset of an acyclic set is itself acyclic. An acyclic set $S \subseteq V(G)$ is *maximal* if for every vertex $v \in V(G) - S$, the set $S \cup \{v\}$ is not acyclic. The *lower acyclic number*, $i_a(G)$, is the smallest number of vertices in a maximal acyclic set in G . The *upper acyclic number*, $\beta_a(G)$, is the maximum cardinality of an acyclic set in G . These two numbers were defined by S.M. Hedetniemi et al. in [4]. We denote by $\text{MAS}(G)$ the set of all maximal acyclic sets of a graph G . For every vertex $x \in V(G)$, let $\text{MAS}(x, G) = \{A \in \text{MAS}(G) : x \in A\}$.

Let $\mu(G)$ be a numerical invariant of a graph G defined in such a way that it is the minimum or maximum number of vertices of a set $S \subseteq V(G)$ with a given property P . A set with property P and with $\mu(G)$ vertices in G is called a μ -set of G . A vertex v of a graph G is defined to be

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05C69.

Key words and phrases. acyclic numbers; i_a/β_a -fixed/free/bad/good vertex.

- (a) μ -good, if v belongs to some μ -set of G [3];
- (b) μ -bad, if v belongs to no μ -set of G [3];
- (c) μ -fixed if v belongs to every μ -set [5];
- (d) μ -free if v belongs to some μ -set but not to all μ -sets [5].

For a graph G and $\mu \in \{i_a, \beta_a\}$ we define:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{G}(G, \mu) &= \{x \in V(G) : x \text{ is } \mu\text{-good}\}; \\
\mathbf{B}(G, \mu) &= \{x \in V(G) : x \text{ is } \mu\text{-bad}\}; \\
\mathbf{Fi}(G, \mu) &= \{x \in V(G) : x \text{ is } \mu\text{-fixed}\}; \\
\mathbf{Fr}(G, \mu) &= \{x \in V(G) : x \text{ is } \mu\text{-free}\}; \\
\mathbf{V}_0(G, \mu) &= \{x \in V(G) : \mu(G - x) = \mu(G)\}; \\
\mathbf{V}_-(G, \mu) &= \{x \in V(G) : \mu(G - x) < \mu(G)\}; \\
\mathbf{V}_+(G, \mu) &= \{x \in V(G) : \mu(G - x) > \mu(G)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $\{\mathbf{V}_-(G, \mu), \mathbf{V}_0(G, \mu), \mathbf{V}_+(G, \mu)\}$ and $\{\mathbf{G}(G, \mu), \mathbf{B}(G, \mu)\}$ are partitions of $V(G)$, and $\{\mathbf{Fi}(G, \mu), \mathbf{Fr}(G, \mu)\}$ is a partition of $\mathbf{G}(G)$.

Observation 1.1. *For any nontrivial graph G the following holds:*

- (1) $V(G) = \mathbf{V}_-(G, \beta_a) \cup \mathbf{V}_0(G, \beta_a)$;
- (2) $\mathbf{V}_-(G, \beta_a) = \{x \in V(G) : \beta_a(G - x) = \beta_a(G) - 1\} = \mathbf{Fi}(G, \beta_a)$;
- (3) $\mathbf{V}_-(G, i_a) = \{x \in V(G) : i_a(G - x) = i_a(G) - 1\}$;
- (4) $\mathbf{V}_+(G, i_a) \subseteq \mathbf{Fi}(G, i_a)$;
- (5) $\mathbf{B}(G, i_a) \subseteq \mathbf{V}_0(G, i_a)$.

Proof. (1): Let $v \in V(G)$ and M be a β_a -set of $G - v$. Then M be an acyclic set of G which implies $\beta_a(G - v) \leq \beta_a(G)$.

(2): Let $v \in V(G)$ and M_1 be a β_a -set of G . First assume v be no β_a -fixed. Hence the set M_1 may be chosen so that $v \notin M_1$ and then M_1 is an acyclic set of $G - v$ implying $\beta_a(G) = |M_1| \leq \beta_a(G - v)$. Now by (1) it follows $\beta_a(G) = \beta_a(G - v)$.

Let v be β_a -fixed. Then each β_a -set of $G - v$ is an acyclic set of G but is no β_a -set of G . Hence $\beta_a(G) > \beta_a(G - v)$. Since $M_1 - \{v\}$ is an acyclic set of $G - v$ then $\beta_a(G - v) \geq |M_1 - \{v\}| = \beta_a(G) - 1$.

(3), (4) and (5): Let $v \in V(G)$, M_2 be an i_a -set of G and $v \notin M_2$. Then $M_2 \in \text{MAS}(G - v)$ implying $i_a(G) \geq i_a(G - v)$. Now let M_3 be an i_a -set of $G - v$. Then either M_3 or $M_3 \cup \{v\}$ is a maximal acyclic set of G . Hence $i_a(G - v) + 1 \geq i_a(G)$ and if the equality holds then v is i_a -good. $\square$

A set $D \subseteq V(G)$ is called a *decycling set* if $V(G) - D$ is acyclic. A decycling set $D \subseteq V(G)$ is a *minimal decycling set* if no proper subset $D_1 \subset D$ is a decycling set.

The minimum order of a decycling set of G is called the *decycling number* of G and is denoted by $\nabla(G)$ (see [2]). Note that the set A is in $\text{MAS}(G)$ if and only if $V(G) - A$ is a minimal decycling set. Hence $\nabla(G) + \beta_a(G) = |V(G)|$. For a survey of results and open problems on $\nabla(G)$ see [1]. In [2] the decycling

of combinations of two graphs were considered, namely the sum, the join and the Cartesian product. Let G_1 and G_2 be connected graphs, both of order at least two, and let they have an unique vertex in common, say x . Then a *coalescence* $G_1 \overset{x}{\circ} G_2$ is the graph $G_1 \cup G_2$. Clearly, x is a cut-vertex of $G_1 \overset{x}{\circ} G_2$. In this paper we present results on maximal acyclic sets, lower acyclic number and upper acyclic number in a coalescence of graphs.

2. MAXIMAL ACYCLIC SETS

In this section we begin an investigation of maximal acyclic sets in graphs having cut-vertices.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $G = H_1 \overset{x}{\circ} H_2$, $M \in \text{MAS}(x, G)$ and $M_j = M \cap V(H_j)$, $j = 1, 2$. Then $M_j \in \text{MAS}(x, H_j)$ for $j = 1, 2$.*

Proof. Clearly M_j is an acyclic set of H_j , $j = 1, 2$. Assume $M_i \notin \text{MAS}(x, H_i)$ for some $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Then there is a vertex $u \in V(H_i) - M_i$ such that $M_i \cup \{u\}$ is an acyclic set in H_i . But then $M \cup \{u\}$ is an acyclic set of G - a contradiction with the maximality of M . $\square$

Proposition 2.2. *Let $G = H_1 \overset{x}{\circ} H_2$, $M_j \in \text{MAS}(x, H_j)$ for $j = 1, 2$. Then $M = M_1 \cup M_2 \in \text{MAS}(x, G)$.*

Proof. Since x is a cut-vertex then M is an acyclic set of G . If $M \notin \text{MAS}(G)$ then there is $u \in V(G - M)$ such that $M \cup \{u\}$ is an acyclic set of G . Let without loss of generalities $u \in V(H_1)$. Then $M_1 \cup \{u\}$ is an acyclic set of H_1 contradicting $M_1 \in \text{MAS}(H_1)$. Hence $M \in \text{MAS}(G)$. $\square$

Proposition 2.3. *Let $G = H_1 \overset{x}{\circ} H_2$, $M \in \text{MAS}(G)$, $x \notin M$ and $M_j = M \cap V(H_j)$, $j = 1, 2$. Then one of the following holds:*

- (1) $M_j \in \text{MAS}(H_j)$ for $j = 1, 2$;
- (2) *there are l and m such that $\{l, m\} = \{1, 2\}$, $M_l \in \text{MAS}(H_l)$, $M_m \in \text{MAS}(H_m - x)$ and $M_m \cup \{x\} \in \text{MAS}(H_m)$.*

Proof. Clearly M_i is an acyclic set of H_i , $i = 1, 2$. Assume there be $j \in \{1, 2\}$ such that $M_j \notin \text{MAS}(H_j)$, say $j = 1$. If $M_1 \notin \text{MAS}(H_1 - x)$ then there is $v \in V(H_1 - x)$, $v \notin M_1$ such that $M_1 \cup \{v\}$ is an acyclic set of $H_1 - x$ and since $x \notin M$ then $M \cup \{v\}$ is an acyclic set of G - a contradiction. So, $M_1 \in \text{MAS}(H_1 - x)$. Since $M_1 \notin \text{MAS}(H_1)$ then there is $u \in V(H_1 - M_1)$ such that $M_1 \cup \{u\}$ is an acyclic set of H_1 . Since $M_1 \in \text{MAS}(H_1 - x)$ then $u = x$. Hence $M_1 \cup \{x\} \in \text{MAS}(H_1)$. Suppose $M_2 \notin \text{MAS}(H_2)$. Then $M_2 \cup \{x\} \in \text{MAS}(H_2)$ and by Proposition 2.2, $M \cup \{x\} \in \text{MAS}(G)$ contradicting $M \in \text{MAS}(G)$. $\square$

Proposition 2.4. *Let $G = H_1 \overset{x}{\circ} H_2$, $M_j \in \text{MAS}(H_j)$ for $j = 1, 2$ and $x \notin M = M_1 \cup M_2$. Then $M \in \text{MAS}(H)$.*

Proof. The proof is analogous to the proof of Proposition 2.2. $\square$

Proposition 2.5. *Let $G = H_1 \overset{x}{\circ} H_2$, $M_1 \in \text{MAS}(x, H_1)$, $M_2 \in \text{MAS}(H_2)$ and $x \notin M_2$. Then $M = M_1 \cup M_2$ is no acyclic set of G and there is a set M_3 such that $M_1 - \{x\} \subseteq M_3 \in \text{MAS}(H_1 - x)$ and $M_3 \cup M_2 \in \text{MAS}(G)$.*

Proof. Since $M_1 - \{x\}$ is an acyclic set of $H_1 - x$ then there is $M_3 \in \text{MAS}(H_1 - x)$ with $M_1 - \{x\} \subseteq M_3$. Hence $U = M_3 \cup M_2$ is an acyclic set of G . Assume $U \notin \text{MAS}(G)$. Then there is $v \in V(G) - U$ such that $U \cup \{v\}$ is an acyclic set of G . Now either $M_3 \cup \{u\}$ is an acyclic set of $H_1 - x$ or $M_2 \cup \{u\}$ is an acyclic set of H_2 depending on whether $u \in V(H_1 - x)$ or $u \in V(H_2)$. In both cases we have a contradiction. $\square$

3. β_a -SETS AND i_a -SETS

In this section we present some results concerning the lower acyclic number and the upper acyclic number of graphs having cut-vertices.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $G = H_1 \overset{x}{\circ} H_2$. Then $\beta_a(H_1) + \beta_a(H_2) - 1 \leq \beta_a(G) \leq \beta_a(H_1) + \beta_a(H_2)$. Moreover, $\beta_a(G) = \beta_a(H_1) + \beta_a(H_2)$ if and only if x is no β_a -fixed vertex of H_i , $i = 1, 2$.*

Proof. We need the following claims:

Claim 1. If x is a β_a -fixed vertex of G then $\beta_a(G) \leq \beta_a(H_1) + \beta_a(H_2) - 1$.

Let M be a β_a -set of G . Then

$$\beta_a(G) = |M| = |M \cap V(H_1)| + |M \cap V(H_2)| - 1 \leq \beta_a(H_1) + \beta_a(H_2) - 1.$$

Claim 2. If x is no β_a -fixed vertex of G then $\beta_a(G) \leq \beta_a(H_1) + \beta_a(H_2)$.

Let M be a β_a -set of G such that $x \notin M$. Hence

$$\beta_a(G) = |M| = |M \cap V(H_1)| + |M \cap V(H_2)| \leq \beta_a(H_1) + \beta_a(H_2).$$

Claim 3. If x is no β_a -fixed vertex of H_i , $i = 1, 2$ then $\beta_a(G) \geq \beta_a(H_1) + \beta_a(H_2)$.

Let M_i be a β_a -set of H_i and $x \notin M_i$, $i = 1, 2$. Then $M = M_1 \cup M_2$ is an acyclic set of G and $\beta_a(G) \geq |M| = |M_1| + |M_2| = \beta_a(H_1) + \beta_a(H_2)$.

Claim 4. If x is β_a -fixed vertex of H_i for some $i \in \{1, 2\}$ then

$$\beta_a(G) \geq \beta_a(H_1) + \beta_a(H_2) - 1.$$

Let without loss of generalities $i = 1$. Let M_j be a β_a -set of H_j , $j = 1, 2$. Then $M = (M_1 - \{x\}) \cup M_2$ is an acyclic set of G and

$$\beta_a(G) \geq |M| = |M_1| - 1 + |M_2| = \beta_a(H_1) + \beta_a(H_2) - 1.$$

By the above claims it immediately follows

$$(1) \quad \beta_a(H_1) + \beta_a(H_2) - 1 \leq \beta_a(G) \leq \beta_a(H_1) + \beta_a(H_2)$$

If x is no β_a -fixed vertex of H_i , $i = 1, 2$ then by (1) and Claim 3 it follows $\beta_a(G) = \beta_a(H_1) + \beta_a(H_2)$. Now, let without loss of generalities x is a β_a -fixed

vertex of H_1 . If x is a β_a -fixed vertex of G then by Claim 1 and (1) it follows $\beta_a(G) = \beta_a(H_1) + \beta_a(H_2) - 1$. Assume x is no β_a -fixed vertex of G . Then there is a β_a -set of G with $x \notin M$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_a(G) &= |M| = |M \cap V(H_1)| + |M \cap V(H_2)| \\ &\leq \beta_a(H_1 - x) + \beta_a(H_2) = (\beta_a(H_1) - 1) + \beta_a(H_2)\end{aligned}$$

because of Observation 1.1 (2). $\square$

Corollary 3.2. *Let $G = H_1 \overset{x}{\circ} H_2$ and x is a β_a -fixed vertex of G . Then $\beta_a(G) = \beta_a(H_1) + \beta_a(H_2) - 1$.*

Theorem 3.3. *Let $G = H_1 \overset{x}{\circ} H_2$. Then:*

- (1) $i_a(G) \geq i_a(H_1) + i_a(H_2) - 1$;
- (2) *Let x be an i_a -good vertex of G , $i_a(G) = i_a(H_1) + i_a(H_2) - 1$, let M be an i_a -set of G and $x \in M$. Then $M \cap V(H_j)$ is an i_a -set of H_j , $j = 1, 2$;*
- (3) *Let x be an i_a -bad vertex of the graph G , $i_a(H) = i_a(H_1) + i_a(H_2) - 1$ and let M be an i_a -set of G . Then there are l, m such that $\{l, m\} = \{1, 2\}$, $M \cap V(H_l)$ is a i_a -set of H_l , $M \cap V(H_m)$ is an i_a -set of $H_m - x$, $i_a(H_m - x) = i_a(H_m) - 1$ and $(M \cap V(H_m)) \cup \{x\}$ is an i_a -set of H_m ;*
- (4) *Let x be an i_a -good vertex of graphs H_1 and H_2 . Then*

$$i_a(G) = i_a(H_1) + i_a(H_2) - 1.$$

If M_j is an i_a -set of H_j , $j = 1, 2$ and $\{x\} = M_1 \cap M_2$ then $M_1 \cup M_2$ is an i_a -set of the graph G ;

- (5) *Let x be an i_a -bad vertex of graphs H_1 and H_2 . Then*

$$i_a(G) = i_a(H_1) + i_a(H_2).$$

If M_j is a i_a -set of H_j , $j = 1, 2$ then $M_1 \cup M_2$ is an i_a -set of G .

Proof. (2): Let M be an i_a -set of G and $M_j = M \cap V(H_j)$, $j = 1, 2$. If $x \in M$ then by Proposition 2.1 it follows $M_j \in \text{MAS}(x, H_j)$, $j = 1, 2$. So that

$$i_a(G) = |M| = |M_1| + |M_2| - 1 \geq i_a(H_1) + i_a(H_2) - 1.$$

Clearly the equality holds if and only if M_i is an i_a -set of H_i , $i = 1, 2$.

(3): Let M be an i_a -set of G and $M_j = M \cap V(H_j)$, $j = 1, 2$. Since x is i_a -bad, $x \notin M$. If $M_j \in \text{MAS}(H_j)$, $j = 1, 2$ then

$$i_a(G) = |M| = |M_1| + |M_2| \geq i_a(H_1) + i_a(H_2).$$

If there are l and m such that $\{l, m\} = \{1, 2\}$, $M_l \in \text{MAS}(H_l)$, $M_m \in \text{MAS}(H_m - x)$ and $M_m \cup \{x\} \in \text{MAS}(H_m)$ then

$$i_a(G) = |M| = |M_l| + |M_m| \geq i_a(H_l) + i_a(H_m) - 1$$

and the equality holds if and only if M_l is an i_a -set of H_l , M_m is an i_a -set of $H_m - x$ and $M_m \cup \{x\}$ is an i_a -set of H_m . There is no other possibilities because of Proposition 2.3.

(1): Immediately follows by the proofs of (2) and (3).

(4): Let M_j be an i_a -set of H_j , $j = 1, 2$ and $\{x\} = M_1 \cap M_2$. It follows by Proposition 2.2 that $M_1 \cup M_2 \in \text{MAS}(G)$. Hence

$$i_a(G) \leq |M_1 \cup M_2| = |M_1| + |M_2| - 1 = i_a(H_1) + i_a(H_2) - 1.$$

Now, by (1), $i_a(G) = i_a(H_1) + i_a(H_2) - 1$ and then $M_1 \cup M_2$ is an i_a -set of G .

(5): Assume $i_a(G) = i_a(H_1) + i_a(H_2) - 1$. If x is an i_a -bad vertex of G then by (3) there exists $m \in \{1, 2\}$ such that $i_a(H_m - x) = i_a(H_m) - 1$. Now, by Observation 1.1(5) x is an i_a -good vertex of H_m - a contradiction. If x is an i_a -good vertex of G , M is an i_a -set of G and $x \in M$ then by (2) we have $M \cap V(H_s)$ is an i_a -set of H_s , $s = 1, 2$. But then x is an i_a -good vertex of H_s , $s = 1, 2$ which is a contradiction. Hence, $i_a(G) \geq i_a(H_1) + i_a(H_2)$. Let M_j be an i_a -set of H_j , $j = 1, 2$. By Proposition 2.4, $M = M_1 \cup M_2 \in \text{MAS}(G)$. Hence, $i_a(H_1) + i_a(H_2) \leq i_a(G) \leq |M| = |M_1| + |M_2| = i_a(H_1) + i_a(H_2)$. $\square$

Example 3.4. Let H_1 and H_2 be the graphs defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} V(H_1) &= \{x; x_{11}, \dots, x_{1m}; x_{21}, \dots, x_{2m}\}, \\ E(H_1) &= \cup_{i=1}^m \{xx_{1i}, xx_{2i}, x_{1i}x_{2i}\}, \\ V(H_2) &= \{x, y, z; y_{11}, \dots, y_{1n}; y_{21}, \dots, y_{2n}; z_{11}, \dots, z_{1p}; z_{21}, \dots, z_{2p}\}, \\ E(H_2) &= \{xy, yz, zx\} \cup \cup_{i=1}^n \{yy_{1i}, yy_{2i}, y_{1i}y_{2i}\} \cup \cup_{j=1}^p \{zz_{1j}, zz_{2j}, z_{1j}z_{2j}\}, \end{aligned}$$

where m, n and p be positive integers such that $m + 1 \leq n \leq p$. Now, let $G = H_1 \overset{x}{\circ} H_2$. It is easy to see that $i_a(H_1) = m + 1$, $i_a(H_2) = n + p + 2$ and $i_a(G) = 2m + n + p + 2$. Hence, $i_a(G) - i_a(H_1) - i_a(H_2) = m - 1$.

This example establish the following result.

Theorem 3.5. *For each positive integer r there exists a pair of graphs H_1 and H_2 such that they have an unique vertex in common, say x , and*

$$i_a(H_1 \overset{x}{\circ} H_2) - i_a(H_1) - i_a(H_2) > r.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Bau and L. W. Beineke. The decycling number of graphs. *Australas. J. Combin.*, 25:285–298, 2002.
- [2] L. W. Beineke and R. C. Vandell. Decycling graphs. *J. Graph Theory*, 25(1):59–77, 1997.
- [3] G. H. Fricke, T. W. Haynes, S. M. Hedetniemi, S. T. Hedetniemi, and R. C. Laskar. Excellent trees. *Bull. Inst. Combin. Appl.*, 34:27–38, 2002.
- [4] S. M. Hedetniemi, S. T. Hedetniemi, and D. F. Rall. Acyclic domination. *Discrete Math.*, 222(1-3):151–165, 2000.
- [5] E. Sampathkumar and P. S. Neeralagi. Domination and neighbourhood critical, fixed, free and totally free points. *Sankhyā Ser. A*, 54(Special Issue):403–407, 1992. Combinatorial mathematics and applications (Calcutta, 1988).

Received December 6, 2006.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
UACG,
1046 SOFIA,
BULGARIA.
E-mail address: VLSAM_FTE@UACG.BG