

CERTAIN SUBCLASSES OF UNIFORMLY STARLIKE AND CONVEX FUNCTIONS DEFINED BY CONVOLUTION

M. K. AOUF, R. M. EL-ASHWAH, AND S. M. EL-DEEB

ABSTRACT. The aim of this paper is to obtain coefficient estimates, distortion theorems, convex linear combinations and radii of close-to-convexity, starlikeness and convexity for functions belonging to the subclass $TS_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$ of uniformly starlike and convex functions, we consider integral operators associated with functions in this class. Furthermore partial sums $f_n(z)$ of functions $f(z)$ in the class $TS_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$ are considered and sharp lower bounds for the ratios of real part of $f(z)$ to $f_n(z)$ and $f'(z)$ to $f'_n(z)$ are determined.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let S denote the class of functions of the form:

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k.$$

that are analytic and univalent in the open unit disk $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$. Let $f \in S$ be given by (1.1) and $g \in S$ be given by

$$(1.2) \quad g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k \quad (b_k \geq 0),$$

then the Hadamard product (or convolution) $f * g$ of f and g is defined (as usual) by

$$(1.3) \quad (f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k = (g * f)(z).$$

Following Goodman ([4] and [5]), Ronning ([9] and [10]) introduced and studied the following subclasses:

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30C45.

Key words and phrases. Analytic, univalent, uniformly, convolution, partial sums.

(i) A function $f(z)$ of the form (1.1) is said to be in the class $S_p(\alpha, \beta)$ of uniformly β -starlike functions if it satisfies the condition:

$$(1.4) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - \alpha \right\} > \beta \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| \quad (z \in U),$$

where $-1 \leq \alpha < 1$ and $\beta \geq 0$.

(ii) A function $f(z)$ of the form (1.1) is said to be in the class $UCV(\alpha, \beta)$ of uniformly β -convex functions if it satisfies the condition:

$$(1.5) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \alpha \right\} > \beta \left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \quad (z \in U),$$

where $-1 \leq \alpha < 1$ and $\beta \geq 0$.

It follows from (1.4) and (1.5) that

$$(1.6) \quad f(z) \in UCV(\alpha, \beta) \iff zf'(z) \in S_p(\alpha, \beta).$$

For $-1 \leq \alpha < 1$, $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ and $\beta \geq 0$, we let $S_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$ be the subclass of S consisting of functions $f(z)$ of the form (1.1) and the functions $g(z)$ of the form (1.2) and satisfying the analytic criterion:

$$(1.7) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z(f * g)'(z) + \gamma z^2(f * g)''(z)}{(1 - \gamma)(f * g)(z) + \gamma z(f * g)'(z)} - \alpha \right\} > \beta \left| \frac{z(f * g)'(z) + \gamma z^2(f * g)''(z)}{(1 - \gamma)(f * g)(z) + \gamma z(f * g)'(z)} - 1 \right|.$$

Let T denote the subclass of S consisting of functions of the form:

$$(1.8) \quad f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (a_k \geq 0).$$

Further, we define the class $TS_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$ by

$$(1.9) \quad TS_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta) = S_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta) \cap T.$$

We note that:

- (i) $TS_0(f, \frac{z}{(1-z)}; \alpha, 1) = S_p T(\alpha)$ and
 $TS_0(f, \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}; \alpha, 1) = TS_1(f, \frac{z}{(1-z)}; \alpha, 1) = UCT(\alpha)$, ($-1 \leq \alpha < 1$)
 (see Bharati et al. [3]);
- (ii) $TS_1(f, \frac{z}{(1-z)}; 0, \beta) = UCT(\beta)$ ($\beta \geq 0$) (see Subramanian et al. [15]);
- (iii) $TS_0(f, z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{k-1}}{(c)_{k-1}} z^k; \alpha, \beta) = TS(\alpha, \beta)$ ($-1 \leq \alpha < 1$, $\beta \geq 0$, $c \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$) (see Murugusundaramoorthy and Magesh [6,7]);
- (iv) $TS_0(f, z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n z^k; \alpha, \beta) = TS(n, \alpha, \beta)$ ($-1 \leq \alpha < 1$, $\beta \geq 0$, $n \in N_0 = N \cup \{0\}$, $N = \{1, 2, \dots\}$) (see Rosy and Murugusundaramoorthy [11]);

- (v) $TS_0(f, z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \binom{k+\lambda-1}{\lambda} z^k; \alpha, \beta) = D(\beta, \alpha, \lambda) (-1 \leq \alpha < 1, \beta \geq 0, \lambda > -1)$ (see Shams et al. [14]);
- (vi) $TS_0(f, z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [1 + \lambda(k-1)]^n z^k; \alpha, \beta) = TS_{\lambda}(n, \alpha, \beta) (-1 \leq \alpha < 1, \beta \geq 0, \lambda \geq 0, n \in N_0)$ (see Aouf and Mostafa [2]);
- (vii) $TS_{\gamma}(f, z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{k-1}}{(c)_{k-1}} z^k; \alpha, \beta) = TS(\gamma, \alpha, \beta) (-1 \leq \alpha < 1, \beta \geq 0, 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1, c \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots)$ (see Murugusundaramoorthy et al. [8]);
- (viii) $TS_{\gamma}(f, z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Gamma_k z^k; \alpha, \beta) = TS_q^s(\gamma, \alpha, \beta)$ (see Ahuja et al. [1]), where

$$(1.10) \quad \Gamma_k = \frac{(\alpha_1)_{k-1} \dots (\alpha_q)_{k-1}}{(\beta_1)_{k-1} \dots (\beta_s)_{k-1}} \frac{1}{(k-1)!}$$

$$(\alpha_i > 0, i = 1, \dots, q; \beta_j > 0, j = 1, \dots, s; q \leq s+1; q, s \in N_0).$$

Also we note that

$$(1.11) \quad TS_{\gamma}(f, z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n z^k; \alpha, \beta) = TS_{\gamma}(n, \alpha, \beta) \\ = \left\{ f \in T : \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{(1-\gamma)z(D^n f(z))' + \gamma z(D^{n+1} f(z))'}{(1-\gamma)D^n f(z) + \gamma D^{n+1} f(z)} - \alpha \right\} \right. \\ \left. > \beta \left| \frac{(1-\gamma)z(D^n f(z))' + \gamma z(D^{n+1} f(z))'}{(1-\gamma)D^n f(z) + \gamma D^{n+1} f(z)} - 1 \right|, \right. \\ \left. -1 \leq \alpha < 1, \beta \geq 0, n \in N_0, z \in U \right\}.$$

2. COEFFICIENT ESTIMATES

Theorem 1. A function $f(z)$ of the form (1.8) is in $TS_{\gamma}(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$ if

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k(1+\beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k-1)] |a_k| b_k \leq 1 - \alpha,$$

where $-1 \leq \alpha < 1, \beta \geq 0$ and $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$.

Proof. It suffices to show that

$$\beta \left| \frac{z(f * g)'(z) + \gamma z^2(f * g)''(z)}{(1-\gamma)(f * g)(z) + \gamma z(f * g)'(z)} - 1 \right| \\ - \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z(f * g)'(z) + \gamma z^2(f * g)''(z)}{(1-\gamma)(f * g)(z) + \gamma z(f * g)'(z)} - 1 \right\} \leq 1 - \alpha.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \beta \left| \frac{z(f * g)'(z) + \gamma z^2(f * g)''(z)}{(1 - \gamma)(f * g)(z) + \gamma z(f * g)'(z)} - 1 \right| \\
& \quad - \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z(f * g)'(z) + \gamma z^2(f * g)''(z)}{(1 - \gamma)(f * g)(z) + \gamma z(f * g)'(z)} - 1 \right\} \\
& \leq (1 + \beta) \left| \frac{z(f * g)'(z) + \gamma z^2(f * g)''(z)}{(1 - \gamma)(f * g)(z) + \gamma z(f * g)'(z)} - 1 \right| \\
& \leq \frac{(1 + \beta) \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k - 1) [1 + \gamma(k - 1)] |a_k| b_k}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [1 + \gamma(k - 1)] |a_k| b_k}.
\end{aligned}$$

This last expression is bounded above by $(1 - \alpha)$ if

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k - 1)] |a_k| b_k \leq 1 - \alpha,$$

and hence the proof is completed. $\square$

Theorem 2. *A necessary and sufficient condition for $f(z)$ of the form (1.8) to be in the class $TS_{\gamma}(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$ is that*

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k - 1)] a_k b_k \leq 1 - \alpha,$$

Proof. In view of Theorem 1, we need only to prove the necessity. If $f(z) \in TS_{\gamma}(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$ and z is real, then

$$\frac{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k [1 + \gamma(k - 1)] a_k b_k z^{k-1}}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [1 + \gamma(k - 1)] a_k b_k z^{k-1}} - \alpha \geq \beta \left| \frac{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k - 1) [1 + \gamma(k - 1)] a_k b_k z^{k-1}}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [1 + \gamma(k - 1)] a_k b_k z^{k-1}} \right|.$$

Letting $z \rightarrow 1^-$ along the real axis, we obtain the desired inequality

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k - 1)] a_k b_k \leq 1 - \alpha.$$

$\square$

Corollary 1. *Let the function $f(z)$ be defined by (1.8) be in the class $TS_{\gamma}(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$. Then*

$$(2.3) \quad a_k \leq \frac{1 - \alpha}{[k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k - 1)] b_k} \quad (k \geq 2).$$

The result is sharp for the function

$$(2.4) \quad f(z) = z - \frac{1 - \alpha}{[k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)][1 + \gamma(k - 1)] b_k} z^k \quad (k \geq 2).$$

3. DISTORTION THEOREMS

Theorem 3. Let the function $f(z)$ be defined by (1.8) be in the class $TS_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$. Then for $|z| = r < 1$, we have

$$(3.1) \quad |f(z)| \geq r - \frac{1 - \alpha}{(2 - \alpha + \beta)(1 + \gamma)b_2} r^2$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad |f(z)| \leq r + \frac{1 - \alpha}{(2 - \alpha + \beta)(1 + \gamma)b_2} r^2,$$

provided that $b_k \geq b_2$ ($k \geq 2$). The equalities in (3.1) and (3.2) are attained for the function $f(z)$ given by

$$(3.3) \quad f(z) = z - \frac{1 - \alpha}{(2 - \alpha + \beta)(1 + \gamma)b_2} z^2,$$

at $z = r$ and $z = re^{i(2k+1)\pi}$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}$).

Proof. Since for $k \geq 2$,

$$(2 - \alpha + \beta)(1 + \gamma)b_2 \leq [k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)][1 + \gamma(k - 1)] b_k,$$

using Theorem 2, we have

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} (2 - \alpha + \beta)(1 + \gamma)b_2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k \\ \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)][1 + \gamma(k - 1)] a_k b_k \leq 1 - \alpha \end{aligned}$$

that is, that

$$(3.5) \quad \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k \leq \frac{1 - \alpha}{(2 - \alpha + \beta)(1 + \gamma)b_2}.$$

From (1.8) and (3.5), we have

$$(3.6) \quad |f(z)| \geq r - r^2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k \geq r - \frac{1 - \alpha}{(2 - \alpha + \beta)(1 + \gamma)b_2} r^2$$

and

$$(3.7) \quad |f(z)| \leq r + r^2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k \leq r + \frac{1 - \alpha}{(2 - \alpha + \beta)(1 + \gamma)b_2} r^2.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3. □

Theorem 4. *Let the function $f(z)$ be defined by (1.8) be in the class $TS_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$. Then for $|z| = r < 1$, we have*

$$(3.8) \quad \left| f'(z) \right| \geq 1 - \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{(2-\alpha+\beta)(1+\gamma)b_2} r$$

and

$$(3.9) \quad \left| f'(z) \right| \leq 1 + \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{(2-\alpha+\beta)(1+\gamma)b_2} r,$$

provided that $b_k \geq b_2$ ($k \geq 2$). The result is sharp for the function $f(z)$ given by (3.3).

Proof. From Theorem 2 and (3.5), we have

$$(3.10) \quad \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k a_k \leq \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{(2-\alpha+\beta)(1+\gamma)b_2}.$$

Since the remaining part of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3, we omit the details. $\square$

4. CONVEX LINEAR COMBINATIONS

Theorem 5. *Let $\mu_v \geq 0$ for $v = 1, 2, \dots, l$ and $\sum_{v=1}^l \mu_v \leq 1$. If the functions $F_v(z)$ defined by*

$$(4.1) \quad F_v(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k,v} z^k \quad (a_{k,v} \geq 0; \ v = 1, 2, \dots, l)$$

are in the class $TS_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$ for every $v = 1, 2, \dots, l$, then the function $f(z)$ defined by

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{v=1}^l \mu_v a_{k,v} \right) z^k$$

is in the class $TS_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$

Proof. Since $F_v(z) \in TS_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$, it follows from Theorem 2 that

$$(4.2) \quad \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)] [1+\gamma(k-1)] a_{k,v} b_k \leq 1-\alpha,$$

for every $v = 1, 2, \dots, l$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k(1+\beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k-1)] \left(\sum_{v=1}^l \mu_v a_{k,v} \right) b_k \\ = \sum_{v=1}^l \mu_v \left(\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k(1+\beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k-1)] a_{k,v} b_k \right) \\ \leq (1 - \alpha) \sum_{v=1}^l \mu_v \leq 1 - \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2, it follows that $f(z) \in TS_{\gamma}(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$. $\square$

Corollary 2. *The class $TS_{\gamma}(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$ is closed under convex linear combinations.*

Theorem 6. *Let $f_1(z) = z$ and*

$$(4.3) \quad f_k(z) = z - \frac{1 - \alpha}{[k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k - 1)] b_k} z^k \quad (k \geq 2)$$

for $-1 \leq \alpha < 1$, $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ and $\beta \geq 0$. Then $f(z)$ is in the class $TS_{\gamma}(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$ if and only if it can be expressed in the form:

$$(4.4) \quad f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k f_k(z),$$

where $\mu_k \geq 0$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k = 1$.

Proof. Assume that

$$\begin{aligned} (4.5) \quad f(z) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k f_k(z) \\ &= z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1 - \alpha}{[k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k - 1)] b_k} \mu_k z^k. \end{aligned}$$

Then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (4.6) \quad \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{[k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k - 1)] b_k}{1 - \alpha} \\ \times \frac{1 - \alpha}{[k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k - 1)] b_k} \mu_k = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \mu_k = 1 - \mu_1 \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

So, by Theorem 2, $f(z) \in TS_{\gamma}(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$.

Conversely, assume that the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.8) belongs to the class $TS_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$. Then

$$(4.7) \quad a_k \leq \frac{1 - \alpha}{[k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)][1 + \gamma(k - 1)] b_k} \quad (k \geq 2).$$

Setting

$$(4.8) \quad \mu_k = \frac{[k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)][1 + \gamma(k - 1)] a_k b_k}{1 - \alpha} \quad (k \geq 2)$$

and

$$(4.9) \quad \mu_1 = 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \mu_k,$$

we can see that $f(z)$ can be expressed in the form (4.4). This completes the proof of Theorem 6. $\square$

Corollary 3. *The extreme points of the class $TS_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$ are the functions $f_1(z) = z$ and*

$$f_k(z) = z - \frac{1 - \alpha}{[k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)][1 + \gamma(k - 1)] b_k} z^k \quad (k \geq 2).$$

5. RADII OF CLOSE-TO-CONVEXITY, STARLIKENESS AND CONVEXITY

Theorem 7. *Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.8) be in the class $TS_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$. Then $f(z)$ is close-to-convex of order ρ ($0 \leq \rho < 1$) in $|z| < r_1$, where*

$$(5.1) \quad r_1 = \inf_{k \geq 2} \left\{ \frac{(1 - \rho)[k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)][1 + \gamma(k - 1)] b_k}{k(1 - \alpha)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{k-1}}.$$

The result is sharp, the extremal function being given by (2.4).

Proof. We must show that

$$\left| f'(z) - 1 \right| \leq 1 - \rho \quad \text{for } |z| < r_1,$$

where r_1 is given by (5.1). Indeed we find from the definition (1.8) that

$$\left| f'(z) - 1 \right| \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k a_k |z|^{k-1}.$$

Thus

$$\left| f'(z) - 1 \right| \leq 1 - \rho,$$

if

$$(5.2) \quad \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{k}{1 - \rho} \right) a_k |z|^{k-1} \leq 1.$$

But, by Theorem 2, (5.2) will be true if

$$\left(\frac{k}{1-\rho}\right) |z|^{k-1} \leq \frac{[k(1+\beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k-1)] b_k}{1-\alpha},$$

that is, if

$$(5.3) \quad |z| \leq \left\{ \frac{(1-\rho) [k(1+\beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k-1)] b_k}{k(1-\alpha)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \quad (k \geq 2).$$

Theorem 7 follows easily from (5.3). $\square$

Theorem 8. *Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.8) be in the class $TS_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$. Then $f(z)$ is starlike of order ρ ($0 \leq \rho < 1$) in $|z| < r_2$, where*

$$(5.4) \quad r_2 = \inf_{k \geq 2} \left\{ \frac{(1-\rho) [k(1+\beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k-1)] b_k}{(k-\rho)(1-\alpha)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{k-1}}.$$

The result is sharp, with the extremal function $f(z)$ given by (2.4).

Proof. It is sufficient to show that

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| \leq 1 - \rho \quad \text{for } |z| < r_2,$$

where r_2 is given by (5.4). Indeed we find, again from the definition (1.8) that

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| \leq \frac{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k-1)a_k |z|^{k-1}}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k |z|^{k-1}}.$$

Thus

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| \leq 1 - \rho$$

if

$$(5.5) \quad \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(k-\rho)a_k |z|^{k-1}}{(1-\rho)} \leq 1.$$

But, by Theorem 2, (5.5) will be true if

$$\frac{(k-\rho) |z|^{k-1}}{(1-\rho)} \leq \frac{[k(1+\beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k-1)] b_k}{(1-\alpha)}$$

that is, if

$$(5.6) \quad |z| \leq \left\{ \frac{(1-\rho) [k(1+\beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k-1)] b_k}{(k-\rho)(1-\alpha)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \quad (k \geq 2).$$

Theorem 8 follows easily from (5.6). $\square$

Corollary 4. *Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.8) be in the class $TS_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$. Then $f(z)$ is convex of order ρ ($0 \leq \rho < 1$) in $|z| < r_3$, where*

$$(5.7) \quad r_3 = \inf_{k \geq 2} \left\{ \frac{(1-\rho)[k(1+\beta) - (\alpha + \beta)][1 + \gamma(k-1)]b_k}{k(k-\rho)(1-\alpha)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{k-1}}.$$

The result is sharp, with the extremal function $f(z)$ given by (2.4).

6. A FAMILY OF INTEGRAL OPERATORS

In view of Theorem 2, we see that $z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} d_k z^k$ is in $TS_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$ as long as $0 \leq d_k \leq a_k$ for all k . In particular, we have

Theorem 9. *Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.8) be in the class $TS_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$ and c be a real number such that $c > -1$. Then the function $F(z)$ defined by*

$$(6.1) \quad F(z) = \frac{c+1}{z^c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} f(t) dt \quad (c > -1)$$

also belongs to the class $TS_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$.

Proof. From the representation (6.1) of $F(z)$, it follows that

$$F(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} d_k z^k,$$

where

$$d_k = \left(\frac{c+1}{c+k} \right) a_k \leq a_k \quad (k \geq 2).$$

On the other hand, the converse is not true. This leads to a radius of univalence result. $\square$

Theorem 10. *Let the function $F(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ ($a_k \geq 0$) be in the class $TS_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$, and let c be a real number such that $c > -1$. Then the function $f(z)$ given by (6.1) is univalent in $|z| < R^*$, where*

$$(6.2) \quad R^* = \inf_{k \geq 2} \left\{ \frac{(c+1)[k(1+\beta) - (\alpha + \beta)][1 + \gamma(k-1)]b_k}{k(c+k)(1-\alpha)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{k-1}}.$$

The result is sharp.

Proof. From (6.1), we have

$$f(z) = \frac{z^{1-c} [z^c F(z)]'}{(c+1)} = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{c+k}{c+1} \right) a_k z^k \quad (c > -1).$$

In order to obtain the required result, it suffices to show that

$$\left| f'(z) - 1 \right| < 1 \quad \text{wherever} \quad |z| < R^*,$$

where R^* is given by (6.2). Now

$$\left| f'(z) - 1 \right| \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{k(c+k)}{(c+1)} a_k |z|^{k-1}.$$

Thus $|f'(z) - 1| < 1$ if

$$(6.3) \quad \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{k(c+k)}{(c+1)} a_k |z|^{k-1} < 1.$$

But Theorem 2 confirms that

$$(6.4) \quad \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{[k(1+\beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k-1)] a_k b_k}{1 - \alpha} \leq 1.$$

Hence (6.3) will be satisfied if

$$\frac{k(c+k)}{(c+1)} |z|^{k-1} < \frac{[k(1+\beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k-1)] b_k}{(1 - \alpha)},$$

that is, if

$$(6.5) \quad |z| < \left[\frac{(c+1) [k(1+\beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k-1)] b_k}{k(c+k)(1 - \alpha)} \right]^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \quad (k \geq 2).$$

Therefore, the function $f(z)$ given by (6.1) is univalent in $|z| < R^*$. Sharpness of the result follows if we take

$$(6.6) \quad f(z) = z - \frac{(c+k)(1-\alpha)}{[k(1+\beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k-1)] b_k (c+1)} z^k \quad (k \geq 2).$$

□

7. PARTIAL SUMS

Following the earlier works by Silverman [12] and Siliva [13] on partial sums of analytic functions, we consider in this section partial sums of functions in the class $TS_{\gamma}(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$ and obtain sharp lower bounds for the ratios of real part of $f(z)$ to $f_n(z)$ and $f'(z)$ to $f'_n(z)$.

Theorem 11. Define the partial sums $f_1(z)$ and $f_n(z)$ by

$$f_1(z) = z \text{ and } f_n(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^n a_k z^k, \quad (n \in N \setminus \{1\}).$$

Let $f(z) \in TS_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$ be given by (1.1) and satisfies the condition (2.2) and

$$(7.1) \quad c_k \geq \begin{cases} 1, & k = 2, 3, \dots, n, \\ c_{n+1}, & k = n+1, n+2, \dots, \end{cases}$$

where, for convenience,

$$(7.2) \quad c_k = \frac{[k(1+\beta) - (\alpha + \beta)][1 + \gamma(k-1)] b_k}{1 - \alpha}.$$

Then

$$(7.3) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{f(z)}{f_n(z)} \right\} > 1 - \frac{1}{c_{n+1}} \quad (z \in U; \quad n \in N)$$

and

$$(7.4) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{f_n(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \frac{c_{n+1}}{1 + c_{n+1}}.$$

Proof. For the coefficients c_k given by (7.2) it is not difficult to verify that

$$(7.5) \quad c_{k+1} > c_k > 1.$$

Therefore we have

$$(7.6) \quad \sum_{k=2}^n |a_k| + c_{n+1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |a_k| \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} c_k |a_k| \leq 1.$$

By setting

$$(7.7) \quad g_1(z) = c_{n+1} \left\{ \frac{f(z)}{f_n(z)} - \left(1 - \frac{1}{c_{n+1}} \right) \right\} = 1 + \frac{c_{n+1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-1}}{1 + \sum_{k=2}^n a_k z^{k-1}}$$

and applying (7.6), we find that

$$(7.8) \quad \left| \frac{g_1(z) - 1}{g_1(z) + 1} \right| \leq \frac{c_{n+1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |a_k|}{2 - 2 \sum_{k=2}^n |a_k| - c_{n+1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |a_k|}.$$

Now

$$\left| \frac{g_1(z) - 1}{g_1(z) + 1} \right| \leq 1$$

if

$$\sum_{k=2}^n |a_k| + c_{n+1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |a_k| \leq 1.$$

From the condition (2.2), it is sufficient to show that

$$\sum_{k=2}^n |a_k| + c_{n+1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |a_k| \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} c_k |a_k|$$

which is equivalent to

$$(7.9) \quad \sum_{k=2}^n (c_k - 1) |a_k| + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} (c_k - c_{n+1}) |a_k| \geq 0,$$

which readily yields the assertion (7.3) of Theorem 11. In order to see that

$$(7.10) \quad f(z) = z + \frac{z^{n+1}}{c_{n+1}}$$

gives sharp result, we observe that for $z = re^{\frac{i\pi}{n}}$ that $\frac{f(z)}{f_n(z)} = 1 + \frac{z^n}{c_{n+1}} \rightarrow 1 - \frac{1}{c_{n+1}}$ as $z \rightarrow 1^-$. Similarly, if we take

$$(7.11) \quad g_2(z) = (1 + c_{n+1}) \left\{ \frac{f_n(z)}{f(z)} - \frac{c_{n+1}}{1 + c_{n+1}} \right\} = 1 - \frac{(1 + c_{n+1}) \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-1}}{1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-1}}$$

and making use of (7.6), we can deduce that

$$(7.12) \quad \left| \frac{g_2(z) - 1}{g_2(z) + 1} \right| \leq \frac{(1 + c_{n+1}) \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |a_k|}{2 - 2 \sum_{k=2}^n |a_k| - (1 - c_{n+1}) \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |a_k|}$$

which leads us immediately to the assertion (7.4) of Theorem 11.

The bound in (7.4) is sharp for each $n \in N$ with the extremal function $f(z)$ given by (7.10). The proof of Theorem 11 is thus complete. $\square$

Theorem 12. *If $f(z)$ of the form (1.1) satisfies the condition (2.2). Then*

$$(7.13) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{f'(z)}{f'_n(z)} \right\} \geq 1 - \frac{n+1}{c_{n+1}},$$

and

$$(7.14) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{f'_n(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} \geq \frac{c_{n+1}}{n+1 + c_{n+1}},$$

where c_k defined by (7.2) and satisfies the condition

$$(7.15) \quad c_k \geq \begin{cases} k, & \text{if } k = 2, 3, \dots, n, \\ \frac{c_{n+1}}{n+1} k, & \text{if } k = n+1, n+2, \dots \end{cases}$$

The results are sharp with the function $f(z)$ given by (7.10).

Proof. By setting

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(z) &= \frac{c_{n+1}}{n+1} \left\{ \frac{f'(z)}{f'_n(z)} - \left(1 - \frac{n+1}{c_{n+1}} \right) \right\} \\
 &= \frac{1 + \frac{c_{n+1}}{n+1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k a_k z^{k-1} + \sum_{k=2}^n k a_k z^{k-1}}{1 + \sum_{k=2}^n k a_k z^{k-1}} \\
 (7.16) \quad &= 1 + \frac{\frac{c_{n+1}}{n+1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k a_k z^{k-1}}{1 + \sum_{k=2}^n k a_k z^{k-1}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$(7.17) \quad \left| \frac{g(z) - 1}{g(z) + 1} \right| \leq \frac{\frac{c_{n+1}}{n+1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k |a_k|}{2 - 2 \sum_{k=2}^n k |a_k| - \frac{c_{n+1}}{n+1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k |a_k|}.$$

Now

$$\left| \frac{g(z) - 1}{g(z) + 1} \right| \leq 1,$$

if

$$(7.18) \quad \sum_{k=2}^n k |a_k| + \frac{c_{n+1}}{n+1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k |a_k| \leq 1,$$

since the left hand side of (7.18) is bounded above by $\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} c_k |a_k|$ if

$$(7.19) \quad \sum_{k=2}^n (c_k - k) |a_k| + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \left(c_k - \frac{c_{n+1}}{n+1} k \right) |a_k| \geq 0$$

and the proof of (7.13) is complete.

To prove the result (7.14), define the function $g(z)$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(z) &= \left(\frac{n+1+c_{n+1}}{n+1} \right) \left\{ \frac{f'_n(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{c_{n+1}}{n+1+c_{n+1}} \right\} \\
 &= 1 - \frac{\left(1 + \frac{c_{n+1}}{n+1} \right) \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k a_k z^{k-1}}{1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k a_k z^{k-1}},
 \end{aligned}$$

and making use of (7.19), we deduce that

$$\left| \frac{g(z) - 1}{g(z) + 1} \right| \leq \frac{\left(1 + \frac{c_{n+1}}{n+1}\right) \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k |a_k|}{2 - 2 \sum_{k=2}^n k |a_k| - \left(1 + \frac{c_{n+1}}{n+1}\right) \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k |a_k|} \leq 1,$$

which leads us immediately to the assertion (7.14) of Theorem 12. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] O. P. Ahuja, G. Murugusundaramoorthy, and N. Magesh. Integral means for uniformly convex and starlike functions associated with generalized hypergeometric functions. *JIPAM. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.*, 8(4):Article 118, 9, 2007.
- [2] M. K. Aouf and A. O. Mostafa. Some properties of a subclass of uniformly convex functions with negative coefficients. *Demonstratio Math.*, 41(2):353–370, 2008.
- [3] R. Bharati, R. Parvatham, and A. Swaminathan. On subclasses of uniformly convex functions and corresponding class of starlike functions. *Tamkang J. Math.*, 28(1):17–32, 1997.
- [4] A. W. Goodman. On uniformly convex functions. *Ann. Polon. Math.*, 56(1):87–92, 1991.
- [5] A. W. Goodman. On uniformly starlike functions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 155(2):364–370, 1991.
- [6] G. Murugusundaramoorthy and N. Magesh. A new subclass of uniformly convex functions and a corresponding subclass of starlike functions with fixed second coefficient. *JIPAM. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.*, 5(4):Article 85, 10 pp. (electronic), 2004.
- [7] G. Murugusundaramoorthy and N. Magesh. Linear operators associated with a subclass of uniformly convex functions. *IJPAMS*, 3(1):113–125, 2006.
- [8] G. Murugusundaramoorthy, T. Rosy, and K. Muthunagai. Carlson-Shaffer operator and their applications to certain subclass of uniformly convex functions. *Gen. Math.*, 15(4):131–143, 2007.
- [9] F. Rønning. On starlike functions associated with parabolic regions. *Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Skłodowska Sect. A*, 45:117–122 (1992), 1991.
- [10] F. Rønning. Uniformly convex functions and a corresponding class of starlike functions. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 118(1):189–196, 1993.
- [11] T. Rosy and G. Murugusundaramoorthy. Fractional calculus and their applications to certain subclass of uniformly convex functions. *Far East J. Math. Sci. (FJMS)*, 15(2):231–242, 2004.
- [12] S. Shams, S. R. Kulkarni, and J. M. Jahangiri. Classes of uniformly starlike and convex functions. *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, (53-56):2959–2961, 2004.
- [13] H. Silverman. Partial sums of starlike and convex functions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 209(1):221–227, 1997.
- [14] E. M. Silvia. On partial sums of convex functions of order α . *Houston J. Math.*, 11(3):397–404, 1985.
- [15] K. G. Subramanian, G. Murugusundaramoorthy, P. Balasubrahmanyam, and H. Silverman. Subclasses of uniformly convex and uniformly starlike functions. *Math. Japon.*, 42(3):517–522, 1995.

Received June 28, 2009.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
FACULTY OF SCIENCE,
UNIVERSITY OF MANSOURA,
MANSOURA 33516, EGYPT
E-mail address: mkaouf127@yahoo.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
FACULTY OF SCIENCE AT DAMIETTA,
UNIVERSITY OF MANSOURA,
NEW DAMIETTA 34517, EGYPT
E-mail address: r.elashwah@yahoo.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
FACULTY OF SCIENCE AT DAMIETTA,
UNIVERSITY OF MANSOURA,
NEW DAMIETTA 34517, EGYPT
E-mail address: shezaeldeeb@yahoo.com