

FIXED POINTS THEOREMS FOR MONOTONE SET-VALUED MAPS IN PSEUDO-ORDERED SETS

ABDELKADER STOUTI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we first establish the existence of the greatest and the least fixed points for monotone set-valued maps defined on non-empty pseudo-ordered sets. Furthermore, we prove that the set of all fixed points of two classes of monotone set-valued maps defined on a non-empty complete trellis is also a non-empty complete trellis. As a consequence we obtain a generalization of the Skala's result [4, Theorem 37].

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let X be a non-empty set and let $\succeq$ be a binary relation defined on its. If the binary relation $\succeq$ is reflexive and antisymmetric, we say that $(X, \succeq)$ is a pseudo-ordered set or a psoet. We will usually omit the pair notation and call X a pseudo-ordered set also. Every subset A of X is a pseudo-ordered set with the induced pseudo-ordered from X and will be called a pseudo-ordered set. Let $x, y \in X$. If $x \neq y$ and $x \succeq y$, then we shall write $x \triangleright y$.

Let A be a non-empty subset of a psoet $(X, \succeq)$. An element u is said to be an upper bound of A (respectively v a lower bound of A) if $x \succeq u$ for every $x \in A$ (respectively $v \succeq x$ for every $x \in A$). An element s of X is called a greatest element or the maximum of A and denoted by $s = \max_{\succeq}(A)$ if s is an upper bound of A and $s \in A$. An element ℓ is the least or the minimum element of A and denoted by $\ell = \min_{\succeq}(A)$ if ℓ is a lower bound of A and $\ell \in A$. When the least upper bound (l.u.b.) s of A exists, we shall denoted its by $s = \sup_{\succeq}(A)$. Dually if the greatest lower bound (g.l.b.) of A exists, we shall denoted its by $\ell = \inf_{\succeq}(A)$.

A psoet $(X, \succeq)$ is said to be a trellis if every pair of elements of $(X, \succeq)$ has a greatest lower bound (g.l.b) and a least upper bound (l.u.b). A psoet $(X, \succeq)$ is said to be a complete trellis if every non-empty subset of X has a g.l.b and a l.u.b. More details for those notions can be found in H. L. Skala (see [5, 4]).

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 06B23, 06B05, 54C60, 47H10.

Key words and phrases. Pseudo-ordered set, fixed point, monotone map, trellis, complete trellis.

Let $(X, \supseteq)$ be a non-empty pseudo-ordered set and $f: X \rightarrow X$ a map. We shall say that f is monotone if for every $x, y \in X$, with $x \supseteq y$, then we have $f(x) \supseteq f(y)$.

An element x of X is said to be a fixed point of a map $f: X \rightarrow X$ if $f(x) = x$. The set of all fixed points of f is denoted by $\text{Fix}(f)$.

Let X be a non-empty set and 2^X be the set of all non-empty subsets of X . A set-valued map on X is any map $T: X \rightarrow 2^X$. An element x of X is called a fixed point of T if $x \in T(x)$. We denote by $\text{Fix}(T)$ the set of all fixed points of T .

In this paper, we shall use the following definition of monotonicity for set-valued maps.

Definition 1.1. Let $(X, \supseteq)$ be a non-empty pseudo-ordered set. A set-valued map $T: X \rightarrow 2^X$ is said to be monotone if for any $x, y \in X$ with $x \supset y$, then for every $a \in T(x)$ and $b \in T(y)$, we have $a \supseteq b$.

In this work, we shall need the following notion of inverse relation.

Definition 1.2. Let X be a non-empty set and let $\supseteq$ be a relation on its. The inverse relation $\preceq$ of $\supseteq$ is defined for every $x, y \in X$ by:

$$(x \preceq y) \Leftrightarrow (y \supseteq x).$$

In this paper, we shall need the two following technical lemmas which their proofs will be given in the Appendix.

Lemma 1.3. Let $\supseteq$ be a pseudo-order relation defined on a non-empty set X and let $\preceq$ be its inverse relation. Then, $\preceq$ is a pseudo-order relation on X .

Lemma 1.4. Let $\supseteq$ be a pseudo-order relation defined on a non-empty set X , let $\preceq$ be its inverse relation and let A be a non-empty subset of X . Then, we have

- (i) if $\sup_{\supseteq}(A)$ exists, so $\inf_{\preceq}(A)$ exists too and $\sup_{\supseteq}(A) = \inf_{\preceq}(A)$;
- (ii) if $\inf_{\supseteq}(A)$ exists, hence $\sup_{\preceq}(A)$ exists also and $\inf_{\supseteq}(A) = \sup_{\preceq}(A)$;
- (iii) if $\min_{\supseteq}(A)$ exists, then $\max_{\preceq}(A)$ exists too and $\min_{\supseteq}(A) = \max_{\preceq}(A)$;
- (iv) if $\max_{\supseteq}(A)$ exists, so $\min_{\preceq}(A)$ exists too and $\max_{\supseteq}(A) = \min_{\preceq}(A)$.
- (v) if $T: X \rightarrow 2^X$ is a monotone set-valued map for $\supseteq$, then T is also a set-valued map for $\preceq$.

In 1971, H. Skala introduced the notions of pseudo-ordered sets and trellises and gave some fixed points theorems in this setting (see Theorems 36 and 37 in [4]). Later on, S. Parameshwara Bhatta and all [3, 1] studied the fixed point property in pseudo-ordered sets. In this work, we first establish the existence of the greatest and the least fixed points for monotone set-valued maps defined on non-empty pseudo-ordered sets. Furthermore, we prove that the set of all fixed points of two classes of monotone set-valued maps defined on a non-empty complete trellis is also a non-empty complete trellis. As a consequence, we reobtain the Skala's result [4, Theorem 37].

2. LEAST AND GREATEST FIXED POINTS FOR MONOTONE SET-VALUED MAPS IN PSEUDO-ORDERED SETS

In this section, we shall establish the existence of the least and the greatest fixed points for monotone set-valued maps defined on non-empty pseudo-ordered sets. First, we shall prove our key result in this paper.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $(X, \supseteq)$ be a non-empty pseudo-ordered set with a least element ℓ . Assume that every non-empty subset of X has a supremum in $(X, \supseteq)$. Then, the set of all fixed points $\text{Fix}(T)$ of every monotone set-valued map $T: X \rightarrow 2^X$ is non-empty and has a least element.*

Proof. Let $(X, \supseteq)$ be a non-empty pseudo-ordered set with a least element ℓ and let $T: X \rightarrow 2^X$ be a monotone set-valued map.

First step. We have $\text{Fix}(T) \neq \emptyset$. Indeed, let $\mathcal{F}$ be the family of all subsets A of X satisfying the following three conditions:

- (i) $\ell \in A$;
- (ii) $T(A) \subset A$;
- (iii) for every non-empty subset B of A , we have $\sup_{\supseteq}(B) \in A$.

Since $X \in \mathcal{F}$, so $\mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$. Set $S = \bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{F}} A$.

Claim 1. The subset S is the least non-empty element of $\mathcal{F}$ for the inclusion relation. Indeed, as $\ell \in A$ for every $A \in \mathcal{F}$, so $\ell \in S$. Since $S = \bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{F}} A$, then

$$T(S) = T\left(\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{F}} A\right) \subset \bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{F}} T(A) \subset \bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{F}} A.$$

Thus, we get $T(S) \subset S$. Now, let $D \subset S$ such that $D \neq \emptyset$. Then, $D \subset A$ for every $A \in \mathcal{F}$. So, $\sup_{\supseteq}(D) \in A$ for every $A \in \mathcal{F}$. Hence, we obtain $\sup_{\supseteq}(D) \in S$. Therefore, S is the least non-empty element of $\mathcal{F}$ for the inclusion relation. Then, we set $m = \sup_{\supseteq}(S)$.

Claim 2. We have $m \in \text{Fix}(T)$. Indeed, since $m \in S$ and $T(S) \subset S$, then for every $a \in T(m)$, we have $a \supseteq m$. By absurd assume that $m \notin T(m)$. So, we get $a \supset m$, for every $a \in T(m)$. Next, we shall associate for every $a \in T(m)$ a subset B_a defined by

$$B_a = \{x \in S : x \supseteq a\}.$$

As $\ell = \min_{\supseteq}(X)$, so $\ell \in B_a$. We shall show that $B_a \in \mathcal{F}$. Let $x \in B_a$ and $y \in T(x)$. So $x \in S$. As $m = \sup_{\supseteq}(S)$, then $x \supseteq m$. We claim that $x \neq m$. Indeed, if $x = m$, so $m \supseteq a$. Hence we get $a = m$. That is not possible. Then, $x \supset m$. Hence, from the monotonicity of T , we get $y \supseteq a$, for every $y \in T(x)$. So, $T(x) \subset B_a$, for every $x \in B_a$. Thus, we have $T(B_a) \subset B_a$. Now, let $C \subset B_a$ and $C \neq \emptyset$. So, $C \subset S$. Then, $t = \sup_{\supseteq}(C) \in S$. On the other hand By definition of B_a we deduce that a is an upper bound of C . Hence, we obtain $t \supseteq a$. Thus $\sup_{\supseteq}(C) \in B_a$. Therefore, $B_a \in \mathcal{F}$ for every $a \in T(m)$. As S is the least non-empty element of $\mathcal{F}$ for the inclusion relation, so we get $S \subset B_a$ for every $a \in T(m)$. On the other hand, we know that $B_a \subset S$ for every $a \in T(m)$. Therefore, we obtain $S = B_a$, for every $a \in T(m)$. Then,

as $m \in B_a$, so $m \supseteq a$ for every $a \in T(m)$. Thus, we get $m = a$, for every $a \in T(m)$. So, $T(m) = \{m\}$. That is a contradiction with our assumption that $m \notin T(m)$. Therefore, $m \in T(m)$.

Second step. The subset $\text{Fix}(T)$ has a least element. Indeed from the first step above, we know that $\text{Fix}(T) \neq \emptyset$. Next, we consider the following subset B of X defined by

$$B = \{x \in X : x \supseteq z \text{ for every } z \in \text{Fix}(T)\}.$$

As $\ell = \min_{\supseteq}(X)$, so $\ell \in B$. Hence, we get $\ell = \min_{\supseteq}(B)$. By absurd assume that $\text{Fix}(T)$ has not a least element. So, for every $x \in B$, we have $x \supsetneq z$ for every $z \in \text{Fix}(T)$. Next, we shall show that $T(B) \subset B$. Indeed, if $x \in B$, $y \in T(x)$ and $z \in \text{Fix}(T)$, then by the monotonicity of T we get $y \supseteq z$ for every $y \in T(x)$ and $z \in \text{Fix}(T)$. Hence, we get $T(x) \subset B$, for every $x \in B$. Thus, $T(B) \subset B$. Now, let C be a non-empty subset of B and let $c = \sup_{\supseteq}(C)$. By definition of B , we know that every element z of $\text{Fix}(T)$ is an upper bound of C . So, we get $c \supseteq z$ for every $z \in \text{Fix}(T)$. Thus, we have $c \in B$. Hence, from claim 1, we get $B \in \mathcal{F}$. Since S is the least element of $\mathcal{F}$, so $S \subset B$. On the other hand, by Claim 1, we know that the supremum m of S is a fixed point of T . Hence, $m \in B$. Thus, m is the least fixed of T . That is a contradiction with our assumption. Therefore, $\text{Fix}(T)$ has a least element. $\square$

As a consequence of Theorem 2.1, we get the following result.

Corollary 2.2. *Let $(X, \leq)$ be a non-empty partially ordered set with a least element ℓ . Assume that every non-empty subset of X has a supremum in $(X, \leq)$. Then, the set of all fixed points $\text{Fix}(T)$ of every monotone set-valued map $T: X \rightarrow 2^X$ is non-empty and has a least element.*

Next, by combining Lemmas 1.3 and 1.4 and Theorem 2.1 we obtain the the existence of the greatest fixed point for monotone set-valued maps defined on non-empty pseudo-ordered sets.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $(X, \supseteq)$ be a non-empty pseudo-ordered set with a greatest element g . Assume that every non-empty subset of X has an infimum in $(X, \supseteq)$. Then, the set of all fixed points of every monotone set-valued map $T: X \rightarrow 2^X$ is non-empty and has a greatest element.*

Proof. Let $(X, \supseteq)$ be a non-empty pseudo-ordered set with a greatest element g such that every non-empty subset of X has an infimum in $(X, \supseteq)$. Let $T: X \rightarrow 2^X$ be a monotone set-valued map for the pseudo-order relation $\supseteq$ and let $\trianglelefteq$ be its inverse relation. Then from Lemma 1.2, we know that $\trianglelefteq$ is a pseudo-order relation on X . On the other hand by Lemma 1.3, $\min_{\trianglelefteq}(X)$ exists and we have $\min_{\trianglelefteq}(X) = g$. As by our hypothesis $T: X \rightarrow 2^X$ is a monotone set-valued map for $\supseteq$, so from Lemma 1.3 the set-valued map T is also a monotone set-valued map for $\trianglelefteq$. Thus, all hypothesis of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied. Therefore, The set $\text{Fix}(T)$ of all fixed points of T is non-empty

and has a least element in $(X, \trianglelefteq)$, m , say. Then from Lemma 1.3, we get $m = \min_{\trianglelefteq}(\text{Fix}(T)) = \max_{\trianglerighteq}(\text{Fix}(T))$. $\square$

Combining Theorems 2.1 and 2.3, we obtain the existence of the least and the greatest fixed points of monotone set-valued maps defined on non-empty complete trellises.

Corollary 2.4. *Let $(X, \trianglerighteq)$ be a non-empty complete trellis. Then, the set of all fixed points $\text{Fix}(T)$ of every monotone set-valued map $T: X \rightarrow 2^X$ is non-empty and has a least and a greatest element.*

For complete lattice, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 2.5. *Let $(X, \leq)$ be a non-empty complete lattice. Then, the set of all fixed points $\text{Fix}(T)$ of every monotone set-valued map $T: X \rightarrow 2^X$ is non-empty and has a least and a greatest element.*

3. FIXED POINTS FOR MONOTONE SET-VALUED MAPS IN COMPLETE TRELLISES

In this section, we shall establish that the set of all fixed points of two classes of monotone set-valued maps defined on a non-empty complete trellis is also a non-empty complete trellis. First, we shall prove the following result.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $(X, \trianglerighteq)$ be a non-empty complete trellis and let $T: X \rightarrow 2^X$ be a monotone set-valued map such that for every $x \in X$ there is $y \in T(x)$ such that $x \trianglerighteq y$. Then, the set of all fixed points $\text{Fix}(T)$ of T is a non-empty complete trellis.*

Proof. Let $(X, \trianglerighteq)$ be a non-empty complete trellis and $T: X \rightarrow 2^X$ be a monotone set-valued map such that for every $x \in X$, there is $y \in T(x)$, such that $x \trianglerighteq y$. Then by Corollary 2.4, we know that $\text{Fix}(T)$ is non-empty and has a least and a greatest element. Let A be a non-empty subset of $\text{Fix}(T)$.

Claim 1. The infimum of A in $\text{Fix}(T)$ belongs to $\text{Fix}(T)$. Indeed, consider the following subset D of X defined by

$$D = \{x \in X : x \trianglerighteq z \text{ for every } z \in A\}.$$

From Corollary 2.4, we know that the set-valued map T has a least fixed point. So, $D \neq \emptyset$. Let $d = \sup_{\trianglerighteq}(D)$. We shall prove that $d \in T(d)$. Indeed assume on the contrary that $d \notin T(d)$. Since every element z of A is an upper bound of D , so we get $d \trianglerighteq z$ for every $z \in A$. As $d \notin T(d)$, then $d \triangleright z$ for every $z \in A$. We claim that $T(d) \subset D$. Indeed, let $x \in T(d)$. So, by the monotonicity of T we get $x \trianglerighteq z$ for every $z \in A$. Thus, we have $T(d) \subset D$. Hence, we obtain $x \trianglerighteq d$ for every $x \in T(d)$. On the other hand, by our hypothesis we know that there is an element $t \in T(d)$ such that $d \triangleright t$. Hence, from the antisymmetry of the relation $\trianglerighteq$ we deduce that $t = d$ and $d \in T(d)$. That is a contradiction. Hence, $d \in \text{Fix}(T)$. Now, let B be the following subset of $\text{Fix}(T)$ defined by

$$B = \{x \in \text{Fix}(T) : x \trianglerighteq z \text{ for every } z \in A\}.$$

From Corollary 2.4, we know that the set-valued map T has a least fixed point. So, $B \neq \emptyset$. Let $m = \sup_{\supseteq}(B)$. As $B \subset D$, then we get $m \supseteq d$. On the other hand, we know that $d \in \bar{B}$. Hence, we get $d \supseteq m$. So, from the antisymmetry of the relation $\supseteq$ we deduce that $m = d$. Then, $m \in \text{Fix}(T)$. Therefore, the infimum of A in $\text{Fix}(T)$ belongs to $\text{Fix}(T)$.

Claim 2. The supremum of A in $\text{Fix}(T)$ belongs to $\text{Fix}(T)$. Indeed, let E be the following subset of X defined by

$$E = \{x \in X : z \supseteq x \text{ for every } z \in A\}.$$

From Corollary 2.4, we know that T has a greatest fixed point. Then $\text{Fix}(T) \neq \emptyset$. As $(X, \supseteq)$ is a nonempty complete trellis, so let $g = \max(X)$. Hence, $g \in E$. Thus, $E \neq \emptyset$ and $g = \max(E)$. Now, we claim that $E \cap \text{Fix}(T) \neq \emptyset$. Assume in the contrary that $E \cap \text{Fix}(T) = \emptyset$. Then, $T(E) \subset E$. Indeed, let $x \in E$, $y \in T(x)$ and let $z \in A$. As $z \supset x$ and T is monotone, so for every $z \in A$, we get $z \supseteq y$. Thus, we have $T(x) \subset E$ for every $x \in E$. Hence $T(E) \subset E$. On the other hand, as by our definition $T(x) \neq \emptyset$ for every $x \in X$. From the axiom of choice, there exists a map $\Phi: 2^X \rightarrow X$ such for every nonempty subset A of X we have $\Phi(A) \in A$. Then, for every $x \in X$ we define a new map $f: X \rightarrow X$ by setting: $f(x) = \Phi(T(x))$. We claim that f is a monotone map from $(X, \supseteq)$ to $(X, \supseteq)$. Indeed, let $x, y \in X$ with $x \supset y$. Since $f(x) \in T(x)$, $f(y) \in T(y)$ and T is monotone, then we get $f(x) \supseteq f(y)$. Hence, f is a monotone map. Let F be a nonempty subset of E , $f = \inf(F)$ and $x \in F$. As for every $z \in A$ we have $z \supseteq x$, then z is a lower bound of F . Hence, we get $z \supseteq f$. Thus, every nonempty subset of E has an infimum in E and $(E, \supseteq)$ has a greatest element. Therefore, all hypothesis of Theorem 3.3 in [6] are satisfied for the monotone map $f: E \rightarrow E$. Hence, $\text{Fix}(f) \neq \emptyset$. Since $\text{Fix}(f) \subset E \cap \text{Fix}(T)$, so we get $E \cap \text{Fix}(T) \neq \emptyset$. That is a contradiction. Therefore, $E \cap \text{Fix}(T) \neq \emptyset$. Then, the set of all supremums of A in $(\text{Fix}(T), \supseteq)$: $G = E \cap \text{Fix}(T)$ is nonempty. Let $\ell = \inf_{\supseteq}(G)$. Then we get $\ell \in E$. We claim that $\ell \in \text{Fix}(T)$. On the contrary assume that $\ell \notin \text{Fix}(T)$. Now, let $x \in G$ and $t \in T(x)$ be given. As $\ell \supset x$, $x \in \text{Fix}(T)$ and T is monotone, so we get $t \supseteq x$. Thus, t is a lower bound of G . As $\ell = \inf_{\supseteq}(G)$, then we deduce that we have $t \supseteq \ell$, for every $t \in T(\ell)$. On the other hand, we know that by our hypothesis there is an element $g \in T(\ell)$ such that $\ell \supseteq g$. So, from the antisymmetry of the relation $\supseteq$ we deduce that $\ell = g$. Then, $\ell \in \text{Fix}(T)$. Therefore, the infimum of A in $\text{Fix}(T)$ belongs to $\text{Fix}(T)$. $\square$

As a consequence of Theorem 3.1, we reobtain the Skala's result [4, Theorem 37].

Corollary 3.2. *Let $(X, \supseteq)$ be a non-empty complete trellis and let $f: X \rightarrow X$ be a monotone map such that for every $x \in X$, $x \supseteq f(x)$. Then, the set of all fixed points $\text{Fix}(f)$ of f is a non-empty complete trellis.*

Using Lemmas 1.3 and 1.4 and Theorem 3.1, we get the following dual result.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $(X, \supseteq)$ be a non-empty complete trellis and let $T: X \rightarrow 2^X$ be a monotone set-valued map such that for every $x \in X$ there is $y \in T(x)$ satisfying $y \supseteq x$. Then, the set of all fixed points $\text{Fix}(T)$ of T is a non-empty complete trellis.*

As a corollary of Theorem 3.3, we obtain the following result for monotone map. That is a dual result of Theorem 37 in [4].

Corollary 3.4. *Let $(X, \supseteq)$ be a non-empty complete trellis and let $f: X \rightarrow X$ be a monotone map such that for every $x \in X$, $f(x) \supseteq x$. Then, the set of all fixed points $\text{Fix}(f)$ of f is a non-empty complete trellis.*

4. APPENDIX

In this section, we shall give the proofs of Lemmas 1.3 and 1.4.

Proof of Lemma 1.3. Let $\supseteq$ be a pseudo-order defined on a non-empty set X and let $\leq$ be its inverse relation.

(i) The relation $\leq$ is reflexive. Let $x \in X$. Then, $x \supseteq x$. So, $x \leq x$. Hence, $\leq$ is reflexive.

(ii) The relation $\leq$ is antisymmetric. Let $x, y \in X$ such that $x \leq y$ and $y \leq x$. So, we get $y \supseteq x$ and $x \supseteq y$. Since $\supseteq$ is antisymmetric, then we obtain $x = y$. Thus, the relation $\leq$ is antisymmetric. $\square$

Proof of Lemma 1.4. Let $\supseteq$ be a pseudo-order defined on a non-empty set X , let $\leq$ be its inverse relation and let A be a non-empty subset of X .

(i) Assume that $\sup_{\supseteq}(A)$ exists. Set $s = \sup_{\supseteq}(A)$. Now, let $x \in A$. Then, $x \supseteq s$. So, we get $s \leq x$ for every $x \in A$. Thus, s is a $\leq$ -lower bound of A . Let ℓ be another $\leq$ -lower bound of A . So, we have $\ell \leq x$ for every $x \in A$. Hence, $x \supseteq \ell$. Then, ℓ is a $\supseteq$ -upper bound of A . As $s = \sup_{\supseteq}(A)$, so $s \supseteq \ell$. Hence, we get $\ell \leq s$. Thus, s is the greatest $\leq$ -lower bound of A . Then, $s = \inf_{\leq}(A)$.

(ii) Assume that $\inf_{\supseteq}(A)$ exists. Set $\ell = \inf_{\supseteq}(A)$. Now, let $x \in A$. Then, $\ell \supseteq x$. So, we get $x \leq \ell$ for every $x \in A$. Thus, ℓ is a $\leq$ -upper bound of A . Let m be another $\leq$ -upper bound of A . So, we have $x \leq m$ for every $x \in A$. Hence, $m \supseteq x$. Then, m is a $\supseteq$ -lower bound of A . As $\ell = \inf_{\supseteq}(A)$, so $m \supseteq \ell$. Thus, we have $\ell \leq m$. Thus, ℓ is the least $\leq$ -upper bound of A . Then, $\ell = \sup_{\leq}(A)$.

(iii) Let $m = \min_{\supseteq}(A)$. Then, $m = \inf_{\supseteq}(A)$ and $m \in A$. From (ii) above, we get $m = \sup_{\leq}(A)$. As $m \in A$, hence we deduce that $m = \max_{\leq}(A)$.

(iv) Let $s = \max_{\supseteq}(A)$. So, $s = \sup_{\supseteq}(A)$ and $s \in A$. From (i) above, we get $s = \inf_{\leq}(A)$. As $s \in A$, hence we obtain $s = \min_{\leq}(A)$.

(v) Let $T: X \rightarrow 2^X$ be a monotone set-valued map in $(X, \supseteq)$. Let $x, y \in X$ such that $x \leq y$. So, we have $y \supseteq x$. As T is $\supseteq$ -monotone, so we for every $a \in T(x)$ and $b \in T(y)$, we get $b \supseteq a$. Hence, we deduce that for every $a \in T(x)$ and $b \in T(y)$, we have $a \leq b$. Thus, T is $\leq$ -monotone. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] S. P. Bhatta. Weak chain-completeness and fixed point property for pseudo-ordered sets. *Czechoslovak Math. J.*, 55(130)(2):365–369, 2005.
- [2] P. Crawley and R. Dilworth. *Algebraic theory of lattices*. Prentice-Hall, 1973.
- [3] S. Parameshwara Bhatta and H. Shashirekha. A characterization of completeness for trellises. *Algebra Universalis*, 44(3-4):305–308, 2000.
- [4] H. Skala. *Trellis theory*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1972. Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, No. 121.
- [5] H. L. Skala. Trellis theory. *Algebra Universalis*, 1:218–233, 1971/72.
- [6] A. Stouti and A. Maaden. Fixed points and common fixed points theorems in pseudo-ordered sets. *Proyecciones*, 32(4):409–418, 2013.

Received September 17, 2012.

CENTER FOR DOCTORAL STUDIES: SCIENCES AND TECHNIQUES,
LABORATORY OF MATHEMATICS AND APPLICATIONS,
FACULTY OF SCIENCES AND TECHNIQUES,
UNIVERSITY SULTAN MOULAY SLIMANE,
P.O. BOX 523. BENI-MELLAL 23000,
MOROCCO
E-mail address: stouti@yahoo.com or stout@fstbm.ac.ma