

ALMOST EVERYWHERE STRONG SUMMABILITY OF TWO-DIMENSIONAL WALSH-FOURIER SERIES

USHANGI GOGINAVA

*Dedicated to Professor Ferenc Schipp on the occasion of his 75th birthday,
to Professor William Wade on the occasion of his 70th birthday and
to Professor Péter Simon on the occasion of his 65th birthday.*

ABSTRACT. A BMO-estimation of two-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series is proved from which an almost everywhere exponential summability of quadratic partial sums of double Walsh-Fourier series is derived.

1. INTRODUCTION

We shall denote the set of all non-negative integers by $\mathbb{N}$, the set of all integers by $\mathbb{Z}$ and the set of dyadic rational numbers in the unit interval $\mathbb{I} := [0, 1)$ by $\mathbb{Q}$. In particular, each element of $\mathbb{Q}$ has the form $\frac{p}{2^n}$ for some $p, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leq p < 2^n$. Set $I_N := [0, 2^{-N})$, $I_N(x) := x \oplus I_N$, where $\oplus$ is the dyadic addition (see [34]).

Let $r_0(x)$ be the function defined by

$$r_0(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in [0, 1/2) \\ -1, & \text{if } x \in [1/2, 1) \end{cases}, \quad r_0(x+1) = r_0(x).$$

The Rademacher system is defined by

$$r_n(x) = r_0(2^n x), \quad n \geq 1.$$

Let $w_0, w_1, \dots$ represent the Walsh functions, i.e. $w_0(x) = 1$ and if $k = 2^{n_1} + \dots + 2^{n_s}$ is a positive integer with $n_1 > n_2 > \dots > n_s$ then

$$w_k(x) = r_{n_1}(x) \cdots r_{n_s}(x).$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 42C10.

Key words and phrases. Two-dimensional Walsh system, strong Marcinkiewicz means, a.e. convergence.

Research was supported by project Shota Rustaveli National Science Foundation grant DI/9/5-100/13 (Function spaces, weighted inequalities for integral operators and problems of summability of Fourier series).

The Walsh-Dirichlet kernel is defined by

$$D_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} w_k(x), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Given $x \in \mathbb{I}$, the expansion

$$(1) \quad x = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x_k 2^{-(k+1)},$$

where each $x_k = 0$ or 1 , will be called a dyadic expansion of x . If $x \in \mathbb{I} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$, then (1) is uniquely determined. For the dyadic expansion $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ we choose the one for which $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k = 0$.

The dyadic sum of $x, y \in \mathbb{I}$ in terms of the dyadic expansion of x and y is defined by

$$x \oplus y = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |x_k - y_k| 2^{-(k+1)}.$$

We consider the double system $\{w_n(x) \times w_m(y) : n, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ on the unit square $\mathbb{I}^2 = [0, 1) \times [0, 1)$. The notation $a \lesssim b$ in the whole paper stands for $a \leq c \cdot b$, where c is an absolute constant.

The norm (or quasinorm) of the space $L_p(\mathbb{I}^2)$ is defined by

$$\|f\|_p := \left(\int_{\mathbb{I}^2} |f(x, y)|^p dx dy \right)^{1/p} \quad (0 < p < +\infty).$$

If $f \in L_1(\mathbb{I}^2)$, then

$$\hat{f}(n, m) = \int_{\mathbb{I}^2} f(x, y) w_n(x) w_m(y) dx dy$$

is the (n, m) -th Fourier coefficient of f .

The rectangular partial sums of double Fourier series with respect to the Walsh system are defined by

$$S_{M,N}(x, y; f) = \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \hat{f}(m, n) w_m(x) w_n(y).$$

Denote

$$S_n^{(1)}(x, y; f) := \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \hat{f}(l, y) w_l(x),$$

$$S_m^{(2)}(x, y; f) := \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} \hat{f}(x, r) w_r(y),$$

where

$$\widehat{f}(l, y) = \int_{\mathbb{I}} f(x, y) w_l(x) dx$$

and

$$\widehat{f}(x, r) = \int_{\mathbb{I}} f(x, y) w_r(y) dy$$

Recall the definition of $BMO[\mathbb{I}]$ space. It is the Banach space of functions $f \in L_1(\mathbb{I})$ with the norm

$$\|f\|_{BMO} := \sup_I \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |f - f_I|^2 \right)^{1/2} + \left| \int_{\mathbb{I}} f \right|$$

and the supremum is taken over all dyadic intervals $I \subset \mathbb{I}$.

Let $\xi := \{\xi_n : n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ be an arbitrary sequence of numbers. Taking

$$\delta_k^n := \left[\frac{k}{2^n}, \frac{k+1}{2^n} \right),$$

we define

$$BMO[\xi_n] := \sup_{0 \leq n < \infty} \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} \xi_k \mathbb{I}_{\delta_k^n}(t) \right\|_{BMO},$$

where $\mathbb{I}_E$ is the characteristic function of $E \subset \mathbb{I}$.

Set

$$F := \{J := [j2^m, (j+1)2^m) \cap \mathbb{N}, j, m \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Then F is the collection of integer dyadic intervals. The number of elements in $J \in F$ will be denoted by $|J|$. The mean value of the sequence $\xi := \{\xi_n : n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ with respect to J is defined by

$$\xi^J := \frac{1}{|J|} \sum_{l \in J} \xi_l.$$

Then it is easy to see that

$$BMO[\xi_n] = \sup_{J \in F} \left(\frac{1}{|J|} \sum_{k \in J} |\xi_k - \xi^J|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

We denote by $L(\log L)^\alpha(\mathbb{I}^2)$ the class of measurable functions f , with

$$\int_{\mathbb{I}^2} |f| (\log^+ |f|)^\alpha < \infty,$$

where $\log^+ u := \mathbb{I}_{(1, \infty)}(u) \log u$.

Denote by $S_n^T(x, f)$ the partial sums of the trigonometric Fourier series of f and let

$$\sigma_n^T(x, f) = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n S_k^T(x, f)$$

be the $(C, 1)$ means. Fejr [1] proved that $\sigma_n^T(f)$ converges to f uniformly for any 2π -periodic continuous function. Lebesgue in [19] established almost everywhere convergence of $(C, 1)$ means if $f \in L_1(\mathbb{T})$, $\mathbb{T} := [-\pi, \pi)$. The strong summability problem, i.e. the convergence of the strong means

$$(2) \quad \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n |S_k^T(x, f) - f(x)|^p, \quad x \in \mathbb{T}, \quad p > 0,$$

was first considered by Hardy and Littlewood in [16]. They showed that for any $f \in L_r(\mathbb{T})$ ($1 < r < \infty$) the strong means tend to 0 a.e., if $n \rightarrow \infty$. The Fourier series of $f \in L_1(\mathbb{T})$ is said to be (H, p) -summable at $x \in T$, if the values (2) converge to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The (H, p) -summability problem in $L_1(\mathbb{T})$ has been investigated by Marcinkiewicz [24] for $p = 2$, and later by Zygmund [44] for the general case $1 \leq p < \infty$. Oskolkov in [26] proved the following: Let $f \in L_1(\mathbb{T})$ and let Φ be a continuous positive convex function on $[0, +\infty)$ with $\Phi(0) = 0$ and

$$(3) \quad \ln \Phi(t) = O(t / \ln \ln t) \quad (t \rightarrow \infty).$$

Then for almost all x

$$(4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \Phi(|S_k^T(x, f) - f(x)|) = 0.$$

It was noted in [26] that Totik announced the conjecture that (4) holds almost everywhere for any $f \in L_1(\mathbb{T})$, provided

$$(5) \quad \ln \Phi(t) = O(t) \quad (t \rightarrow \infty).$$

In [28] Rodin proved

Theorem R. *Let $f \in L_1(\mathbb{T})$. Then for any $A > 0$*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n (\exp(A |S_k^T(x, f) - f(x)|) - 1) = 0$$

for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{T}$.

Karagulyan [17] proved that the following is true.

Theorem K. *Suppose that a continuous increasing function $\Phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $\Phi(0) = 0$, satisfies the condition*

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log \Phi(t)}{t} = \infty.$$

Then there exists a function $f \in L_1(\mathbb{T})$ for which the relation

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \Phi(|S_k^T(x, f)|) = \infty$$

holds everywhere on $\mathbb{T}$.

For quadratic partial sums of two-dimensional trigonometric Fourier series Marcinkiewicz [25] has proved, that if $f \in L \log L(\mathbb{T}^2)$, $\mathbb{T} := [-\pi, \pi)^2$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n (S_{kk}^T(x, y, f) - f(x, y)) = 0$$

for a.e. $(x, y) \in \mathbb{T}^2$. Zhizhiashvili [43] improved this result showing that class $L \log L(\mathbb{T}^2)$ can be replaced by $L_1(\mathbb{T}^2)$.

From a result of Konyagin [18] it follows that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a function $f \in L \log^{1-\varepsilon}(\mathbb{T}^2)$ such that

$$(6) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n |S_{kk}^T(x, y, f) - f(x, y)| \neq 0 \text{ for a.e. } (x, y) \in \mathbb{T}^2.$$

These results show that in the one dimensional case we have the same maximal spaces for $(C, 1)$ summability and for $(C, 1)$ strong summability. That is, in both cases we have $L_1(\mathbb{T})$. But, the situation changes as we step further to the case of two dimensional functions. In other words, the spaces of functions with almost everywhere summable Marcinkiewicz and strong Marcinkiewicz means are different.

In [12] a BMO-estimation of two-dimensional trigonometric Fourier series is proved from which an almost everywhere exponential summability of quadratic partial sums of double Fourier series is derived.

The results on strong summation and approximation of trigonometric Fourier series have been extended for several other orthogonal systems. For instance, concerning the Walsh system see Schipp [30, 31, 33], Fridli and Schipp [2, 3], Leindler [19, 20, 21, 22, 23], Totik [36, 37, 38], Rodin [27], Weisz [41, 40], Gabisoniya [4].

The problems of summability of cubical partial sums of multiple Fourier series have been investigated by Gogoladze [13, 14, 15], Wang [39], Zhag [42], Glukhov [9], Goginava [10], Gát, Goginava, Tkebuchava [7], Goginava, Gogoladze [11].

For Walsh system Rodin [29] (see also Schipp [32]) proved that the following is true.

Theorem R2 (Rodin). *If $\Phi(t) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $\Phi(0) = 0$, is an increasing continuous function satisfying*

$$(7) \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \Phi(t)}{t} < \infty,$$

then the partial sums of Walsh-Fourier series of any function $f \in L^1(\mathbb{I})$ satisfy the condition

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \Phi(|S_k(x; f) - f(x)|) = 0$$

almost everywhere on $\mathbb{I}$.

In the paper [6] we established, that, as in trigonometric case [17], the bound (7) is sharp for a.e. Φ -summability of Walsh-Fourier series. Moreover, we prove

Theorem GGK1. *If an increasing function $\Phi(t) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfies the condition*

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \Phi(t)}{t} = \infty,$$

then there exists a function $f \in L^1(\mathbb{I})$ such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \Phi(|S_k(x; f)|) = \infty$$

holds everywhere on $[0, 1)$.

Schipp in [32] introduced the following operator

$$V_n(x; f) := \left(\frac{1}{2^n} \int_{\mathbb{I}} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} 2^{j-1} \mathbb{I}_{I_j}(t) S_{2^n} f(x \oplus t \oplus e_j) \right)^2 dt \right)^{1/2}.$$

Let

$$V(f) := \sup_n V_n(f).$$

The following theorem is proved by Schipp.

Theorem Sch ([32]). *Let $f \in L_1(\mathbb{I})$. Then*

$$\mu\{|Vf| > \lambda\} \lesssim \frac{\|f\|_1}{\lambda}.$$

Set

$$H_n^p f := \left(\frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{m=0}^{2^n-1} |S_{mm} f|^p \right)^{1/p}$$

and the maximal strong operator

$$H_*^p f := \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} H_n^p f, \quad p > 0.$$

In [5] we studied the a.e. convergence of strong Marcinkiewicz means of the two-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series. In particular, the following is true.

Theorem GK2. *Let $f \in L \log L (\mathbb{I}^2)$ and $p > 0$. Then*

$$\mu \{H_*^p f > \lambda\} \lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda} \left(1 + \int_{\mathbb{I}^2} |f| \log^+ |f| \right).$$

The weak type $(L \log^+ L, 1)$ inequality and the usual density argument of Marcinkiewicz and Zygmund imply

Theorem GK3. *Let $f \in L \log L (\mathbb{I}^2)$ and $p > 0$. Then*

$$\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} |S_{mm}(x, y, f) - f(x, y)|^p \right)^{1/p} \rightarrow 0 \text{ for a.e. } (x, y) \in \mathbb{I}^2 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

We note that from the theorem of Getsadze [8] it follows that the class $L \log L$ in the last theorem is necessary in the context of strong summability question. That is, it is not possible to give a larger convergence space (of the form $L \log L \phi(L)$ with $\phi(\infty) = 0$) than $L \log L$. This means a sharp contrast between the one and two dimensional strong summability.

In [11] the exponential uniform strong approximation of the Marcinkiewicz means of the two-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series was studied. We say that the function ψ belongs to the class Ψ if it increase on $[0, +\infty)$ and

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \psi(u) = \psi(0) = 0.$$

Theorem GG ([11]). *a) Let $\varphi \in \Psi$ and let the inequality*

$$\overline{\lim}_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(u)}{\sqrt{u}} < \infty$$

hold. Then for any function $f \in C(\mathbb{I}^2)$ the equality

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=1}^n (e^{\varphi(|S_l(f) - f|)} - 1) \right\|_C = 0$$

is satisfied.

b) For any function $\varphi \in \Psi$ satisfying the condition

$$\overline{\lim}_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(u)}{\sqrt{u}} = \infty$$

there exists a function $F \in C(\mathbb{I}^2)$ such that

$$\overline{\lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{l=1}^m (e^{\varphi(|S_l(0,0,F) - F(0,0)|)} - 1) = +\infty.$$

In this paper we study a BMO-estimation for quadratic partial sums of two-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series from which an almost everywhere exponential summability of quadratic partial sums of double Walsh-Fourier series is derived.

Theorem 1. *If $f \in L(\log L)^2(\mathbb{I}^2)$, then*

$$\mu \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{I}^2 : BMO[S_{nn}(x, y; f)] > \lambda\} \lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda} \left(1 + \int_{\mathbb{I}^2} |f| (\log |f|)^2 \right).$$

The following theorem shows that the quadratic sums of two-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series of a function $f \in L(\log L)^2(\mathbb{I}^2)$ are almost everywhere exponentially summable to the function f . It will be obtained from the previous theorem by using the John-Nirenberg theorem (see ([12])).

Theorem 2. *Suppose that $f \in L(\log L)^2(\mathbb{I}^2)$. Then for any $A > 0$*

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{n=1}^m (\exp(A |S_{nn}(x, y; f) - f(x, y)|) - 1) = 0$$

for a.e. $(x, y) \in \mathbb{I}^2$.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM

Let $f \in L_1(\mathbb{I}^2)$. Then the dyadic maximal function is given by

$$Mf(x, y) := \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{2n} \int_{I_n(x) \times I_n(y)} |f(s, t)| ds dt.$$

For a two-dimensional integrable function f we need to introduce the following hybrid maximal functions

$$M_1 f(x, y) := \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} 2^n \int_{I_n(x)} |f(s, y)| ds,$$

$$M_2 f(x, y) := \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} 2^n \int_{I_n(y)} |f(x, t)| dt,$$

$$(8) \quad V_1(x, y, f)$$

$$:= \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\frac{1}{2^n} \int_{\mathbb{I}} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} 2^{j-1} \mathbb{I}_{I_j}(t) S_{2^n}^{(1)} f(x \oplus t \oplus e_j, y) \right)^2 dt \right)^{1/2},$$

$$(9) \quad V_2(x, y, f)$$

$$:= \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\frac{1}{2^n} \int_{\mathbb{I}} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} 2^{j-1} \mathbb{I}_{I_j}(t) S_{2^n}^{(2)} f(x, y \oplus t \oplus e_j) \right)^2 dt \right)^{1/2}.$$

It is well known [44] that for $f \in L \log^+ L$ the following estimation holds

$$(10) \quad \lambda \mu \{Mf > \lambda\} \lesssim 1 + \int_{\mathbb{I}^2} |f| \log^+ |f|$$

and for $s = 1, 2$

$$(11) \quad \int_{\mathbb{I}^2} M_s f \lesssim 1 + \int_{\mathbb{I}^2} |f| \log^+ |f|,$$

$$(12) \quad \mu \{ V_s(f) > \lambda \} \lesssim \frac{\|f\|_1}{\lambda}, \quad f \in L_1(\mathbb{I}^2).$$

It is proved in [5] that the following estimation holds

$$(13) \quad \left(\frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{m=0}^{2^n-1} |S_{mm}(x, y, f)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ \lesssim V_2(x, y, M_1 f) + V_1(x, y, M_2 f) + Mf(x, y) \\ + V_2(x, y, A) + V_1(x, y, A) + \|f\|_1,$$

where A is an integrable and nonnegative function on $\mathbb{I}^2$ of two variable for which

$$(14) \quad \int_{\mathbb{I}^2} A \lesssim 1 + \int_{\mathbb{I}^2} |f| \log^+ |f|, \quad f \in L \log L.$$

Proof of Theorem 1. We can write

$$(15) \quad BMO[S_{nn}(x, y; f)] \\ = \sup_{m,j} \left(\frac{1}{2^m} \sum_{l=j2^m}^{(j+1)2^m-1} \left| S_{ll}(x, y; f) - \frac{1}{2^m} \sum_{q=j2^m}^{(j+1)2^m-1} S_{qq}(x, y; f) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ = \sup_{m,j} \left(\frac{1}{2^m} \sum_{l=0}^{2^m-1} |S_{l+j2^m, l+j2^m}(x, y; f) \right. \\ \left. - \frac{1}{2^m} \sum_{q=0}^{2^m-1} S_{q+j2^m, q+j2^m}(x, y; f) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Since $(0 \leq l < 2^m)$

$$S_{l+j2^m, l+j2^m}(x, y; f) = S_{j2^m, j2^m}(x, y; f) + S_{j2^m, l}(x, y; f w_{j2^m}(x)) w_{j2^m}(y) \\ + S_{l, j2^m}(x, y; f w_{j2^m}(y)) w_{j2^m}(x) \\ + S_{l, l}(x, y; f w_{j2^m}(x) \otimes w_{j2^m}(y)) w_{j2^m}(x) w_{j2^m}(y)$$

from (15) we obtain

$$(16) \quad BMO[S_{nn}(x, y; f)] \\ \leq \sup_{m,j} \left(\frac{1}{2^m} \sum_{l=0}^{2^m-1} |S_{l, l}(x, y; f w_{j2^m}(x) \otimes w_{j2^m}(y)) \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{2^m} \sum_{q=0}^{2^m-1} S_{q,q}(x, y; fw_{j2^m}(x) \otimes w_{j2^m}(y)) \Big|^2 \Big)^{1/2} \\
& + \sup_{m,j} \left(\frac{1}{2^m} \sum_{l=0}^{2^m-1} |S_{l,j2^m}(x, y; fw_{j2^m}(y)) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \frac{1}{2^m} \sum_{q=0}^{2^m-1} S_{q,j2^m}(x, y; fw_{j2^m}(y)) \Big|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
& + \sup_{m,j} \left(\frac{1}{2^m} \sum_{l=0}^{2^m-1} |S_{j2^m,l}(x, y; fw_{j2^m}(x)) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \frac{1}{2^m} \sum_{q=0}^{2^m-1} S_{j2^m,q}(x, y; fw_{j2^m}(x)) \Big|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
& \leq 2 \sup_{m,j} \left(\frac{1}{2^m} \sum_{l=0}^{2^m-1} |S_{l,l}(x, y; fw_{j2^m}(x) \otimes w_{j2^m}(y))|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
& \quad + 2 \sup_{m,j} \left(\frac{1}{2^m} \sum_{l=0}^{2^m-1} |S_{l,j2^m}(x, y; fw_{j2^m}(y))|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
& \quad + 2 \sup_{m,j} \left(\frac{1}{2^m} \sum_{l=0}^{2^m-1} |S_{j2^m,l}(x, y; fw_{j2^m}(x))|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
& : = T_1 + T_2 + T_3.
\end{aligned}$$

From (13) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(17) \quad T_1 & \lesssim V_2(x, y, M_1 f) + V_1(x, y, M_2 f) + M f(x, y) \\
& \quad + V_2(x, y, A) + V_1(x, y, A) + \|f\|_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$S_{l,j2^m}(x, y; fw_{j2^m}) = S_l^{(1)}(x, y; S_{j2^m}^{(2)} w_{j2^m})$$

for T_2 we can write

$$(18) \quad T_2 \lesssim \sup_{m,j} \left(\frac{1}{2^m} \sum_{l=0}^{2^m-1} \left| S_l^{(1)}(x, y; S_{j2^m}^{(2)}(fw_{j2^m})) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Schipp proved the following estimation (see [32])

$$(19) \quad \left(\frac{1}{2^m} \sum_{l=0}^{2^m-1} |S_l(x; f)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \lesssim V(x, f).$$

Combining (18) and (19) we get

$$T_2 \lesssim \sup_{m,j} V_1 \left(x, y; \left| S_{j2^m}^{(2)}(f) \right| \right) \lesssim V_1 \left(x, y; S_*^{(2)}(f) \right),$$

where

$$S_*^{(2)}(x, y; f) := \sup_n |S_n^{(2)}(x, y; f)|.$$

Let $f \in L(\log L)^2(\mathbb{I}^2)$. Then $f(x, \cdot) \in L(\log L)^2(\mathbb{I})$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{I}$, and from the well-known theorem ([35]) $S_*^{(2)}(x, \cdot; f) \in L_1(\mathbb{I})$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{I}$. Moreover,

$$(20) \quad \int_{\mathbb{I}} |S_*^{(2)}(x, y; f)| dy \lesssim \left(\int_{\mathbb{I}} |f(x, y)| (\log^+ |f(x, y)|)^2 dy + 1 \right)$$

for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{I}$.

Setting

$$\Omega := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{I}^2 : V_1(x, y, f) > \lambda\}.$$

we can use Fubini's Theorem and Theorem 1 to write

$$(21) \quad \begin{aligned} |\Omega| &= \int_{\mathbb{I}^2} 1_{\Omega}(x, y) dx dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{I}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{I}} 1_{\Omega}(x, y) dx \right) dy \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{I}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{I}} |f(x, y)| dx \right) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, from (20) we obtain

$$(22) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{I}^2 : V_1(x, y; S_*^{(2)}(f)) > \lambda\} \right| \\ & \lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{I}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{I}} |S_*^{(2)}(x, y; f)| dx \right) dy \\ & \lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{I}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{I}} |f(x, y)| (\log^+ |f(x, y)|)^2 dy + 1 \right) dx \\ & = \frac{c}{\lambda} \left(\int_{\mathbb{I}^2} (|f(x, y)| (\log^+ |f(x, y)|)^2 + 1) dx dy \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously, we can prove that

$$(23) \quad |\{T_3 > \lambda\}| \lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda} \left(\int_{\mathbb{I}^2} (|f(x, y)| (\log^+ |f(x, y)|)^2 + 1) dx dy \right).$$

From (10), (11), (21), (12), (13), (14) and Theorem D we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} & |\{T_1 > \lambda\}| \\ & \lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda} (\|M_1 f\|_1 + \|M_2 f\|_1 + \|A\|_1 + \|f\|_1) \\ & \lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda} \left(1 + \int_{\mathbb{I}^2} |f| \log^+ |f| \right). \end{aligned}$$

Combining (16), (17), (22) and (23) we conclude the proof of Theorem 1. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Fejér. Untersuchungen über *Fouriersche* Reihen. *Math. Ann.*, 58:51–69, 1904.
- [2] S. Fridli and F. Schipp. Strong summability and Sidon type inequalities. *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)*, 60(1-2):277–289, 1995.
- [3] S. Fridli and F. Schipp. Strong approximation via Sidon type inequalities. *J. Approx. Theory*, 94(2):263–284, 1998.
- [4] O. D. Gabisoniya. Points of strong summability of fourier series. *Mat. Zametki*, 14(5):615–626, 1973.
- [5] G. Gát, U. Goginava, and G. Karagulyan. Almost everywhere strong summability of Marcinkiewicz means of double Walsh-Fourier series. *Anal. Math.*, 40(4):243–266, 2014.
- [6] G. Gát, U. Goginava, and G. Karagulyan. On everywhere divergence of the strong Φ -means of Walsh-Fourier series. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 421(1):206–214, 2015.
- [7] G. Gát, U. Goginava, and G. Tkebuchava. Convergence in measure of logarithmic means of quadratical partial sums of double Walsh-Fourier series. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 323(1):535–549, 2006.
- [8] R. Getsadze. On the boundedness in measure of sequences of superlinear operators in classes $L\phi(L)$. *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)*, 71(1-2):195–226, 2005.
- [9] V. A. Glukhov. Summation of multiple Fourier series in multiplicative systems. *Mat. Zametki*, 39(5):665–673, 1986.
- [10] U. Goginava. The weak type inequality for the maximal operator of the Marcinkiewicz-Fejér means of the two-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series. *J. Approx. Theory*, 154(2):161–180, 2008.
- [11] U. Goginava and L. Gogoladze. Strong approximation by Marcinkiewicz means of two-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series. *Constr. Approx.*, 35(1):1–19, 2012.
- [12] U. Goginava, L. Gogoladze, and G. Karagulyan. BMO-estimation and almost everywhere exponential summability of quadratic partial sums of double Fourier series. *Constr. Approx.*, 40(1):105–120, 2014.
- [13] L. Gogoladze. On the exponential uniform strong summability of multiple trigonometric Fourier series. *Georgian Math. J.*, 16(3):517–532, 2009.
- [14] L. D. Gogoladze. Strong means of Marcinkiewicz type. *Soobshch. Akad. Nauk Gruz. SSR*, 102(2):293–295, 1981.

- [15] L. D. Gogoladze. On strong summability almost everywhere. *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)*, 135(177)(2):158–168, 271, 1988.
- [16] G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood. Sur la série de *Fourier* d'une fonction à carré sommable. *C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris*, 156:1307–1309, 1913.
- [17] G. A. Karagulyan. Everywhere divergent Φ -means of Fourier series. *Mat. Zametki*, 80(1):50–59, 2006.
- [18] S. V. Konyagin. Divergence of a subsequence of partial sums of multiple trigonometric Fourier series. *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov.*, 190:102–116, 1989. Translated in *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* 1992, no. 1, 107–121, *Theory of functions (Russian)* (Amberd, 1987).
- [19] H. Lebesgue. Recherches sur la convergence des séries de *Fourier*. *Math. Ann.*, 61:251–280, 1905.
- [20] L. Leindler. Über die Approximation im starken Sinne. *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.*, 16:255–262, 1965.
- [21] L. Leindler. On the strong approximation of Fourier series. *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)*, 38(3-4):317–324, 1976.
- [22] L. Leindler. Strong approximation and classes of functions. *Mitt. Math. Sem. Giessen*, (132):29–38, 1978.
- [23] L. Leindler. *Strong approximation by Fourier series*. Akadémiai Kiadó (Publishing House of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences), Budapest, 1985.
- [24] J. Marcinkiewicz. Sur la sommabilité forte de séries de Fourier. *J. London Math. Soc.*, 14:162–168, 1939.
- [25] J. Marcinkiewicz. Sur une méthode remarquable de sommation des séries doubles de Fourier. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (2)*, 8(2):149–160, 1939.
- [26] K. I. Oskolkov. Strong summability of Fourier series. *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov.*, 172:280–290, 355, 1985. *Studies in the theory of functions of several real variables and the approximation of functions*.
- [27] V. A. Rodin. BMO—strong means of Fourier series. *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.*, 23(2):73–74, 1989.
- [28] V. A. Rodin. The space BMO and strong means of Fourier series. *Anal. Math.*, 16(4):291–302, 1990.
- [29] V. A. Rodin. The space BMO and strong means of Fourier-Walsh series. *Mat. Sb.*, 182(10):1463–1478, 1991.
- [30] F. Schipp. Über die starke Summation von Walsh-Fourierreihen. *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)*, 30:77–87, 1969.
- [31] F. Schipp. On the strong approximation of Walsh-Fourier series. *Magyar Tud. Akad. Mat. Fiz. Oszt. Közl.*, 19:101–111, 1970.
- [32] F. Schipp. On the strong summability of Walsh series. *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, 52(3-4):611–633, 1998. Dedicated to Professors Zoltán Daróczy and Imre Kátai.
- [33] F. Schipp and N. X. Ký. On strong summability of polynomial expansions. *Anal. Math.*, 12(2):115–127, 1986.
- [34] F. Schipp, W. R. Wade, and P. Simon. *Walsh series*. Adam Hilger, Ltd., Bristol, 1990. An introduction to dyadic harmonic analysis, With the collaboration of J. Pál.
- [35] J. Tateoka. On almost everywhere convergence of Walsh-Fourier series. *Proc. Japan Acad.*, 44:647–650, 1968.
- [36] V. Totik. On the strong approximation of Fourier series. *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.*, 35(1-2):151–172, 1980.
- [37] V. Totik. On the generalization of Fejér's summation theorem. In *Functions, series, operators, Vol. I, II (Budapest, 1980)*, volume 35 of *Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai*, pages 1195–1199. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1983.
- [38] V. Totik. Notes on Fourier series: strong approximation. *J. Approx. Theory*, 43(2):105–111, 1985.

- [39] K. Y. Wang. Some estimates for the strong approximation of continuous periodic functions of the two variables by their sums of Marcinkiewicz type. *Beijing Shifan Daxue Xuebao*, (1):7–22, 1981.
- [40] F. Weisz. Convergence of double Walsh-Fourier series and Hardy spaces. *Approx. Theory Appl. (N.S.)*, 17(2):32–44, 2001.
- [41] F. Weisz. Strong Marcinkiewicz summability of multi-dimensional Fourier series. *Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest. Sect. Comput.*, 29:297–317, 2008.
- [42] Y. Zhang and X. He. On the uniform strong approximation of Marcinkiewicz type for multivariable continuous functions. *Anal. Theory Appl.*, 21(4):377–384, 2005.
- [43] L. V. Žižiašvili. Generalization of certain theorem of Marcinkiewicz. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 32:1112–1122, 1968.
- [44] A. Zygmund. *Trigonometric series. 2nd ed. Vols. I, II.* Cambridge University Press, New York, 1959.

Received February 02, 2015.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
FACULTY OF EXACT AND NATURAL SCIENCES,
IVANE JAVAKHISHVILI TBILISI STATE UNIVERSITY,
CHAVCHAVADZE STR. 1, TBILISI 0128,
GEORGIA
E-mail address: zazagoginava@gmail.com